

---

# Commission on Judicial Disabilities and Tenure

[www.cjdt.dc.gov](http://www.cjdt.dc.gov)

Telephone: 202-727-1363

---

Description	FY 2014 Actual	FY 2015 Approved	FY 2016 Proposed	% Change from FY 2015
Operating Budget	\$298,005	\$295,000	\$295,000	0.0
FTEs	2.0	2.0	2.0	0.0

---

The mission of the Commission on Judicial Disabilities and Tenure (CJDT) is to maintain public confidence in an independent, impartial, fair, and qualified judiciary, and to enforce the high standards of conduct judges must adhere to both on and off the bench.

### Summary of Services

The services provided by the CJDT are as follows: reviewing complaints concerning the misconduct of judges; conducting performance evaluations of associate judges eligible for reappointment; conducting fitness and qualification reviews of retiring and senior judges; and processing the involuntary retirement of judges for health reasons.

The agency's FY 2016 proposed budget is presented in the following tables:

## FY 2016 Proposed Gross Funds Operating Budget, by Revenue Type

Table DQ0-1 contains the proposed FY 2016 agency budget compared to the FY 2015 approved budget. It also provides FY 2013 and FY 2014 actual expenditures.

**Table DQ0-1**  
(dollars in thousands)

<b>Appropriated Fund</b>	<b>Actual FY 2013</b>	<b>Actual FY 2014</b>	<b>Approved FY 2015</b>	<b>Proposed FY 2016</b>	<b>Change from FY 2015</b>	<b>Percent Change*</b>
<b><u>Federal Resources</u></b>						
Federal Payments	286	298	295	295	0	0.0
<b>Total for Federal Resources</b>	<b>286</b>	<b>298</b>	<b>295</b>	<b>295</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>
<b>Gross Funds</b>	<b>286</b>	<b>298</b>	<b>295</b>	<b>295</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>

\*Percent change is based on whole dollars.

**Note:** If applicable, for a breakdown of each Grant (Federal and Private), Special Purpose Revenue type and Intra-District agreement, please refer to **Schedule 80 Agency Summary by Revenue Source** in the **FY 2016 Operating Appendices** located on the Office of the Chief Financial Officer's website.

## FY 2016 Proposed Full-Time Equivalents, by Revenue Type

Table DQ0-2 contains the proposed FY 2016 FTE level compared to the FY 2015 approved FTE level by revenue type. It also provides FY 2013 and FY 2014 actual data.

**Table DQ0-2**

<b>Appropriated Fund</b>	<b>Actual FY 2013</b>	<b>Actual FY 2014</b>	<b>Approved FY 2015</b>	<b>Proposed FY 2016</b>	<b>Change from FY 2015</b>	<b>Percent Change</b>
<b><u>Federal Resources</u></b>						
Federal Payments	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Total for Federal Resources</b>	<b>2.0</b>	<b>2.0</b>	<b>2.0</b>	<b>2.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>
<b>Total Proposed FTEs</b>	<b>2.0</b>	<b>2.0</b>	<b>2.0</b>	<b>2.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>

## FY 2016 Proposed Operating Budget, by Comptroller Source Group

Table DQ0-3 contains the proposed FY 2016 budget at the Comptroller Source Group (object class) level compared to the FY 2015 approved budget. It also provides FY 2013 and FY 2014 actual expenditures.

**Table DQ0-3**  
(dollars in thousands)

Comptroller Source Group	Actual FY 2013	Actual FY 2014	Approved FY 2015	Proposed FY 2016	Change from FY 2015	Percent Change*
11 - Regular Pay - Continuing Full Time	193	199	200	207	7	3.5
13 - Additional Gross Pay	5	4	0	1	1	N/A
14 - Fringe Benefits - Current Personnel	22	23	22	23	1	3.5
<b>Subtotal Personal Services (PS)</b>	<b>219</b>	<b>226</b>	<b>222</b>	<b>231</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>3.9</b>
20 - Supplies and Materials	2	2	4	2	-2	-37.5
31 - Telephone, Telegraph, Telegram, Etc.	8	7	9	9	-1	-5.8
40 - Other Services and Charges	22	24	28	23	-5	-16.4
41 - Contractual Services - Other	31	37	28	28	0	0.0
70 - Equipment and Equipment Rental	3	2	4	2	-2	-50.9
<b>Subtotal Nonpersonal Services (NPS)</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>72</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>-9</b>	<b>-11.9</b>
<b>Gross Funds</b>	<b>286</b>	<b>298</b>	<b>295</b>	<b>295</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>

\*Percent change is based on whole dollars.

### Program Description

The Commission on Judicial Disabilities and Tenure operates through the following program:

**Judicial Disabilities and Tenure** – provides administrative support to the Judicial Disabilities and Tenure Commission to ensure the Commission fulfills its mission, pursuant to section 11-1521 of the D.C. Official Code.

### Program Structure Change

The Commission on Judicial Disabilities and Tenure has no program structure changes in the FY 2016 proposed budget.

## FY 2016 Proposed Operating Budget and FTEs, by Program and Activity

Table DQ0-4 contains the proposed FY 2016 budget by program and activity compared to the FY 2015 approved budget. It also provides the FY 2014 actual data.

**Table DQ0-4**

(dollars in thousands)

Program/Activity	Dollars in Thousands				Full-Time Equivalents			
	Actual FY 2014	Approved FY 2015	Proposed FY 2016	Change from FY 2015	Actual FY 2014	Approved FY 2015	Proposed FY 2016	Change from FY 2015
<b>(2000) Judicial Disabilities Tenure</b>								
(2100) Commission Administration and Support	298	295	295	0	2.0	2.0	2.0	0.0
<b>Subtotal (2000) Judicial Disabilities Tenure</b>	<b>298</b>	<b>295</b>	<b>295</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2.0</b>	<b>2.0</b>	<b>2.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>
<b>Total Proposed Operating Budget</b>	<b>298</b>	<b>295</b>	<b>295</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2.0</b>	<b>2.0</b>	<b>2.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>

(Change is calculated by whole numbers and numbers may not add up due to rounding)

**Note:** For more detailed information regarding the proposed funding for the activities within this agency's programs, please see **Schedule 30-PBB Program Summary by Activity** in the **FY 2016 Operating Appendices** located on the Office of the Chief Financial Officer's website.

### FY 2016 Proposed Budget Changes

The Commission on Judicial Disabilities and Tenure's (CJDT) proposed FY 2016 gross budget is \$295,000, which represents no change from its FY 2015 approved budget. The budget is comprised entirely of Federal Payments.

#### Agency Budget Submission

**Increase:** The budget for personal services increased by \$8,648 to provide funding for projected salary step increases and Fringe Benefits.

**Decrease:** The budget for nonpersonal services decreased by \$8,648 to offset the increases in personal services.

#### Mayor's Proposed Budget

**No Change:** The Commission on Judicial Disabilities and Tenure's budget proposal reflects no change from the agency budget submission to the Mayor's proposed budget.

#### District's Proposed Budget

**No Change:** The Commission on Judicial Disabilities and Tenure's budget proposal reflects no change from the Mayor's proposed budget to the District's proposed budget.

## FY 2015 Approved Budget to FY 2016 Proposed Budget, by Revenue Type

Table DQ0-5 itemizes the changes by revenue type between the FY 2015 approved budget and the FY 2016 proposed budget.

**Table DQ0-5**  
(dollars in thousands)

DESCRIPTION	PROGRAM	BUDGET	FTE
<b>FEDERAL PAYMENTS: FY 2015 Approved Budget and FTE</b>		<b>295</b>	<b>2.0</b>
Increase: To adjust continuing full time personal services and Fringe Benefits with projected costs	Judicial Disabilities Tenure	9	0.0
Decrease: To offset projected increases in personal services	Judicial Disabilities Tenure	-9	0.0
<b>FEDERAL PAYMENTS: FY 2016 Agency Budget Submission</b>		<b>295</b>	<b>2.0</b>
No Change		0	0.0
<b>FEDERAL PAYMENTS: FY 2016 Mayor's Proposed Budget</b>		<b>295</b>	<b>2.0</b>
No Change		0	0.0
<b>FEDERAL PAYMENTS: FY 2016 District's Proposed Budget</b>		<b>295</b>	<b>2.0</b>
<b>Gross for DQ0 - Commission on Judicial Disabilities and Tenure</b>		<b>295</b>	<b>2.0</b>

(Change is calculated by whole numbers and numbers may not add up due to rounding)

## Agency Performance Plan

The agency's performance plan has the following objective for FY 2016:

**Objective 1:** Maintain public confidence in an independent, impartial, fair, and qualified judiciary, and to enforce the high standards of conduct judges must adhere to both on and off the bench.

## KEY PERFORMANCE INDICATORS

Measure	FY 2013 Actual	FY 2014 Target	FY 2014 Actual	FY 2015 Projection	FY 2016 Projection	FY 2017 Projection
Number of Judicial Misconduct Complaints Reviewed	60	54	63	60	60	60
Number of Judicial Misconduct Investigations Completed <sup>1</sup>	25	15	24	20	20	20
Number of Senior Judge Reviews Completed	13	12	13	13	18	9
Number of Judicial Reappointment Evaluations Completed <sup>2</sup>	3	4	3	6	4	2

### Performance Plan Endnotes:

<sup>1</sup>The Commission's enabling statutes mandate that Commission investigations are limited to matters concerning the conduct or health of a judge. The Commission complies with the statutory requirements, and the projections reflected for complaints and investigations represent the average number the Commission anticipates receiving and conducting annually.

<sup>2</sup>The Commission's enabling statutes mandate the completion of judicial reappointment evaluations and senior judge reviews within strict time frames. The target numbers projected reflect the actual number of associate and senior judges of both Courts whose terms will expire during FY 2015 through FY 2017.