



DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
PUBLIC SCHOOLS

OFFICE OF CONTRACTS AND ACQUISITION
1200 First Street, NE, Suite 1137, Washington, DC 20002
202-442-5111/ FAX 202-442-5634

AMENDMENT THREE (3)
Response to Prospective Contractors Questions Regarding
RFP No: GAGA-2011-R-0087
Caption: Technology Systems and Services Blueprint for Data and
Professional Development Platform

To All Prospective Contractors

The District of Columbia Public Schools (DCPS) issued the subject Request for Proposal (RFP) GAGA-2011-R-0087 seeking competitive responses from Prospective Contractors . The RFP issued on May 4, 2011 stimulated several questions from interested Contractors. DCPS has amended the RFP via Amendment Number Three (3) and is hereby providing responses to the questions.

DCPS is adding appendix/attachment J.17.

DCPS is replacing appendix/attachment J.12. Please delete the old version and replace with new version.

DCPS is replacing appendix/attachment J.14. Please delete the old version and replace with the new version.

A copy of the pre-proposal conference sign in sheet is incorporated as Attachment B

DELINEATED BELOW ARE THE CONTRACTORS QUESTIONS AND DCPS
RESPONSES

Question One

1. Will the District please provide the RFP in Microsoft Word format?

DCPS Response to Question Number One

A copy of the Request for Proposal in Microsoft Word is attached. (see attachment A)

Question Two

2. What is the budget for this project?

DCPS Response to Question Number Two

The government does not release the budget regarding the government estimate.

Question Three

3. We understand that this project will be funded with RttT funds. Can the District please outline pricing implications of the use of RttT funds? For instance, will the District prefer traditional enterprise pricing versus annual software license pricing, or is the District open to either scenario?

DCPS Response to Question Number Three

To be provided in a later amendment.

Question Four

4. Pages 2 through 12 of the RFP document include three tables (B.5.1.3, B.5.1.4 and B.5.2) that outline pricing for a DCPS hosted solution versus a vendor-hosted solution. However, in the instructions to vendors provided in Section L.2, it does not appear that vendors need to complete these tables, but rather should complete the pricing spreadsheets. Can the District please confirm whether vendors do or do not need to fill out and submit the forms on pages 2 through 12? If vendors are to submit these, where within the response should they be placed?

DCPS Response to Question Four

Pages 2 - 12 should reflect the totals in the spreadsheets (J.15). The spreadsheets represents a more detailed breakdown of the totals reflected in the table on pages 2 - 12. Vendors are expected to complete both the table and the spreadsheet.

The District is deleting the mandatory requirement to submit a DCPS-hosted solution. Vendors can now submit either/or both a DCPS-hosted and vendor-hosted solution option.

Question Five

5. Throughout the RFP, the District refers to students and parents as future system users. Can the District please clarify the timeframe for bringing students and parents on as users?

DCPS Response to Question Number Five

Teachers are the primary/priority user of this Platform. Staff that support teachers (such as Principals, instructional coaches, Master Educators, etc) are the next priority for the Platform. Parents and students are the last group to be brought onto the Platform. We do not anticipate this happening before Version 3 of the Platform. We anticipate adding these users in Version 3 or beyond.

Question Six

6. Can the District clarify the number of teachers, administrators, central office employees, and staff users over time (e.g., will a small group of users be involved in the v1.0 release and a larger group included for v.2.0)?

DCPS Response to Question Number Six

All teachers (approx 4,000) will have access to the Platform in Phase 1. Certain administrators and central office staff (no more than 1,000) will have access to the Platform in Version 1. Their user profile will be limited in functionality, but more focused on viewing data and generating reports. Functionality for administrators and central office staff will grow in Version 2.

Question Seven

7. Item C.4.4.2 on page 22 outlines two data warehouse solutions; does DC Public Schools have its own data warehouse at this time? If so, can the District please provide details on the data warehouse?

DCPS Response to Question Number Seven

DCPS does not currently have its own data warehouse solution, for certain functions it uses the City Data Warehouse described in this section. There are some applications that utilize this warehouse for simple aggregation and reporting however we are not using it as a true data warehouse. A proper DCPS data warehouse is something that we would like to develop as a longer term goal. For more information on this warehouse please visit: <http://www.dc.gov/DC/OCTO/Data>

Question Eight

8. Item C.5.1.1.1 includes the “Vision: Platform End State”; this graphic is not clear. Can the District please provide a clearer version of this graphic?

DCPS Response to Question Number Eight

Yes, all images can be found in Attachment J.17.

Question Nine

9. On page 30, the District outlines that the solution will support “Delivery of video demonstrating exemplary practice for each Teach and curriculum standard.” Can the District please clarify the source(s) of the videos, since video content is not within scope of this RFP?

DCPS Response to Question Number Nine

Upon award of the contract to the successful vendor, DCPS will provide the vendor approximately 140 video files (quicktime) will be provided to the vendor. (The average video size is 120 megs.) The videos are also currently hosted through DC.gov's Enterprise Version of Google Video. Vendors are free to propose another video hosting solution.

Question Ten

10. On page 34, the RFP outlines the solution will provide “access to a game-like environment, similar to Westlaw.” Can the District please provide more detail on what is desired or direct vendors to further information? What will be the purpose of the “games” (e.g., user adoption / usage, professional development experiences, etc.)?

DCPS Response to Question Number Ten

The main goal of a game-like environment is to promote usage/adoption by users. The more this can be linked to professional development experiences the better. Please refer to Section C.4.2.2.4 Design principle #1 for more information.

Question Eleven

11. On page 34, the RFP says the solution will provide “points that you can earn based on use that can be ‘spent’ on costly PD.” Will it be the District’s responsibility to administer and set the rules for this program? In other words, are vendors to provide the technical framework for the program, but the District will actually set the rules and administer it?

DCPS Response to Question Number Eleven

Yes, the District will set the rules and administer the program. Vendors are expected to provide the technical framework as well as any creative ideas of how to incorporate such a program with the professional development goals/purpose of the Platform.

Question Twelve

12. On page 45, the RFP states that the “DCPS is in the process of performing an information security assessment.” Can the District please provide the updated DCPS policies?

DCPS Response to Question Number Twelve

To be provided in a later amendment.

Question Thirteen

13. On page 46, item C.5.4.8.2, the RFP states “Vendor(s) should clearly state if there is a minimum number of users.” Is it correct to assume that this statement refers to a minimum number of people per training session rather than minimum number of system users?

DCPS Response to Question Number Thirteen

DCPS expects a train the trainer model for system roll out to teachers. Vendors should provide pricing for this model and include the number of trainees that are included in this cost.

Additionally, separate from training, the vendor should provide specific information on licensing costs per user if any component of the technical architecture has software that requires a per user cost.

Question Fourteen

14. On page 48, item C.5.4.10.4 outlines two service level options and states, “Vendors are required to provide the solution and pricing for the service levels options mentioned below...” Then, in the pricing worksheets, there is an example that does not seem to correspond to either of the options in the RFP. Can the District please clarify if vendors are to delete the example that is in the spreadsheet and ensure that what is outlined in the RFP is reflected in the spreadsheet, as well as any additional options?

DCPS Response to Question Number Fourteen

To be provided in a later amendment.

Question Fifteen

15. In terms of maintenance and support, can the District please clarify it desires for vendors to provide Tier 1 / end user support services or if the District has a “help desk” that will provide Tier 1 / end user support?

DCPS Response to Question Number Fifteen

The District will provide Help Desk Tier 1 user support (i.e. user log-in issues, navigation help, etc.). We would like the vendor to respond to tickets escalated from the DCPS helpdesk for which DCPS is unable to resolve. Appendix J.15 has been amended to clarify user verses system maintenance and support options.

Question Sixteen

16. On page 53, Item F.2.4, the RFP states, “The total duration of this contract, including the exercise of any options under this clause, shall not exceed 2 years.” What is the District’s expectation about maintenance, upgrades, and supports of the system after that time?

DCPS Response to Question Number Sixteen

The District will award a new contract for the ongoing maintenance and support beyond the duration of the contract.

Question Seventeen

17. On page 63, item H.8.5 – does submission of the “Fact Sheet” apply only to the winning vendor or does it need to be provided as part of the response process? If the latter, where in the response should it be included?

DCPS Response to Question Number Seventeen

Yes, the fact sheet only applies to the winning vendor.

Question Eighteen

18. Can the District confirm that nothing in section H.9 starting on page 64 applies to this solicitation?

DCPS Response to Question Number Eighteen

Yes, DCPS is confirming Section H.9 Subcontracting Requirements is Not Applicable to this solicitation.

Question Nineteen

19. Can the District clarify whether section H.12 applies to this solicitation?

DCPS Response to Question Number Nineteen

Vendors do not have to comply with this at the time of submitting a proposal. The vendor who will be awarded the contract will be expected to comply with this requirement prior to providing services in any DCPS school or to any DCPS student.

Question Twenty

20. If vendors have exceptions to take to language within the solicitation (e.g., as the language relates to ownership of the software code), how should vendors express those exceptions? Where within the response should exceptions be noted?

DCPS Response to Question Number Twenty

The vendor did not provide enough information on which exceptions they were referring to answer this question. Generally, the government does not allow exceptions to the solicitations. However, DCPS requires complete access to modify and adjust the all code/data/data structures/etc ourselves once the system has been deployed (with the understanding that this would need to be coordinated with any work being done by the vendor). All data first produced in the performance of this Contract and/or delivered to the vendor for use in the Platform shall be the sole property of the District.

Question Twenty One

21. For purposes of work planning, should vendors assume a work start date of 8/8/11? If not can the District please clarify the work start date?

DCPS Response to Question Number Twenty One

August 8, 2011 would be the earliest the vendor would start work. The vendor should assume a work start date of no later than third week of August. As we enter contract negotiations, the District will have a better idea of an exact start date.

Question Twenty Two

22. Section K of the RFP outlines several representations/certifications/forms; it appears that these need to be included in the vendors' responses. Can the District please clarify where in the vendor's response to include the completed forms? (They are not outlined anywhere in section L.2.) Will these count towards the 100-page limit noted on page 86, Item L.2.3.1.3?

DCPS Response to Question Number Twenty Two

Yes, the vendor must submit answer to Section K. This will not count towards the 100-page limit.

Question Twenty Three

23. Does “Buy American Certification” pertain to hardware purchases?

DCPS Response to Question Number Twenty Three

To be provided in a later amendment.

Question Twenty Four

24. Item K.8 on page 85 outlines “Certification of Eligibility” requirements; where are vendors to sign this? There does not appear to be a signature line.

DCPS Response to Question Number Twenty Four

To be provided in a later amendment.

Question Twenty Five

25. Item L.2.1 on page 86 outlines that there are two parts of the response – the “Technical Proposal” and the “Price Proposal”; then L.2.3 outlines three documents – Vendor Proposal Document, Qualifications Worksheet, and Pricing Worksheet. Are the Vendor Proposal Document and Qualifications Worksheet considered the “Technical Proposal”?

DCPS Response to Question Number Twenty Five

Documents 1 (Vendor Proposal Document) and 2 (Qualifications Worksheet) are part of the "Technical Proposal" and Doc 3 (Pricing Worksheet) is included in the "Pricing Proposal." Also included in the “Pricing Proposal” is the Cost/Price Disclosure certification.

Question Twenty Six

26. In Item L.2.3.1.2, the RFP states that “The structure of the vendor(s)’ proposal should match the structure outlined in this section.” Does this refer to items L.2.5 through L.2.12?

DCPS Response to Question Number Twenty Six

The structure of the vendor’s proposal should match all items from L.2-L.20.

Question Twenty Seven

27. Can the District please clarify item L.2.3.3.3 on page 87? Specifically, if a vendor intends to submit pricing for both vendor-hosted and District-hosted solutions, can vendors submit one version of Document 1 – Vendor Proposal Document and Document 2 – Qualifications Worksheet and then two version of Document 3 – Pricing Worksheet, all in one package, rather than having to repeat Documents 1 and 2 as a separate response package?

DCPS Response to Question Number Twenty Seven

The District is deleting the mandatory requirement to submit a DCPS-hosted solution. Vendors can now submit either/or both a DCPS-hosted and vendor-hosted solution

option. **If the vendor chooses to submit a DCPS-hosted and a vendor-hosted solution, and there are no changes to either Document 1 or 2, the vendor must indicate this in writing and does not need to resubmit Documents 1 and 2.**

Question Twenty Eight

28. On page 89, item 12(a), the RFP indicates that the workplan needs to include “Descriptions and timelines of the steps necessary to design, develop, and implement the initial phase of the RFP.” Can the District clarify if this means the workplan needs to cover Version 1, Version 2 and /or Option Year 1?

DCPS Response to Question Number Twenty Eight

The workplan should cover Versions 1 - 3 of the project. This includes Option Year 1.

Question Twenty Nine

29. On page 90, Item L.2.7.1.3, the RFP states that the project has an “expected duration of approximately 4 years.” Can the District please clarify if the expected duration of this project is two years or four years?

DCPS Response to Question Number Twenty Nine

Page 90 has been amended to reflect that the expected duration of this project, under this RFP, is two years (August 2011 - August 2013). We anticipate being able to add functionalities to the Platform beyond the first two years. This work is currently outside of the scope of this RFP.

Question Thirty

30. On page 90, Item L.2.7.4 outlines Report Generation Requirements and to “provide details of pricing by report complexity in Appendix G: Qualification Worksheet.” First, we assume this refers to J.14 rather than Appendix G. Second, we do not see a place in J.14 to input information on reporting and also are concerned that pricing information should not be included in this portion of the response since it is part of the “Technical Proposal” rather than the “Pricing Proposal.” Can the District please clarify where in the response vendors should provide details of pricing by report complexity?

DCPS Response to Question Number Thirty

Page 90 has been amended to refer to J.15 Pricing Worksheet. The summary sheet from this workbook asks vendors to provide prices by functionality. There are four different functionalities listed (SD.1c, SD.1d, SD.1e, SD.1f) that refer to reporting features.

Vendors should provide details of pricing by report complexity in both Section B and the J.15 pricing worksheet.

Question Thirty One

31. We notice on the pricing worksheets that the District has put “N/A” in the cells based on the District’s expected phasing of the requirements; however, vendors

are also instructed to indicate in the response if they recommend a change to the phasing of the requirements. As a result, should vendors alter the pricing spreadsheets to accurately indicate their recommended rollout of functionality?

DCPS Response to Question Number Thirty One

The District has amended the worksheet to allow vendors to indicate their recommended rollout of functionality.

Question Thirty Two

32. Page 99, Item L.18.2 outlines the binding signature requirements; can the District please clarify where this “form” needs to be placed in the response?

DCPS Response to Question Number Thirty Two

Yes, the binding signature requirements must be attached the cover letter of the technical proposal.

Question Thirty Three

33. Do vendors need to address the items listed in Item L.20 on page 100? If so, where within the response should these be addressed?

DCPS Response to Question Number Thirty Three

Yes, please insert in the technical proposal.

Question Thirty Four

34. Are Reference Client Checks outlined in section L.21 on page 100 to be included as part of L.2.12? Do these count toward the 100-page limit?

DCPS Response to Question Number Thirty Four

No, this is in addition to L.2.12. These are not included as part of the 100 page limit.

Question Thirty Five

35. Is information missing from Section M.2 “Evaluation Criteria” on page 103?

DCPS Response to Question Number Thirty Five

No information is missing from Section M.2. Please consider M.2 “Evaluation Criteria” to be a header.

Question Thirty Six

36. In the System Requirements worksheet found in J.14, do vendors need to fill in every cell of the spreadsheet (i.e., put in “Yes” or “No” for each cell rather than just “Yes” for one cell)?

DCPS Response to Question Number Thirty Six

In J.14 for spreadsheet B labeled "User Requirements", vendors will need to fill out every cell of the spreadsheet. Please note for columns G-L, we have provided a drop down menu for responses.

Question Thirty Seven

37. If a vendor is recommending a solution that is primarily configurable off the shelf, so that the software itself is “delivered out of the box” and requires simple configurations to meet the District’s specific needs, can vendors indicate “yes” for both columns in the System Requirements worksheet?

DCPS Response to Question Number Thirty Seven

Yes, vendors can answer yes in to both "delivered out-of-the-box" (Column G) and any of the customization columns (Columns H and I), to indicate modification will be made to the solution.

Question Thirty Eight

38. Can the District clarify the difference between the “Name of vendor providing capability” column and the “Supported via a third party / partner solution”?

DCPS Response to Question Number Thirty Eight

The name of the vendor column refers to the vendor who will be providing the capability. If the vendor is planning on using subcontractors, and the subcontractors are responsible for the capability, the subcontractors name should be listed in the column. "Supported via a third party/partner solution" is a yes/no question and depends on how the vendor plans to implement the solution.

Question Thirty Nine

39. For Requirement PG.1.b, what is the role of PeopleSoft in the ability to “store and organize high-quality curricular materials”?

DCPS Response to Question Number Thirty Nine

Peoplesoft will be used as the system of record to identify teachers according to their grade level and subject matter. This information will be used to match users with the applicable curricular materials.

Question Forty

40. For Requirement PG.6.c, what is the role of PeopleSoft “to facilitate engagement with Master Educators and/or Instructional Coaches”?

DCPS Response to Question Number Forty

Peoplesoft will be used to as the system of record to identify employee classifications (Master Educator, Instructional coaches) so that the vendor can develop the tools to facilitate engagement between groups.

Question Forty One

41. For Requirements TD.2.c “Display teacher’s involvement in school activities,” what is the data source for this information?

DCPS Response to Question Number Forty One

The District doesn’t currently have one place where we capture this information. It is our vision that this information be entered and stored in the Platform.

Question Forty Two

42. For Technical Requirement 38 in the “Other Technical Requirements” spreadsheet “Security training documentation must be included to address the specific security controls of the system,” do vendors need to provide the documentation as part of the response or just indicate they can do so as part of the solution?

DCPS Response to Question Number Forty Two

To be provided in a later amendment.

Question Forty Three

43. In the “Maintenance, Support” spreadsheet within J.14, should vendors update the table to reflect the two options outlined in the RFP on page 48, item C.5.4.10.4?

DCPS Response to Question Number Forty Three

To be provided with a later amendment.

Question Forty Four

44. The District included the Cost and Pricing Data document as part of the solicitation. Are vendors required to fill out all of the detailed information / tables outlined in this document as part of the response or will only the winning vendor complete these tables? If vendors are required to submit these forms as part of the response, then where in the response should the information be placed (such as in Document #3 – Pricing Worksheet)?

DCPS Response to Question Number Forty Four

All vendors must complete the Cost and Pricing Data Document as a part of the price proposal.

Question Forty Five

45. Does the “First Source Employment Agreement” apply only to the winning vendor?

DCPS Response to Question Number Forty Five

No, all proposals are expected to include this in their submission.

Question Forty Six

46. Does the “Equal Opportunity Employment” statement and reporting apply only to the winning vendor?

DCPS Response to Question Number Forty Six

No, all proposals are expected to include this in their submission.

Question Forty Seven

47. In addition to administrators and teachers, will students be required to access to the system in during the base year (Aug ‘11 – Aug ‘12) as part of v1 and v2 roll out?

DCPS Response to Question Number Forty Seven

No, students are not considered a user in the Base Year (version 1 and version 2).

Question Forty Eight

48. Can a vendor submit RFP pricing for each version of system versus item pricing?

DCPS Response to Question Number Forty Eight

No, vendors must submit both.

Question Forty Nine

49. C.4.4.1.2 “It is the vendor’s responsibility to further inventory the functionality offered by the systems slated for replacement by the platform to ensure that no current functionality is lost.” If the system to be replaced is a DCPS developed system such as IMPACT Database, will DCPS provide system functionality information? If the system to be replaced has functionality that is inferior or less capable then the new system, will the old system’s functionality need to be included in the new system? What is the timeline for replacement systems to be completely offline?

DCPS Response to Question Number Forty Nine

Upon award of the contract, the vendor will work with the appropriate DCPS subject matter experts to document the current features of each system that will be replaced, the desired new features, and determine which of both feature sets will be implemented. The timeline for replacement of systems is as follows: Educator Portal for teachers (January 2012), IMPACT (August 2012), PD Planner (August 2013).

Question Fifty

50. C.5.4.3.3 – 2.) Data History: “The vendor(s) is expected to propose a solution allowing storing 5-6 years of data in the repository accessible online by users, with limited summaries reporting the history of an individual’s relationship with DCPS.” What format is the data in? How long will access to this data need to be maintained?

DCPS Response to Question Number Fifty

The expectation is for vendors to propose a solution that stores data spanning 5 - 6 years, but we expect vendor to be able to display and report on older data in some cases especially when it comes to details on an individual student. All data would be supplied to the Platform from the appropriate DCPS system of record. However, the mechanism by which the most up to data would actually be transferred and displayed to the end user is up to the vendor to develop based on the design of the system. The format of the data varies based on the system supplying the data. See section C.4.4.1 for examples of the types of systems that would be supplying data.

Question Fifty One

51. L.2.6. Overall Solution Functionality and Technical Requirements: “In the Vendor(s) Proposal Document, please describe, in a minimum level of detail, how your proposed solution meets the requirements outside of the blueprinting scope.” Should the proposer interpret outside as an invitation to present a better solution functionality and technical requirements then contained in RFP requirements? If so, should it be submitted below the line?

DCPS Response to Question Number Fifty One

At minimum, a vendor must submit a proposal that meets all requirements outlined in the RFP. This statement is an effort to clarify that vendors should not just focus on the demonstration phase scope but all the system requirements presented. If vendors wish to propose a better solution functionality and technical requirements than what is in the RFP, they may do so within this section.

Question Fifty Two

52. Paragraph L.2.3.1.3: Please verify that the 100-page proposal limit applies only to Document 1, Vendor Proposal Document. May proposals include appendices or other supporting materials?

DCPS Response to Question Number Fifty Two

The page limit refers to Document 1 (Vendor Proposal Document). Proposals may include additional appendices or other supporting materials, but they will be included in the 100 page limit.

Question Fifty Three

53. Relative to paragraph I.5.5, ownership. Is the District open to a proprietary license to the system or to shared ownership of the developed code?

DCPS Response to Question Number Fifty Three

DCPS is open to any licensing approach so long as we have complete access to modify and adjust the all code/data/data structures/etc ourselves once the system has been deployed (with the understanding that this would need to be coordinated with any work being done by the vendor) All data first produced in the performance of this Contract and/or delivered to the vendor for use in the Platform shall be the sole property of the District. Please refer to Section C.4.2.2.3, #8 for more information.

Question Fifty Four

54. Can you please clarify whether vendors are required to submit pricing in the format laid out in both of the following formats?
- a. Section J.15
 - b. the Cost/Price Certification mentioned in Section J as Attachment Number J.8

DCPS Response to Question Number Fifty Four A

Yes, vendors are expected to complete J.15.

DCPS Response to Question Number Fifty Four B

Yes, vendors are expected to completed Section B, Section J.8, and Section J.15.

Question Number Fifty Five

55. The RFP outlines a robust platform with rigorous, specific requirements. Is it possible for the District to extend the submission deadline to allow vendors to provide responses that are as complete as possible?

DCPS Response to Question Number Fifty Five

Yes, the District will extend the submission deadline to Monday, June 6 at noon.

Question Number Fifty Six

56. DCPS has stated that in order to submit an "alternative proposal" that provides an option for using a hosted (outside of DCPS's premises) or a cloud solution, vendors must also submit a proposal that presents a solution that is hosted on-premise within the DCPS/OCTO infrastructure (Reference – C.5.4.9.1) This effectively prevents our firm from bidding an innovative "cloud computing" solution to DCPS to fulfill this requirement.

Other firms in the marketplace will be similarly affected by this requirement thereby reducing innovative and cost effective proposals to DCPS that are available in the marketplace. **We recommend that DCPS remove this requirement as DCPS could still effectively and fairly compare on-premise solutions to cloud or "off-premise" solutions.**

DCPS Response to Question Number Fifty Six

The District is deleting the mandatory requirement to submit a DCPS-hosted solution. Vendors can now submit either/or both a DCPS-hosted and vendor-hosted solution option. DCPS requires complete access to modify and adjust the all code/data/data structures/etc ourselves once the system has been deployed (with the understanding that this would need to be coordinated with any work being done by the vendor). All data first produced in the performance of this Contract and/or delivered to the vendor for use in the Platform shall be the sole property of the District.

Question Number Fifty Seven

57. Is the district interested more in an annual costs structure or a perpetual model where the district may pay more upfront and less in the out years for maintenance and support?

DCPS Response to Question Number Fifty Seven

The District is open to either scenario.

Question Fifty Eight

58. What if any are the content requirements for this RFP with respect to instructional resources for teacher as well as professional development content?

DCPS Response to Question Number Fifty Eight

There are no requirements for the vendor to provide content for the Platform. The vendor may suggest content, but final approval of all content to be displayed on the Platform remains at the discretion of DCPS.

Question Fifty Nine

59. Can we receive better quality copies of the diagrams throughout the RFP document?

DCPS Response to Question Number Fifty Nine

Yes, all images can be found in Appendix J.17.

Question Number Sixty

60. Is there a current capture tool used on the district to capture electronically the teacher evaluations and data related to the evaluation framework adopted by DCPS or can the vendor propose a capture solution as part of this response?

DCPS Response to Question Number Sixty

Yes, this is the IMPACT Quick Base system. This system will eventually be replaced by functionality within the Platform itself. This is part of Version 2 (August 2012).