SY 2021-2022

School Mental Health:

SCHOOL PSYCHOLOGY PROGRAM GUIDEBOOK

A Manual of Policy, Practice and Procedure

Version 11

Updated August 2021

Table of Contents

SECTION I

Capital Commitment: Strategic Priorities	
Goals	DCPS Vision, Mission and Values5
Office of School Improvement and Supports	Capital Commitment: Strategic Priorities6
Student Support Divisions	
Purpose and Structure 10 Contact Information 11 SECTION II 11 General Guidelines & Procedures 12 A. The Role of the School Psychologist 13 B. Certification & Licensure 17 C. Time & Attendance 18 D. Tour of Duty 18 E. Central Services Staff 19 F. Inclement Weather Options 21 G. Communications 22 H. Weekly Schedules 25 J. Dress Expectations 26 K. Sexual Harassment Prevention and Reporting 26 K. Sexual Harassment Prevention and Reporting 26 L. National Provider Identifier/Random Moment in Time Study 27 M. Performance Evaluations 28 N. Required Databases 29 SECTION III Evaluation Referral Procedures and Other Protocol A. Multi-Tiered Systems of Support and Response to Intervention 32 B. Vision/Hearing Screening 51 C. Behavior Screening Process 52 D. Evaluation to Determine Eligibility 52 D. Evaluation to Determine Eligibility 52	Office of School Improvement and Supports8
Contact Information	Student Support Divisions9
Contact Information	Purpose and Structure
SECTION II General Guidelines & Procedures A. The Role of the School Psychologist	•
A. The Role of the School Psychologist	
A. The Role of the School Psychologist	ECTION II
B. Certification & Licensure	eneral Guidelines & Procedures
C. Time & Attendance	A. The Role of the School Psychologist
D. Tour of Duty	B. Certification & Licensure
E. Central Services Staff	C. Time & Attendance
F. Inclement Weather Options 21 G. Communications 22 H. Weekly Schedules 25 I. Equipment 25 J. Dress Expectations 26 K. Sexual Harassment Prevention and Reporting 26 L. National Provider Identifier/Random Moment in Time Study 27 M. Performance Evaluations 28 N. Required Databases 29 SECTION III Evaluation Referral Procedures and Other Protocol A. Multi-Tiered Systems of Support and Response to Intervention 32 B. Vision/Hearing Screening 51 C. Behavior Screening Process 52 D. Evaluation to Determine Eligibility 52 E. Eligibility Process: Overview 52	D. Tour of Duty
G. Communications 22 H. Weekly Schedules 25 I. Equipment 25 J. Dress Expectations 26 K. Sexual Harassment Prevention and Reporting 26 L. National Provider Identifier/Random Moment in Time Study 27 M. Performance Evaluations 28 N. Required Databases 29 SECTION III Evaluation Referral Procedures and Other Protocol A. Multi-Tiered Systems of Support and Response to Intervention 32 B. Vision/Hearing Screening 51 C. Behavior Screening Process 52 D. Evaluation to Determine Eligibility 52 E. Eligibility Process: Overview 52	E. Central Services Staff19
H. Weekly Schedules	F. Inclement Weather Options21
I. Equipment	G. Communications
J. Dress Expectations	H. Weekly Schedules
K. Sexual Harassment Prevention and Reporting	I. Equipment
L. National Provider Identifier/Random Moment in Time Study 27 M. Performance Evaluations 28 N. Required Databases 29 SECTION III Evaluation Referral Procedures and Other Protocol A. Multi-Tiered Systems of Support and Response to Intervention 32 B. Vision/Hearing Screening 51 C. Behavior Screening Process 52 D. Evaluation to Determine Eligibility 52 E. Eligibility Process: Overview 52	, ,
M. Performance Evaluations	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
N. Required Databases	
Evaluation Referral Procedures and Other Protocol A. Multi-Tiered Systems of Support and Response to Intervention	
Evaluation Referral Procedures and Other Protocol A. Multi-Tiered Systems of Support and Response to Intervention	N. Required Databases
A. Multi-Tiered Systems of Support and Response to Intervention	ECTION III
B. Vision/Hearing Screening	valuation Referral Procedures and Other Protocol
B. Vision/Hearing Screening	A. Multi-Tiered Systems of Support and Response to Intervention32
C. Behavior Screening Process	
D. Evaluation to Determine Eligibility	·
E. Eligibility Process: Overview52	-
(Referral, Timeline, AED, Prior Written Notice, Parental Consent, Ordering, Non-Discriminatory	(Referral, Timeline, AED, Prior Written Notice, Parental Consent, Ordering, Non-Discriminatory
Assessments, Interpreting Evaluation Data, Assessment Request, Procedures for Initial Evaluation,	·
Special Case Assessment Request (i.e., Neuropsychological/Psychiatric), Eligibility Determination	· · ·
Policy, Special Rules for Determining Eligibility in IDEA 2004, Triennial Reevaluation Policy	
Procedures, Independent Education Evaluations (IEE) Policy and Procedure, Early Childhood	

Referrals, SLI/Speech Only Referrals, Section 504 Referrals, End of Year Close-out for Open Assessments, Crisis Protocol)

F. Manifestation Determination Review	
G. Closing Out an Assessment in SEDS/Assessment Timeliness	
H. Providing Documents to Guardians Before/After Eligibility/IEP Meetings	
I. Bilingual Referrals	
J. Setting Up a School Mental Health Team	70
SECTION IV	
Training and Support	
A. Professional Development	73
B. Case Conference	74
C. Internship/Externship	74
SECTION V	
Special Education Disability Categories Under IDEA	78
SECTION VI	
Eligibility and Dismissal Procedures	
Guidelines for Assessing Specific Learning Disability	82
Guidelines for Assessing Emotional Disturbance	83
Guidelines for Assessing Other Health Impaired	87
Guidelines for Assessing Intellectual Disability	
Guidelines for Assessing Autism Spectrum Disorder	
Guidelines for Assessing Traumatic Brain Injury	
Guidelines for Assessing Developmental Delay	
Guidelines for Assessing Multiple Disabilities (MD)	
Guidelines for Untimely Assessments and Due Diligence.	
Exit Criteria Checklist for Learning Disabilities	
Exit Criteria Checklist for Intellectual Disability	
Exit Criteria Checklist for Developmental Delay	
Exit Criteria Checklist for Emotional Disturbance	105

SECTION I

INTRODUCTION AND GUIDING PRINCIPALS

I: DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA PUBLIC SHOOLS

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA PUBLIC SCHOOLS VISION

Every student feels loved, challenged, and prepared to positively influence society and thrive in life.

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA PUBLIC SCHOOLS MISSION

Ensure that every school guarantees students reach their full potential through rigorous and joyful learning experiences provided in a nurturing environment.

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA PUBLIC SCHOOLS VALUES

Students First: We recognize students as whole children and put their needs first in everything we do.

Equity: We work proactively to eliminate opportunity gaps by interrupting institutional bias and investing in effective strategies to ensure every student succeeds.

Excellence: We work with integrity and hold ourselves accountable for exemplary outcomes, service, and interactions.

Teamwork: We recognize that our greatest asset is our collective vision and ability to work collaboratively and authentically.

Courage: We have the audacity to learn from our successes and failures, to try new things, and to lead the nation as a proof point of PK-12 success.

Joy: We enjoy our collective work and will enthusiastically celebrate our success and each other. Enjoy our collective work and will enthusiastically celebrate our success and each other.

II: A CAPITAL COMMITMENT 2017-2022

DCPS' strategic plan, A Capital Commitment, will guide our work as we strive to become a district of both excellence and equity – a place where every family feels welcome, and every child is given the opportunities and support they need to thrive.

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA PUBLIC SCHOOLS STRATEGIC PRIORITIES

Promote Equity: Define, understand, and promote equity so that we eliminate opportunity gaps and systematically interrupt institutional bias.

- Focus on equity across all DCPS.
- Offer programming that supports students of color.
- Prioritize budgeting and resources for students who need them most.

Empower our People: Recruit, develop, and retain a talented, caring, and diverse team.

- Infuse our values into all that we do.
- Improve teacher pipelines, especially for bilingual teachers and male educators of color.
- Strengthen school leadership development.

Ensure Excellent Schools: Increase the number of excellent schools throughout the city.

- Define a consistent standard of school excellence.
- Grow schools based on need and to promote diversity and innovation, including multilingual or magnet programs.
- Increase attendance and enrollment.

Educate the Whole Child: Provide rigorous, joyful, and inclusive academic and social emotional learning experiences to ensure all students are college and career ready.

- Support teachers in implementing the DCPS curriculum.
- Embed social emotional learning in our classrooms and culture.
- Ensure students read on grade level by 3rd grade.
- Offer new courses and extracurricular activities for middle school students.
- Expand access to college and career preparation.
- Strengthen instruction for special education students and English Learners.

Engage Families: Ensure communication and deepen partnerships with families and the community.

- Involve families and community in children's learning, including through home visits.
- Improve our ability to communicate with and listen to families.

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA PUBLIC SCHOOLS GOALS

Goal 1

Double the percent of students who are college and career ready and triple the percent of at-risk and students of color who are college and career ready.

Goal 2

100 percent of K-2 students are reading on or above grade level.

Goal 3

85 percent of students graduate within four years, and 90 percent graduate within four or five years.

Goal 4

100 percent of students feel loved, challenged, and prepared.

Goal 5

100 percent of schools are highly rated or are improving.

Goal 6

90 percent of students re-enroll and DCPS serves 54,000 students.

You can review DCPS' Strategic Plan in detail at the following URL:

https://dcps.dc.gov/capitalcommitment

III: OFFICE OF SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT AND SUPPORTS

The Office of School Improvement and Supports will work to support our educators and students to dramatically accelerate the number of excellent schools throughout the city. The Office is comprised of three divisions: School Improvement, Student Supports and Talent Development.

School Improvement

- Promotes data-driven planning, processes, and decision-making through an aligned System of continuous improvement to move schools toward a consistent standard of excellence.
- •Designs excellent school models that transform learning for our students furthest from opportunity.
- Develops and advances strategies and resources for schools and the district to maximize partner impact on student success.

Student Supports

- Advances equality, with a focus on student focused programming.
- •Ensures that schools have the resources to provide a safe and supportive learning Environment where all students are able to thrive academically and socially.
- Ensures that schools have the necessary supports to address the needs of the whole child and create the conditions where all students are in school every day and ready to learn.

Talent Development

- •Advances talent development through an equity lens.
- •Ensures we recruit, select, hire, and retain great people.
- Ensures leaders are prepared at each stage of their career with the full complement of skills and capacities necessary to guarantee student and school success.
- Ensures clarity of expectations and meaningful feedback in support of increased effectiveness of all school-based staff.

IV: STUDENT SUPPORTS DIVISION

STUDENT SUPPORTS DIVISION

The Student Supports Division ensures that schools have the resources to provide a safe and supportive learning environment where all students are able to thrive academically and socially. The division further ensures that schools have the necessary supports to address the needs of the whole child and create conditions where all students are in school every day and ready to learn.

SCHOOL MENTAL HEALTH TEAM MISSION

We serve schools by providing expert consultation and services in support of the whole child. Using evidence-based assessment and therapeutic practices, we intervene early, with tailored supports that match the unique needs of DCPS students.

SCHOOL MENTAL HEALTH TEAM VISION

Our goal is to decrease barriers to school success by providing students, families, and school staff with tools that promote academic and psycho-social growth and progress.

SCHOOL PSYCHOLOGY TEAM MISSION

It is the mission of the DCPS School Psychologists to utilize our specialization in psychology and education to ensure that schools are responsive to the cognitive, academic, and social-emotional needs of *all* students in our schools, using evidence-based data to close achievement gaps.

Our work toward these overarching goals is fueled by a set of core beliefs. We expect every adult in the system to act in accordance with these beliefs every day.

We believe:

- All children, regardless of background or circumstance, can achieve at the highest levels.
- Achievement is a function of effort, not innate ability.
- We have the power and the responsibility to close the achievement gap.
- Our schools must be caring and supportive environments.
- It is critical to engage our students' families and communities as valued partners.
- Our decisions at all levels must be guided by robust data.

V: PURPOSE AND STRUCTURE OF GUIDEBOOK

PURPOSE

This guidebook was developed to ensure that all school psychologists reflect the District of Columbia Public Schools (DCPS) goal of promoting student achievement and keeping students in the general education program to the greatest extent possible. These guidelines are intended to provide practical assistance to school psychologists who aspire to effectively support the early multi-tiered systems of supports and special education diagnostic decision-making processes and procedures at their schools.

DCPS utilizes a comprehensive approach to school mental health services. In this model (demonstrated below in Figure 1), mental health services, school climate, curriculum and instruction work in sync to support the academic achievement of all students. This comprehensive approach goes beyond single intervention strategies to address the social, emotional, and academic needs of students. This approach engages students, teachers, parents, and other caring adults in a cooperative effort to promote academic achievement, emotional intelligence, and pro-social skill development. Several interventions within the school are coordinated to meet the needs of students where they are. The comprehensive approach encourages school administrators to coordinate social and emotional programs that align with overall school initiatives designed to address curriculum and instruction as well as school climate strategies.




Figure 1- Comprehensive approach to school mental health services

STRUCTURE

The structure of the guidebook is detailed in the Table of Contents. Appendices are attached with additional resources to help school psychologists apply important policies and procedures reviewed throughout this document.

This guidebook replaces any guidebook introduced previously.

CONTACT INFORMATION FOR THE SCHOOL PSYCHOLOGY DEPARTMENT

School Psychology Office 3535 V St., NE, Rm 6 Washington, DC 20018

Kenya Coleman, Psy.D., LICSW

Senior Director, School Mental Health (202) 427-9399 Kenya.Coleman@k12.dc.gov

Jamila Mitchell, Ph.D.
Program Manager
202-369-8835
jamila.mitchell@k12.dc.gov

Carlos Phillip, Ed.D.
Program Manager
202-423-7781
carlos.phillip@k12.dc.gov

Ramonia Rich, Ph.D.
Program Manager
202-369-2886
ramonia.rich@k12.dc.gov

Section II

GENERAL GUIDELINES AND PROCEDURES

GENERAL GUIDELINES AND PROCEDURES

A. THE ROLE OF THE SCHOOL PSYCHOLOGIST

The below statements are intended to describe the general nature and scope of work to be performed by school psychologists. This is not a complete listing of all responsibilities, duties, and/or skills required to perform effectively in schools but a review of the most salient data. Tasks vary by school.

School Psychologists are involved in *preventive* work with all students, staff, and families that promote success and early intervention for all students:

School Psychologists are responsible for conducting needs assessments to identify potential concerns and deficits. They will utilize curriculum-based measures and other evidenced based measures of student progress identified in Panorama and other data systems to work collaboratively with teams to identify students in need of intervention.

School Psychologists are trained in and expected to progress monitor data over intervals of time to determine their effectiveness and adjust interventions as needed. They provide various means of assessment to specify a student's area of weakness and are responsible for designing and developing evidence-based models that best fit the needs of the student based upon data.

School Psychologists are involved in educational planning for students with disabilities:

School Psychologists are responsible for selecting, administering, scoring and interpreting cognitive, achievement, behavior and other psychological evaluations for students who are referred for Specialized Instruction and/or related services. They are also responsible for analyzing evaluation data, student records, MTSS data and information pertinent to student learning; and, formulating data informed conclusions relating to the reason for referral and qualification of a suspected disability.

School psychologists are responsible for utilizing the collected data to write family friendly reports utilizing the DCPS psychology format (See examples in Appendices). School Psychologists are responsible for completing assessments related to an Individualized Educational Plan (IEP), a 504 plan, Settlement Agreement (SA), Hearing Officer Determination (HOD), and an Independent Educational Evaluation (IEE).

School Psychologists are core members of their school's MTSS, Analysis of Existing Data (AED), IEP, 504 and Manifestation team meetings. They are expected to provide data specific to and related to the area of concern during each of the meetings and assist in providing necessary data to meet the goal of each meeting.

School Psychologists engage in continuous learning:

School psychologists are required to maintain appropriate certification and clinical standards. They are required to participate in all professional development opportunities to include monthly Staff Meetings, Case Conferences and School Mental Health Professional Developments. Please note and plan accordingly. You will be held accountable for your participation. An unexcused absence will be reflected in IMPACT. Absences are considered excused if there is an emergency and documentation is provided (via email) to your Program Manager. Psychologists who are absent from meetings and trainings should assume the responsibility for securing information or notes from a colleague.

Maximizing your impact:

School psychologists are uniquely trained and qualified to support student's academic and socialemotional needs. Because of this, they are often asked to perform duties that are outside of scope and do not maximize their unique skillset. Common misuses and suggested alternatives are identified in the chart below:

Common Misuse	Academic and Social Emotional Focused Alternative	
Lunch/Recess Duty	Observing students engaged with their peers in order to	
	help inform educational programming.	
Classroom coverage	Observing students in the instructional environment in order to help identify appropriate intervention strategies, to identify barriers to intervention, and to collect response to intervention data.	
Arrival/Dismissal Duty	Check-in/Check-out with students requiring support.	

By utilizing the school psychologists for tasks that they are uniquely qualified to do, they are able to fulfill necessary school priorities areas such as:

Work with team to develop a student intervention plan based upon data in Panorama and other databases

Progress monitor plan with fidelity and make changes based upon the student's response to intervention

Conduct exentensive classroom observations, clinical interviews and record review

Complete Comprehensive Pscyhological Evaluations

Participate in MDT/IEP/SST/504/Manifestation Meetings and share salient data with the team to support educational planning

Role in MTSS @DCPS: School psychologists who are assigned to a school full-time will likely lead their school's implementation of MTSS @ DCPS. The MTSS Lead will guide the MTSS leadership team and will work closely with the school leader to support and facilitate the implementation of MTSS@DCPS at their school.

Key responsibilities for the MTSS Lead include:

- Serve as primary lead to help establish robust MTSS systems across Tiers
- Provide technical and adaptive support to MTSS teams to ensure desired outcomes
- Consult in the development of Tier 1 practices that intentionally reinforce schoolwide expectations
- Ensure inclusion of relevant data and apply a whole-child, anti-racist lens to data analysis

All school psychologists will be members of their school's MTSS Leadership Team. The MTSS Leadership Team is dedicated to developing, evaluating, and maintaining an integrated system of supports aimed at dismantling existing inequities. The leadership team should be diverse and include school leaders, educators, and other content experts. Following are examples of how these individuals can contribute to the leadership team.

Role	Responsibilities to ensure strong MTSS@DCPS
School Leader	 Establish and communicate the vision and expectations for MTSS@DCPS grounded in the whole child and anti-racism Ensure staff schedules allow time for necessary collaboration based on MTSS meeting structures Actively advise and support MTSS Lead in implementation; participate in MTSS Leadership Team meetings Regularly review data from Tiers 1-3 to identify trends (whole school and subgroups) and aligned supports
School Psychologist (MTSS Lead)	 Collaborates closely with school leadership to execute on the vision for MTSS Lead MTSS Leadership Team meetings; participate in Tier 1-3 meetings as feasible Actively monitor MTSS data (screening, Tier 1, progress monitoring, etc.) Ensure data in Panorama is current and accurate Support with referral review as needed
LEAP Lead / Instructional Coach	 Facilitate meetings (Tier 1, Tier 2, LEAP) with a focus on the whole child and anti- racism (e.g., relationship between SEL and academics) Support teachers with implementing Tier 1-3 practices
Grade Level Lead/ Department Chair	 Facilitate meetings (Tier 1, Tier 2) with a focus on the whole child and anti-racism (e.g., variety of data sources, including learning environment) Support teachers with implementing Tier 1-3 practices
Academic Interventionist	 Provide Tier 2/3 supports to students in alignment with Success Plans Support with universal screeners as needed

Classroom Teacher	 Provide strong, Tier 1 supports grounded in relationships, rich academics, the whole child, and anti-racism As needed, submit referrals for Tier 2/3 supports and follow through on Success Plans
Social Worker	As needed, provide supports at Tiers 2 and 3 to students in alignment with Success Plans
School Counselor	As needed, provide Tier 2 supports to students in alignment with Success Plans
Attendance Counselor	 Provide summary of attendance root cause data, as well as list of students who have reached 5 unexcused absences, to MTSS Leadership team weekly Support in data gathering for, and development of, Success Plans
Connected Schools Manager (if applicable)	As needed, facilitate connections with partner organizations that can support whole child needs at Tiers 1-3, and support with the development of school policies and professional development that ground in the needs of the whole child
Nurse	As needed, support students to access medical care for needs that are uncovered as part of the MTSS process
Related Service Provider	As needed, provide supports to students in alignment with Success Plans

Role in the initial evaluation and reevaluation process:

Effective MTSS practices will provide all students access to high quality instruction, relationships, and experiences. The model should lead to fewer students requiring a formal IEP with modifications and accommodations to their classroom environment. If a student is responding positively to interventions through MTSS@DCPS, the student is probably not a student that would need the specially designed instruction of special education. If supports and interventions are unsuccessful, the evidence-based interventions data will be useful for the special education Multidisciplinary Team (MDT) for the evaluation process and determining the level of support a student may need.

As members of the MDT, School Psychologists review the existing data to determine if assessments are needed. In addition, if assessments are deemed necessary, the School Psychologist is needed to discuss the tests that will be used, the type of information that is gleaned, and with whom and how this information will be shared so that informed written consent may be appropriately (and legally) obtained.

School Psychologists will be responsible for the completion of assessments deemed necessary to determine educational impact in the areas of Autism, Specific Learning Disability (SLD), Emotional Disability (ED), Developmental Delay (DD), Other Health Impaired (OHI) (as it relates to ADHD), Intellectual Disability (ID), Traumatic Brain Injury (TBI) and Multi Disabled (MD). The School Psychologist

is also responsible for meeting with the team to determine if the student continues to need Special Instruction and/or related services every three years or if new concerns warrant assessment.

Role in developing the IEP:

The School Psychologist is responsible for collaborating with educators and related service providers to complete the Present Level of Academic and Functional Performance (PLAAFPs) for the areas in which they have assessed or reviewed an assessment. They are also responsible for collaborating with social workers to complete the Needs and Impact Statements of an IEP with Behavior Support Services (BSS) goals.

Role in 504:

School Psychologists will be core members of the 504 team. They will be responsible for reviewing outside evaluations and administering any further screenings warranted by data e.g., a Conner 3 or a BASC 3 for ADHD concerns).

Role in manifestation determination meetings:

As a member of the MDT, the School Psychologist reviews the nature of the infraction and assists in determining if the behavior is a manifestation of the student's disability.

Collaboration with educators and related service providers:

As core members of school MTSS teams, School Psychologists are expected to provide expert consultation on evidence-based methods of supporting students in the general education setting. They are also expected to support trainings to staff (and families) on various topics that will assist in working with the students.

Crisis response:

School Psychologists will respond to emergencies in their schools that impact the student body. School Psychologists are also members of the Central Services Crisis Response Team. DCPS will utilize all School Mental Health Providers and school counselors to support school communities in crisis. Each week, a team of 15 providers are "on call. Each person will be on rotation no more than 3 non-consecutive weeks each school year. You will receive notification from Frontline one week prior to your rotation, and again three days prior to your rotation. Please notify your principal when you receive this notification, as they are not notified directly via Frontline.

B. Certification & Licensure

School psychologists' employment with DCPS is contingent upon the satisfactory completion of, and maintenance of, an OSSE certification/license.

The minimum requirements for qualification/certification as a school psychologist include:

 A Master's degree in School Psychology, Educational Psychology, or Clinical Psychology from an accredited institution to include forty-two (42) semester hours of graduate level coursework and five hundred (500) clock hours of satisfactory field experience in a school setting under the supervision of a certified school psychologist (DCMR 1659.1).

- The maintenance of required continuing education units (CEUs).
- Adherence to DCPS' certification requirements.

Providers are responsible for keeping their certification updated. Failure to renew certification in a timely manner can result in separation from DCPS.

Please visit http://osse.dc.gov/service/teacher-and-service-provider-license-renewal for additional information regarding licensure renewal.

C. Time and Attendance

School psychologists are mandated to sign-in/out every time they arrive to, or depart from, a school. At the beginning of each school year, providers must ascertain from the principal where the sign-in/out book is located. When taking leave or adjusting their school schedule, providers are required to notify their principal and special education coordinator. Central Office staff is required to report their time to their Program Manager.

Signing In and Out of Building

Immediately upon entering a school, service providers shall record the time of their arrival in the sign-in/out book and they shall report to their place of duty at least thirty-five (35) minutes before the start of the official school day for students.

Itinerant service providers shall, upon their arrival at each school assigned, immediately record in the school business office their time of arrival. Providers should also sign when entering another school location for the purposes of meetings, conferences, or trainings.

Providers must sign all sign-in/out sheets at schools and at trainings.

D. Tour of Duty

ET-15*(10-month employee)

School psychologists are to report to their schools for a seven and one-half (7.5) hour workday inclusive of a 45-minute duty-free lunch period. School psychologists should arrive at their assigned schools no later than the time of arrival expected for all school staff.

Arrival Time – 8:00am Departure Time – 3:30pm

ET-11 (12-month employee)

School psychologists are to report to their schools for an eight and one-half (8.5) hour workday inclusive of a duty-free lunch period. School psychologists should arrive at their assigned schools no later than the time of arrival expected for all school staff.

Arrival Time – 8:00am Departure Time – 4:30pm

Extended Leave

Action Item	Due Date	Responsible Person
School Psychologists (SP) provides notification of resignation or extended leave to program manager	Immediately	Principal or school psychologist
Principal reaches out to central office for next steps	Immediately	Principal
The school psychology program manager will collaborate with the school to designate an appropriate staff person for assessment	Within 1 week of notification	School psychology program manager and principal
Program manager from the School Mental Health Team screens any applicants within the HR recruitment database	Within 1 week of notification	Program manager
Program manager reviews schedules, caseloads and outstanding assessments of central office staff responsibilities and designates a central office social worker to cover the gap if possible.	Within week 2 of notification	Program manager

Please refer to your specific union contract regarding leave policies.

E. Central Services Staff

The School Mental Health Team has staff who provide support to DCPS, DC Public Charter Schools and Non-Public schools. The providers assigned to these schools are not routinely assigned to local schools due to the high assessment volume. Expectations of itinerant providers vary slightly from those assigned to the local schools as identified below.

Tour of Duty/Assignments: The Central Office Team tour of duty is 12-month from 8:00 am - 4:30 pm. Assignments will be based on the needs of the district at the discretion of the Program Manager. Managers should be notified in advance of leave request and submission via Outlook should be completed.

Technology: All Central office providers have been issued a DCPS computer and phone. Please ensure that your voicemail is setup and that you have the DCPS signature in place. You are expected to be available via email or phone throughout your tour of duty. Please have your notifications set so that you aware of incoming communications throughout the day. If devices are not functioning properly, it is the providers responsibility to put in a work order with OCTO immediately. If you are not accessible, via multiple mediums over an extended period of time during the workday, then you will be considered absent without official leave. Multiple incidents will be reflected in the IMPACT evaluation.

Weekly Check-in: Providers are required to participate in a weekly check-in with their PM. Please be prepared to participate at the identified time. If there is a conflict, then please notify your PM in advance. If you do not call or report for check in you will be considered on leave. Please update the identified case review spreadsheet prior to check-in.

Assignments: Providers will be assigned to various schools. It is required that a day (preferably the school's meeting day) be identified and that provider reports to the school on the identified day. Meetings should be scheduled on this day, via the Outlook (and Accelify) calendar invitation. Any assessments or trainings should also be scheduled on the identified day and entered into the outlook calendar (by the provider). All calendars should be shared with Program Manager.

Sign-In: Itinerate providers are required to sign-in to the school sign-in book once entering the building. School sign-in sheets will be pulled and audited at random.

Evaluation Timeline: Provider timeliness will be measured 45 days from date of consent. If the provider has more than 6 cases assigned, then assessments will be moved to the que until caseload opens. Though each provider will ideally have 45 days from consent to complete an evaluation, on these teams the goal is to complete the evaluations as expeditiously as possible. Based on the number of evaluations that you have assigned to you; it may be requested that an assessment be expedited.

In the event the event that you were not invited to participate in the AED/consent meeting, immediately follow up with the LEA rep and the PM. If follow up does not occur, you will be held to the 45 days from consent timeline.

For Triennial evaluations all LEA representatives have been given the guidance to schedule AED meetings 60 days prior to the eligibility date. The assessments (Psych/PTR/Etc.) should be ordered at this time. The provider will have 45 days to complete the evaluation. At the NP schools the Progress Monitors have been directed to check the status of the reports at 30 days. If the reports are not uploaded by day 45, the Progress Monitor will notify the PM in writing.

Additional Assignments: Itinerate providers will be asked to support with various programmatic needs, dependent on their current caseload assignments.

- All leave requests must be submitted to, and approved by, the appropriate Program Manager (e.g., annual, sick, compensatory time, overtime, administrative) via Outlook calendar.
- All annual leave must be approved prior to the leave period via Outlook calendar.
- All administrative leave requests for seminars, conferences and official travel must be accompanied by appropriate documentation (e.g., registration, receipt).
- All requests for leave greater than one weeks must be approved by your Program Manager and the Director.
- Leave without pay must be approved by the Program Manager.
- Staff should not plan to request leave during Pre-Service week. Exceptions will require APPROVAL by the Senior Director of School Mental Health.
- Sick leave may be used for emergencies on Professional Development/Staff Meeting and Case Conference dates and will require documentation for it to be considered excused.
- All compensatory time or overtime must be approved by the Chief of the Office of Student Improvements and Support prior to the work being performed.
- All timesheets must be submitted weekly via PeopleSoft. Additional notification should be given to your Program Manager via email.

If there are any questions or require additional clarification, please contact the assigned Program Manager.

F. Inclement Weather Options

- Option 1: All schools and district administrative offices are <u>closed</u>. Only essential personnel report to work.
- Option 2: Schools are <u>closed</u>. District administrative offices are <u>open</u>.
- Option 3: Schools open for students and teachers two hours late. District administrative offices open on time.
- Option 4: Schools and district administrative offices open two hours late.

Notification Options

When poor weather requires changing school schedules, DCPS works closely with radio, TV and other news outlets to notify the community. Additionally, most updates can be found on their social media outlets. During these situations, it is important that related service providers monitor one of the stations listed below or check this page. Look for updates (i.e. delayed openings or complete closures) on the radio and TV stations below. DCPS aims to work with stations to post closings by approximately 5:30 am.

Websites:

dc.gov/closures dcps.dc.gov

Social Media:

https://www.facebook.com/dcpublicschools

https://twitter.com/dcpublicschools

https://www.instagram.com/dcpublicschools/

AM Radio:

WMAL (630), WOL (1450), Radio America, Spanish (1540), WTOP (1500)

FM Radio:

WAMU (88.5), WTOP (103.5), WHUR (96.3)

Television:

Channels 4, 5, 7, and 9 and Cable Channels 8, 16 and 28

G. Communications

E-mail: E-mail communication is maintained by the District of Columbia's Office of the Chief of Technology Officer. Each service provider has a DCPS e-mail address. Messages should be checked daily and returned promptly. Failure to receive notification of job-related information due to a lack of timely checking of one's e-mail is not an acceptable excuse for non-compliance with work responsibilities. Related service providers are required to use their k12.dc.gov e-mail address – no other e-mail address should be used when performing a job-related function. Please be sure to include a signature on all DCPS email communications identifying name, position, school and contact information.

Email communication is maintained by the District of Columbia's Office of the Chief Technology Officer. If you have any difficulty or questions in reference to using your dc.gov email, contact the ServUs Help Desk.

- (202)-671-1566 / (202)-442-5715 (DCPS)
- email: start.dc.gov>RemedyForce

Out of Office Messages

When the service provider is out of the office for a day or more, an "out of office" reply should be utilized. The message should include a greeting, the dates the provider will be out of the office, information about whom to contact during his/her absence, and the provider's signature.

Follow these steps to set up your out of the office message:

- Go to Microsoft Outlook.
- Click on File at the top-left of the page.
- Click on the Automatic Replies button next to Automatic Replies (Out of Office)
- In the pop-up window, click the circle next to Send automatic replies.
- Select I am currently out of the office.
- Click the check box next to Only send during this time range.

- Enter the start time and end time of when you will be out of the office.
- Customize the following message and add it into the box under Inside My Organization:

Communication Board: School Psychology Program Managers (PMs) will post all communications via the SharePoint site entitled, "School Psychology Communication Board" (https://dck12.sharepoint.com/sites/schoolpsychologycommunicationboard). School Psychologists should check the Communication Board daily and respond to the notifications as indicated.

Mailbox: School Psychologists are encouraged to check with school staff regarding mailed correspondences.

Route-Mail Service: A DCPS mail service is available for sending documents to DCPS work locations. Special envelopes may be available at your school's main office. Items can also be sent in regular envelopes. An area for all outgoing route mail is designated at each school and work location.

Frontline: Related Service Providers (RSPs) will receive notifications regarding assessment timeliness, and crisis response from Frontline. The Frontline dashboard will house a calendar that school psychologists will be expected to update. All RSPs are required to attend trainings and to utilize the system.

Videoconferencing: The increased use of virtual meetings using platforms like Microsoft Teams, has brought to focus the importance of virtual meeting etiquette. The following are video conferencing tips that will help ensure a successful meeting.

1. Make sure everything works

Conduct a test of your technology – computer, camera, and microphone – to ensure it's all functioning before the meeting begins. Practice sharing your screen or playing videos in advance if they are a part of your meeting agenda. You don't want to delay the start of a gathering because no one can see or hear you.

2. Get Organized

If you're leading a virtual meeting, stick to the agenda. It's especially easy to veer off topic in an online meeting because they can seem more informal in nature, as people are working virtually in dining rooms and home offices. For the sake of productivity and focus, try to limit your agenda items and send them out to participants beforehand.

3. Be screen ready

One of the best things about working virtually is being able to dress more casually but video meetings put a limit on this to some degree. Always be ready for a video conference. Even if your meeting invite doesn't specify that video conferencing will be used in the meeting, being cameraready means you won't be caught off guard if face-to-face is the preferred way to communicate. If face-to-face is requested or the preferred way to communicate, the expectation is that you comply with this request as a professional representative of DCPS. As a bonus, getting ready for the workday can help put you in a productive mindset.

4. Check your background

The best background for video meetings is a relatively blank one that won't be distracting. Prior to the meeting, choose the location for your video call and check the background to ensure there aren't any distractions. Many virtual meeting platforms allow you to change or blur the background if needed. Also check to see that the lighting is adequate so people can actually see you.

5. Speak Clearly

Enunciate your words and speak slowly during online meetings. Home internet connection quality can vary, as does the reliability of devices. Keep in mind that there's often a minor delay when someone talks, so pause after asking a question or listening to someone's response. It's all too easy to inadvertently interrupt other speakers.

6. Look at the camera

There's a lot to see on your screen during virtual meetings: images of yourself and your colleagues/students/families, the main presentation, or an ongoing chat discussion. Off-screen, you might have other distractions in the home. Make "eye contact" with others in the meeting by looking at the camera when you're talking and listening.

7. Find a quiet place if you can

When possible, try to be in a low-traffic room where you can close the door. If you're not able to get privacy for your video meeting, opt for an area of your home where others are less likely to be. Explain to roommates, spouses, significant others, or children that you'll be participating in a work meeting and unable to talk to them during that time. If possible, put pets in a separate room. And remember to turn off notifications on your computer and personal devices.

8. Use the mute button

Can't find that quiet place? Most videoconferencing services allow you to enter meetings on mute. During the meeting, when you're not speaking, mute the microphone so as not to be a distraction during the meeting.

9. Pay attention when sharing your screen

If you're sharing your screen during a video meeting, minimize the number of windows and tabs you have open so it's easy for participants see what you're talking about. Make sure you close documents you don't want to share, and temporarily disable any incoming messaging notifications while you're presenting.

10. Use filters wisely

Spend some time getting familiar with any filters at your disposal before you join a video conference. Know how to use them and know your audience.

11. Protect Sensitive Information

If you are sharing your screen while presenting, make sure that only intended content is seen. Before you launch a video conference, close unnecessary tabs from your browser window and

https://www.conferencecalling.com/blog/online-meeting-etiquette

other apps you've been working on. Be mindful when sharing your full desktop, searching in the browser's address bar could summon up auto-complete results. Launching a fresh browser window and preparing ahead of time will help keep sensitive or potentially embarrassing information confidential. For extra control of what participants see during your presentation, choose the option to screen share only one screen or one app (i.e. only a PowerPoint presentation) instead of your full desktop. This way the focus is on you and your presentation, not on anything that will distract from your message.

Source: https://www.roberthalf.com/blog/salaries-and-skills/14-video-conference-etiquette-tips

H. Weekly Schedules

Service providers must complete their calendars in Frontline weekly and submit a copy of their weekly schedule to school principals and program managers. If in the rare instance a provider changes work location from what is recorded on the schedule, you must inform the school principal, special education coordinator and appropriate school personnel. The provider should be able to be located at any time during the tour of duty.

Please refer to the following link for the DCPS calendar of annual events: https://dcps.dc.gov/page/dcps-calendars

I. Equipment

Test Kits are the property of the School Mental Health Team. Testing materials that are used routinely (e.g., WISC-V, KABC-II, WJ-IV) are assigned to each psychologist. Other instruments may be shared between two or more psychologists. It is important to return loaned items promptly upon request to ensure that all school psychologists have access to the materials they need to comprehensively assess students. Additionally, school psychologists are to inform their program manager of any missing or broken testing items as soon as the problem is identified. Finally, upon your resignation, your materials must be returned in good condition to your assigned program manager prior to your final day. Failure to return property could result in the garnishing of wages.

Laptop Computers and/or iPads are assigned to all service providers for the purpose of scoring tests, writing reports, and maintaining log data. Providers must appropriately maintain and secure all technology at all times. All assigned technology is the property of the School Mental Health Team and must be returned in good condition to your assigned program manager prior to your final day. Failure to return property could result in the garnishing of wages.

Lost or Stolen Property: Providers assume all responsibility for all assigned material and technology. If either are stolen, you are required to file a report with MPD before materials will be re-distributed.

Laptop or Computer Repairs: All computer technology issues should be directly referred to OCTO using one of the following options:

E-mail: octo@dc.govPhone: 202-442-5715https://itremote.dc.gov

http://dcforms.dc.gov/webform/it-servus-request-form

OCTO will provide a ticket number for your technology request. Please retain of copy of this ticket number for your records. In the event your laptop or computer becomes inoperable, this information will be required.

Stolen Computer / Laptop

In the event your laptop or computer is stolen, please inform your school security officer and the Metropolitan Police Department (MPD). You are required to file a report with the MPD and present to OCTO upon request.

J. Dress Expectations

Providers are expected to dress appropriately as defined by a school's or Central Services' dress code policy. It is the provider's responsibility to find out the dress code requirements for their assigned site and to comply. Cleanliness, professionalism, good judgment, and safety are the primary considerations.

K. Sexual Harassment Prevention and Reporting

What Is Sexual Harassment?

Unwelcome sexual advances, requests for sexual favors, and other verbal or physical conduct of a sexual nature constitute sexual harassment when this conduct explicitly or implicitly affects an individual's employment, unreasonably interferes with an individual's work performance, or creates an intimidating, hostile, or offensive work environment. Sexual harassment <u>can</u> be categorized as 1) Quid pro Quo or 2) Hostile Work Environment

Examples of Sexual Harassment include:

- sex acts
- display of sexual organs
- paramour preference
- using sexually oriented or sexually degrading language describing an individual or his/her body, clothing, hair, accessories or sexual experiences;
- sexually offensive comments or off-color language, jokes, or innuendo that a reasonable person would consider to be of a sexual nature, <u>or</u> belittling or demeaning to an individual or a group's sex, sexual orientation, or gender identity;
- "sexting": seeking or sending pictures of intimate body parts.
- displaying or disseminating sexually suggestive objects or media

- unnecessary and inappropriate touching or physical contact that a reasonable person would consider to be sexual in nature
- leering, ogling, or making sexually suggestive gestures or sounds
- making inquiries about someone's private sex life or describing one's own sex life
- workplace sexual conduct between two willing parties that would cause a reasonable third party to be offended
- any unwanted repeated contact, for romance or sexual purposes; and
- sexual assault other crimes related to egregious acts of sexual harassment.

Reporting Sexual Harassment

Go to the Sexual Harassment Officer (SHO): Aimee Peoples

(e) <u>aimee.d.peoples@dc.gov</u> (p)202.442.5373

Secondary SHO:

Labor Management & Employee Relations

(e) dcps.eeo-ada@dc.gov (p) 202.442.5373

L. National Provider Identifier/Random Moment in Time Study

NPI

As a result of the Affordable Care Act, the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid (CMS) issued a final rule (42 CFR Parts 424 and 431) on April 12, 2012, requiring all providers of medical services to obtain a National Provider Identifier (NPI). The NPI acts as a unique provider identifier for Medicaid claims submitted to the Medicaid Agency. In order to properly conduct Medicaid claiming, all providers rendering services on behalf of the District of Columbia Public Schools must obtain their NPI number.

Providers may verify their existing NPI or obtain an NPI for the first time online at https://nppes.cms.hhs.gov/NPPES. Please submit your NPI number to your Program Manager upon receipt.

RMTS

The Random Moment in Time Study is a mandatory study required by the federal Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) to evaluate how school-based staff spends their time providing special education services. These snapshots are required to support claims for Medicaid reimbursement of school-based health services, which ultimately generates revenue for DCPS for products and services for special education programs. Related services provider participation in this study is crucial to securing these funds; if the response rate drops below an average of 85% for all providers, DCPS is subject to financial penalties with regard to Medicaid reimbursement.

Service Providers will be randomly assigned a "moment" five days in advance via email from dcps@pcgus.com. Providers will also receive four additional reminder emails (1 day before, 1 hour before, 1 day after and 2 days after) that the RMTS Coordinator will receive as well. It is essential that the dc.gov email is regularly checked to ensure that providers are aware that a moment is coming up. After a moment has arrived, log on to the website (https://easyrmts.pcgus.com/rmtsv2/) and candidly answer six simple questions. It should take no longer than five minutes to complete and providers have a total of three business days to respond. If there are any questions about the Random Moment in Time Study please contact OSE's RMTS Coordinator at 202.442.4487.

M. Performance Evaluations

IMPACT is the DCPS' performance evaluation tool used to help educators and Related Service Providers (RSP) become more effective in their work. IMPACT is implemented twice a year. IMPACT supports RSP growth by:

- Clarifying Expectations IMPACT outlines performance expectations for all school-based employees that are clearer and more aligned to RSPs' specific responsibilities.
- Providing Feedback Quality feedback is a key element of the improvement process. During each assessment cycle, there will be a conference to discuss strengths as well growth areas.
 Written comments can also be viewed by logging into an assigned IMPACT account at http://impactdcps.dc.gov.
- Facilitating Collaboration By providing a common language to discuss performance, IMPACT helps support the collaborative process. This is essential since communication and teamwork create the foundation for student success.
- Driving Professional Development The information provided by IMPACT helps DCPS make strategic decisions about how to use resources to best support the RSP. This information can also be used to differentiate our support programs by cluster, school, grade, job type, or any other category.
- Retaining Great People Having highly effective teachers and staff members at DCPS helps everyone improve. By mentoring and by serving as informal role models, these individuals provide a concrete picture of excellence that motivates and inspires everyone. IMPACT helps retain these individuals by providing significant recognition for outstanding performance.

Group 11 A consists of all school-based psychologists. There are five IMPACT components for members of Group 11a. Each is explained in greater detail in the following sections of this guidebook.

School-Based Psychologist Standards – Administrator Assessed (PSY-A) — These standards define excellence for school- based psychologists in DCPS.

School-Based Psychologist Standards – Office of Special Education Assessed (PSY-OSE) — These standards define excellence for school-based psychologists in DCPS.

Assessment timeliness (AT) —This is a measure of the extent to which you complete required assessments for the students assigned to you within the timeframe, and in accordance with the rules, established by the DCPS Office of Special Education.

Commitment to the school community (CSC) —This is a measure of the extent to which you support and collaborate with your school community. This component makes up 10% of your IMPACT score.

Core Professionalism (CP) — This is a measure of four basic professional requirements for all school-based personnel. This component is scored differently from the others. For more information, please see the Core Professionalism section of this guidebook.

Group 12 consists of all central office related service providers and adaptive physical education teachers. There are three IMPACT components for members of Group 12.

Related Service Provider Standards (RSP) — These standards define clinical excellence for related service providers in DCPS.

Commitment to School Community (CSC) – These standards measure the involvement of the provider in the overall goals of the school.

Assessment Timeliness (AT) — This is a measure of the extent to which you complete the related service assessments for the students on your caseload within the timeframe, and in accordance with the rules, established by the DCPS Office of Special Education.

Core Professionalism (CP) — This is a measure of four basic professional requirements for all school-based personnel and all itinerant instructional personnel. This component is scored differently from the others. For more information, please see the Core Professionalism section of this guidebook. Please note that only reports uploaded timely will be pulled for IMPACT review.

For more information, please refer to the IMPACT guidebook:

http://dcps.dc.gov/DCPS/In+the+Classroom/Ensuring+Teacher+Success/IMPACT+(Performance+Assessment)

Or contact the IMPACT team at 202-719-6553 or impactdcps@dc.gov

N. Required Databases

DCPS uses multiple web-based data systems, including Panorama, Frontline (formerly Accelify) and EasyIEP (also referred to as Special Education Data System [SEDS]) to manage data. Following are the expectations for each data system.

Panorama: Data systems and structures are a critical component of MTSS@DCPS. The Panorama Student Success Dashboard is the MTSS Data System. More detailed information about documenting in Panorama can be found in Appendix I.

Frontline (formerly Accelify):

Unified Calendar

All school psychologists will be required to manage a unified calendar in Accelify that is inclusive of student service delivery and school-based activities, and time spent on documentation and assessments. This will allow users to maintain an intervention calendar and have visibility into their workload and productivity, a useful tool when negotiating workload with administrators.

Providers will have the ability to create a 504, MTSS and IEP service calendar items in your Frontline Calendar. Providers will be able to add time blocks/appointment for assessments, observations, lunch duty and consultations on the schedule. Though Accelify cannot push data into Outlook or SEDS there is an option to download Outlook into Accelify. It will require brief, weekly updates to keep it current (please schedule accordingly).

Student Activity Screen

This section includes documentation of all services and contacts for general education students (observations, home visit, consultation, conflict resolution, crisis intervention, etc.).

School Crisis Intervention Response and Recovery

The on-call schedule and deployment schedule and deployment alerts will now be managed in a separate module in the new system.

<u>Technical Support</u>

Technical support for navigation of the system and access issues will be managed by Frontline and the tech support contact will be provided in the Frontline user guide. All policy related questions should be sent to the Program Managers. Providers should not contact Program Managers for Frontline technical support.

EasyIEP (also referred to as Special Education Data System [SEDS]): EasyIEP is the system of record for specialized instruction. All reasonable and due diligence efforts should be documented in EasyIEP. All assessments should be uploaded timely in EasyIEP. Each school psychologist must participate in EasyIEP training to learn more about using the system to document interactions with students who have or are being considered for specialized instruction and/or related services, educators, and caregivers.

Section III

EVALUATION REFERRAL PROCEDURES & OTHER PROTOCOL

A. Multi-Tiered Systems of Support (MTSS) @ DCPS

Foundation

DCPS seeks to become an anti-racist district that is trauma-responsive and aligned to a whole-child purpose, where educators are prepared and supported to meet each child's individual and holistic needs. This means creating an environment where we eliminate opportunity gaps, interrupt institutional bias, and remove barriers to academic and social success, particularly for students of color. We must provide access, inclusion, and affirmation and offer the most support where the most significant disparities have persisted.

This work is already underway across our district, and we are excited to build upon it in SY21-22 by launching a district-wide MTSS@DCPS. MTSS@DCPS will provide a coherent structure through which educators will be able to reflect upon the:

- Mindsets they hold about students and their families,
- Relationships they have with students and their families,
- Quality of the learning experiences they are providing to students, and
- Level of physical and emotional safety they are providing to students.


MTSS@DCPS will support adult collaboration and build educator capacity to examine broader schoolwide systems, structures, and practices that often lead to inequitable outcomes for students. Ultimately, when MTSS@DCPS is implemented successfully, we will accelerate achievement for students who are furthest from opportunity and help to eliminate the predictability of outcomes by race/ethnicity, language proficiency, and ability, and ensure all students leave our schools feeling loved, challenged, prepared, and ready to thrive.

Whole-Child & Anti-Racist Foundations

MTSS@DCPS is grounded in the <u>Whole-Child Design Blueprint</u>, a framework for transformational change that supports creating conditions to support the well-being of all students, and our <u>pillars of anti-racism</u>, in alignment with our <u>DCPS Equity Framework</u>:

- Action: Making a concrete and actionable plan to change (Policy)
- Interrogate: Interrogating my position within DCPS (Identity & Mindsets)
- Student Expectations: Interrogating expectations of the ideal student (Identity & Mindsets)
- Acknowledge: Acknowledging racial trauma (Identity & Mindsets)
- Content & Training: Interrogating content in my courses, coaching and training (Practice)
- Pedagogy: Employing evidence-based anti-racist pedagogy (Practice)
- Understanding: Understanding the impact of white supremacy in my work (Culture)
- Ongoing Learning: Learning about how racism shapes the lives of my students, peers, and my own lived experiences (Culture)

The domains of the Blueprint align to domains of the Comprehensive School Plan (CSP).



The Blueprint's components, combined with our Equity Framework, together make up a way to think about, organize and integrate practices aligned with the ways the brain learns and how children develop. Using these structures, MTSS@DCPS helps us:

- Reflect on current policies and practices that are rooted in white supremacy norms and systemic oppression that may contribute to inequitable student outcomes.
- Interrogate any *proposed* schoolwide systems and structure to ensure complete alignment with the whole-child, anti-racist district vision.

Ultimately, this critical reflection and interrogation through MTSS@DCPS help us empower the voices, assets, and experiences of the entire community -- including educators, students, families—and create learning settings that are rich in protective factors, that promote wellness and protect children from the damaging effects of stress all at the same time. When we do this, educators are better able to create classrooms and schools that truly support each child and their holistic development – so students will know their worth, discover their interests and passions, and develop their skills, competencies, and identities.

Strengths-Based Approach

A successful tiered system of supports recognizes that **ALL** students have unique strengths and needs, which are best met with an *integrated* and *holistic* approach that requires collaboration between educators, clinicians, caregivers, and communities. The science behind how students learn and develop further explains the systemic reasons behind the academic, social, emotional, and motivational challenges that students present.


Rather than focusing on creating a system for interventions and focusing on the skill deficiencies of students as we have done in the past, MTSS@DCPS focuses on creating the conditions for student success and having holistic conversations about students, ensuring that all students receive unique supports or accelerators so that every student reaches their potential.

With this lens, instead of asking what is "wrong" with students, MTSS@DCPS prompts us to ask:

- "Why might this student believe their decisions/behavior make sense in this context?"
- "How can we create an equitable school environment that does not identify the student as the problem but rather honors individual context?"
- "How can we address inequitable structures, policies, practices, and barriers to access (e.g., curriculum, sports, sense of belonging, implications of trauma and adversity) in order to meet the needs of all students?"

We can respond to these questions by creating MTSS@DCPS to work in service of holistic outcomes and equity for all students.

Every conversation about students starts with discussing the strengths/assets of student and family and a reflection by educators on the relationships, environments, and experiences they provide to students.



MTSS requires us to deeply understand how each student is doing academically, behaviorally, socially, and emotionally so we can deliver the right supports at the right time.

Components

MTSS@DCPS is a whole-child, anti-racist approach that prioritizes student assets and builds student agency. It creates the conditions for student success, fosters holistic conversations about students, and uses data-driven supports and interventions to provide scaffolding for student skills and mindsets. To this end, MTSS@DCPS is comprised of 7 components:

MTSS@ DCPS Component	Description
Shared Leadership and Strong Ownership	 Systems, structures, and practices facilitate effective collaboration; all teams and individuals can integrate their work and share responsibility of holistic district and schoolwide goals. Leaders proactively include others in decision-making; facilitate opportunities to develop shared understanding of policies and practices; communicate consistent ways; build a culture of multi- directional communication for all stakeholders.
Effective School-Level Systems and Structures	 Systems and structures ensure that every student receives equitable learning experiences, opportunities, and supports that are culturally responsive, affirming, need-oriented, and developmentally appropriate. Schools are systematically designed to nurture the development of the brain.
Layered System of Evidence-Based Supports and Interventions for Academics, Attendance, Behavior, and Social- Emotional Learning	 Students' holistic needs are addressed comprehensively in an integrated manner. Layered approaches to instruction, intervention, and assessment increase in intensity from universal (every student) to targeted (some students) to intensive (few students).
Data-Based Problem- Solving Model and Progress Monitoring	Stakeholders use a consistent process, applied at multiple levels, to analyze and evaluate relevant information to plan and implement strategies that improve student and system outcomes.
Integrated Data System and Universal Screeners	 A system that contains academic, attendance, behavior, social- emotional learning, and assessment data is used to make decisions about students. Students are assessed on academic and social-emotional indicators to identify students who may require varying levels of support.

Differentiated Job- Embedded Professional Development Aligned to Improving Student Outcomes	 Ongoing professional development and coaching emphasizes data- based problem-solving and whole-child aligned multitiered instruction and intervention. Processes and procedures for engaging in data-based problem- solving are incorporated.
Authentic Family and Community Collaboration and Engagement Connected to Student/School Improvement	 Schools identify and acknowledge the assets that families and communities offer students. Families and community stakeholders consistently share decision- making power with the school in planning for student supports and continuous improvement processes.

Together, these components support us to collaboratively improve educator and student relationships and experiences, which in turn lead to enhanced development of skills, mindsets, and academic mastery.

MTSS Tiers & Connections

MTSS@DCPS helps us conceptualize, plan, and organize supports for students and adults into three tiers:

- Tier 1: Proactive supports that promote a sense of belonging and rich instructional practices
- Tier 2: Targeted supports designed to support the strengths and needs of a subset of students
- Tier 3: Intensive supports tailored to the strengths and needs of individual students

Combined, these supports are the foundation of our whole-child, anti-racist practices that are designed to meet the needs of ALL students. Common across all three tiers includes a focus on:

- Attention to bias and anti-racist mindset
- Academic, behavioral, social, emotional development
- Leveraging strengths and building supports for students and adults (capacity building)
- Collaboration between adults, with an explicit focus on preventative systems and proactive supports for students
- Supports centered in the school, community, and in the home
- Identifying and addressing system level needs problems
- Acceleration, not remediation

Tier 1: DCPS Curriculum and Whole Child Supports

Tier 1 is focused on providing proactive supports to <u>all</u> students – including Black, Indigenous, and students of color, students with disabilities and English Learners – across <u>all</u> domains of

development. As a result of the pandemic and reduced instructional time, it is even more important that our Tier 1 supports are intentionally designed to holistically support all students' academic, social, emotional, and behavioral growth. Designing and implementing effective Tier 1 supports requires school leadership teams to interrogate their policies and practices for alignment with a whole child, anti-racist approach. As part of Tier 1, teachers engage in collaborative meetings where they reflect on the quality of the relationships, environments, and experiences they create for students. Teachers are supported to grow in their practice and strengthen their ability to meet the needs of their students.

Tier 1 supports take place during the school day and include differentiated support for students provided by the General Education teacher, Special Education teacher, and/or EL teacher. Small group or individual instruction for all students is a critical component of strong Tier 1 instruction.

Tier 2: Targeted Supports

Tier 2 is focused on providing targeted, supplemental supports for students whose needs are not being met though Tier 1 practices. It is important to note that Tier 2 supports should never take the place of Tier 1 supports; they should help students more effectively access Tier 1 supports. It is anticipated that roughly 20- 35% of each school's population will benefit from Tier 2 supports.

Tier 2 supports are provided to students based on a holistic review of strengths and needs and can be delivered individually or in small groups. Some Tier 2 supports may be delivered outside the school day (e.g. School Year Acceleration Academies), while others may be infused into the school day (e.g. lunch bunch with a counselor, extra small group math session). Academic Tier 2 supports provide instruction on targeted prerequisite skill gaps that pose as barriers to access to grade level content.

Tier 3: Intensive Supports

Tier 3 is focused on providing intensive, personalized supports to individual students whose needs are not being met via Tier 1 or Tier 2 practices. It is anticipated that roughly 5-10% of each school's population will benefit from Tier 3 supports.

As with Tier 2, Tier 3 supports are provided to students based on a holistic review of strengths and needs. Tier 3 supports are delivered individually or in very small groups (maximum of three students per adult) and can take place during the school day or out of school time.

In SY21-22, a key Tier 3 academic support will be High Intensity Tutoring (HIT). HIT takes place at least three times per week for 30 minutes per session, with a maximum of three students per adult. Please see the Acceleration Guidance for more information about HIT. All academic Tier 3 supports, including HIT, should provide instruction on targeted prerequisite skill gaps that are barriers to accessing grade level content.

MTSS & RTI

DCPS has laid a strong foundation for MTSS@DCPS through Response to Intervention (RTI) over the past several years. As we continue to evolve and improve our practice, and transition to a whole-child MTSS grounded in equity, anti-racism, and the science of learning and development, we must shift our processes and practices in the following ways:

Current RTI Process	Future MTSS Process
Structure: Focused on creating a system for	Structure: Focused on creating the conditions
interventions	for student success
Meetings: Focused on addressing skill	Meetings: Focused on holistic conversations
deficiencies	about the whole child
Decision Making: Data-based, but	Decision Making: Data-based across multiple
made in isolation	data points
Interventions: Variety in the type of	Interventions: District-wide system of
interventions and the way (how, when,	evidence- based interventions that address
duration) we support students not on grade	the needs of the whole child
level	
Progress Monitoring: Significant variety in the	Progress Monitoring: District-wide data system
way progress is monitored	for monitoring student progress

MTSS and Early Learners

According to research compiled by Charles Greenwood Ph.D., there are a variety of reasons why young children entering preschool may not have had an opportunity within the home setting or early childcare to learn language, early literacy, and the social-emotional skills at an age-appropriate level. Nonetheless, preschool MTSS establishes a means of preventing identified early delays from becoming learning disabilities. As such, early intervention via MTSS is essential for prevention for young children who face developmental learning challenges.

The No Child Left Behind Act (NCLB, 2001) and the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA, 2004) support the implementation of MTSS in an effort to improving students' outcomes through evidence-based practice. However, although there is a great push nationwide to fulfill the role of effective MTSS there is still the need to address the imperatives of Child Find, which leaves the "educational world" in a state of dissonance as the pendulum shifts to the intervention paradigm.

Establishing Screening, Referral & Monitoring Structures

Data systems and structures are a critical component of MTSS@DCPS. As we shift our approach to be strengths-based and focused on the needs of the whole child, it is critical that we are intentional about how we use data and plan supports for students at all Tiers. This section includes information to support schools in developing:

- Screening Process
- MTSS Referral Process
- Progress Monitoring

Much of the data used for these processes is captured in the Panorama Student Success platform.

Please see <u>Appendix 1</u> for additional considerations in the screening, referral, and progress monitoring process for special populations, including students with disabilities or 504 plans, and English learners.

Note: Students with IEPs or 504 plans may benefit from additional Tier 2 or Tier 3 supports in other domains of development; as such, they should always be included in screening, referral, and progress monitoring processes. For example:

- Students who qualify for special education services in reading may need Tier 2 or 3 support in mathematics.
- Students who qualify for special education services in behavior may need access to Tier 2 or 3 support for academics.
- Students who qualify for 504 services for a visual impairment may need access to Tier 2 or 3 support for behavior.

Screening Process

The universal screening process, which typically takes place three times a year (BOY, MOY, and EOY), is a mechanism for using standardized assessments to reflect on strengths and needs for students and staff. Typically, universal screening data is discussed during an MTSS Leadership Team meeting, and relevant takeaways and next steps are shared with additional staff (e.g. via Tier 1 meetings).

It is important that the screening process includes data about the learning environment and whole child, and that data is disaggregated by race and other subgroups. Information gathered from the screening process should be used to inform Tier 1 practices schoolwide or within a grade/content area and may also identify students who may benefit from Tier 2 or Tier 3 supports.

Process

A strong screening process includes the following components:

Step	Description
1. Identify data sources and timeline	 Determine which data sources your school will leverage in each domain (contextual, social emotional, behavior, attendance, academic). The data sources included in Appendix 3 and these guiding questions may be a helpful starting point. Determine the timeline for gathering and quality checking data from each screening tool, as well as the school-based lead on each data point.
2. Develop data analysis process	 Determine a process for holistic data review that supports triangulation and understanding intersections between data points Identify assessment-specific screening metrics Ensure data is disaggregated and analyzed by race and other relevant subgroups Data analysis process should result in next steps for Tier 1 supports, and generate potential referrals for Tier 2/3 supports
3. Analyze data and plan accordingly	 Gather MTSS Leadership Team to engage in data analysis process, facilitated by MTSS Lead Plan for Tier 1 supports, and determine who will lead follow up for each next step Generate potential Tier 2/3 referrals, and determine who will follow up with classroom teachers to confirm referral next steps

Responsibilities

Successful screening is grounded in the work of several key team members:

Role	Responsibilities
School staff	Gather and input data per established timelines, in alignment with role/responsibilities (e.g., ensuring all students complete BOY assessments within the given timeframe, encouraging student participation in Panorama Student Surveys)
Data points of contact (POC)	Each data point should have a designated POC that is responsible for tracking completion and accuracy (e.g., ELA LEAP Lead is POC for Reading Inventory data).

MTSS Lead	 Develop and lead screening process, in consultation with school leader and MTSS Leadership Team Lead data review during screening meeting, ensuring a focus on the whole child and disaggregating data Support follow through of next steps after the screening meeting (e.g. Tier 1 practice adjustments, Tier 2 referrals)
School Leader	 Support MTSS Lead as needed to develop comprehensive screening process Follow up with school staff as needed to ensure all students complete assessments within the required timeframes Participate in screening meetings and support follow up as needed
School Psychologist	School Psychologists are uniquely qualified members of school teams that support students' ability to learn and teachers' ability to teach. School psychologists are highly trained in both psychology and education. They apply expertise in mental health, learning, and behavior, to help children and youth succeed academically, socially, behaviorally, and emotionally. School psychologists' partner with families, teachers, school administrators, and other professionals to create safe, healthy, and supportive learning environments that strengthen connections between home, school, and the community (adapted from NASP). Full-time school psychologists are recommended to act as the MTSS leads.

MTSS Referral Process

When student needs are not being met by Tier 1 supports, they should be referred for Tier 2 or Tier 3 supports. A referral for Tier 2 or Tier 3 supports can be initiated by a teacher or other caring adult through the referral process, or by the MTSS team through a data review. It is important that strategic and holistic data be collected and reviewed as part of the process; this will lead to a more precise response and better outcomes for students.

Process

MTSS Leads should utilize the <u>Panorama Student Success</u> platform to analyze schoolwide progress monitoring trends. Progress monitoring analysis should take place at least twice per term and should reference the metrics below; results and reflections should be shared with school leaders and the MTSS Leadership Team. Analysis should be conducted at the whole-school school level, as well as by subgroups (e.g., student demographics, grade level, content areas, intervention level, Champion) to promote a whole child, anti-racist perspective.

- Track student progress on Student Success Plans
 - % On Track
 - % Progressing
 - % Off Track
 - % Of students who met their Student Success Plan goal

- Determine progress monitoring fidelity tracking
 - % Up to date
 - % Past Due
- Cross reference progress monitoring trends to determine possible correlations with other data sources. For example, is student progress on Success Plan goals reflected in academic achievement, SEL, behavior, and/or attendance data?

A strong referral process includes the following components:

Component	Description
Gather Information	Teachers may use the <u>Data Collection Checklist</u> and data sources included in <u>Appendix 3</u> to support them in gathering relevant data to support the referral. The <u>Panorama Student Success</u> platform will be utilized to access data that will support the referral; additional data will likely need to be compiled from other sources depending on the student context. Teachers should be prepared to share their reflections from providing Tier 1 supports and other relevant qualitative and quantitative data.
Complete DCPS Referral Form	The teacher or other caring adult completes the MTSS Referral Form and compiles the relevant documentation to support the referral. Strategic and holistic data collection leads to a more precise response, and ultimately, better outcomes for students. Teachers may use the Referral Phase Guiding Questions as they complete the referral to further facilitate reflection of their knowledge and responsiveness to a student.
Submit Referral	The referring teacher submits the referral packet (referral form and relevant data) to the appropriate Referral Manager (additional information below).
Review Referral	The Referral Manager will review the referral and either accept or reject the referral (in consultation with the larger MTSS Leadership Team as needed) within five business days. In doing so, they will consider whether Tier 1 supports are sufficiently in place, as well as any other contextual factors that may be impacting the student. • If referral is accepted, proceed to schedule the meeting. • If referral is denied, the MTSS Lead returns the referral packet to the referring adult with clear reason for denial and suggestions of what

Schedule Meeting	If the referral is accepted, the MTSS Lead will schedule the meeting, inclusive of the core team, student's teachers and parent/guardian (as applicable). The date of the meeting will be documented on the referral form and emailed to the referring teacher.
Prepare for Meeting	Student voice should be obtained as a data point in a Tier 2 collaboration meeting. The MTSS Lead and Referral Manager will identify the trusted adult who is best situated to get accurate information from the student and make the request to the trusted adult. MTSS Lead will ensure all Tier 2 meeting participants have all relevant data to review in advance of the meeting. Referring adult works with the MTSS Lead in preparation for the meeting.
Meet	MTSS Lead facilitates the meeting and referring adult(s) share context about students. The team has time to ask questions, push on adult actions, and if appropriate, develop MTSS Success Plan (including goals, supports/interventions, and progress monitoring).

Responsibilities

A successful referral process is grounded in the work of several key team members:

Role	Responsibilities
MTSS Leadership Team	Review screening data three times annually and recommend students to be reviewed by Tier 1 team for potential Tier 2 referral
MTSS Tier 1 Team	 Review recommendations from MTSS Leadership team to determine if referral to Tier 2 is warranted; if yes, identify who will submit referral Review Tier 1 data and practices regularly to determine which students may benefit from Tier 2 supports; identify person to submit referral as needed
Referring Adult (Teacher)	 Complete the referral form and gather additional data as needed to support a holistic understanding of the student's context, strengths, and needs Submit referral to MTSS Referral Manager
Referral Manager	 Review referral within five business days; review includes consideration about whether Tier 1 supports are sufficiently in place, as well as any other contextual factors that may be impacting the student Follow up with teacher who submitted the referral as needed based on determination (rejected – provide feedback and support; accepted – plan and schedule meeting) Look for trends in referrals and bring them to the MTSS Leadership Team for discussion. For example, are certain groups of students being referred more often than others (e.g. from a specific race, classroom, grade)? Are there trends in referrals that are rejected?
MTSS Lead	 Support Referral Manager in evaluating referrals as needed Ensure organization and tracking of all referrals, status, and follow up Look for trends in referrals that could indicate a need for Tier 1 supports, implicit biases, etc. Support development of progress monitoring plan and analysis of progress Track schoolwide progress monitoring implementation and ensure Panorama Student Success platform
School Leader	 Review status of all referrals and support MTSS Lead with follow up as needed Look for trends in referrals that could indicate a need for Tier 1 supports, implicit biases, etc.

Progress Monitoring

Progress monitoring is the process of collecting formative data for students receiving Tier 2 and Tier 3 supports to determine if interventions are improving student outcomes. There are four key hallmarks of effective progress monitoring:

Hallmark	Description	
Consistent cycle every 6- 8 weeks	All Tier 2 and Tier 3 supports must be consistently monitored every 6-8 weeks. This is long enough for the support to have an impact, and short enough to adjust course if needed. NOTE: All students participating in School Year Acceleration Academies (SYAAs) will be progress monitored on a district-normed seven-week cycle.	
Metrics determined in advance	Clearly identify how progress will be measured, both while the support is being implemented (e.g. daily/weekly metrics) and at the end of the cycle. Identify baselines for each metric to support measurement of	
	quantitative growth. Note for academics: These are Form As in Standards Mastery for grades 2-8; other grades should determine baselines baseline metrics based on the targeted skills	
Holistic evaluation of impact	In alignment with our whole child focus, data should be looked at holistically to understand the impact of the support/intervention. Teams may look at the progress monitoring metrics alongside additional metrics (e.g. course grades, attendance) to understand the broader implications and relationships between data points.	
Progress clearly indicated	At the end of every 6-8 week cycle, at a minimum, progress is clearly noted in Panorama Student Success as being on track, progressing, or behind. This indication is based predominately on quantitative data.	

Process: Details

The table below outlines the process for progress monitoring supports/interventions that have been developed through each method:

	Success Plan	SY Acceleration Academy	Other
Determine Data Points and Collection Strategy	 Includes daily/weekly formative information (e.g. exit tickets) as well as end-of-intervention metrics (e.g. grades, i- Ready) Process developed when Success Plan is created 	 Progress monitoring metrics and timeline are preset; see Appendix 5 and Acceleration Guidance for more details May also include daily/weekly formative information as relevant 	 If support is High Impact Tutoring (HIT) led by a partner organization, metrics may include the partner's progress monitoring tools May also include daily/weekly formative information as relevant
Establish Baseline	-	n relevant metrics; this will be tion cycle to understand quar	
Enter Plan into Panorama	Entered in Panorama Student Success as an "intervention plan"	Entered in Panorama Student Success as a "group intervention plan"	Plan tracked in Panorama Student Success as an "intervention plan" or "group intervention plan" as appropriate
Collect & Input Data	 Typically data is collected by the Success Plan Champion or Intervention POC Baseline data entered at the start of the plan 	 Typically data is collected by SYAA leader or teacher Baseline data entered at the start of the plan Daily/weekly monitoring notes 	 Typically data is collected by the intervention POC or MTSS Lead Baseline data entered at the start of the plan Daily/weekly

Prepare for Data Review	 In advance of the Tier 2/3 Collaboration Meeting (every 6-8 weeks), all progress monitoring data, as well as any other relevant data (e.g. attendance, course grades), is shared with team members All team members review all progress monitoring data and prepare to share their reflections at the Collaboration Meeting 	 In week seven of the cycle, data is analyzed to determine next steps for instruction. Progress monitoring data should be reviewed in conjunction with additional data points (e.g. attendance, course grades) for a more holistic understanding of impact 	 Every 6-8 weeks, a team meets to discuss progress. Progress monitoring data should be reviewed in conjunction with additional data points (e.g. attendance, course grades) for a more holistic understanding of impact
Data Review	 Data review takes place during a Tier 2 or Tier 3 collaboration meeting Next steps are determined and codified in the updated Success Plan (if continuing) and Panorama 	 Data review takes place with SYAA Leader, SYAA teachers, classroom teachers, and others as relevant Determine adjustments to content and instructional practices for the next six weeks Determine if any students should exit the supports 	 Data review takes place with person delivering the supports, plus at least two other adults who know the students and/or content area well Determine adjustments to content or implementation for the next six weeks Determine if any students should exit the supports

THE ROLE OF SCHOOL PSYCHOLOGISTS IN MTSS

School psychology practices provide an ideal opportunity to address the prevention needs of all students. All DCPS have a Multi-tiered Systems of Support (MTS) Team. At the core, the MTSS Team supports and promotes a multi-tiered system of support (MTSS) that ensures school-wide quality instruction and effective social-emotional supports for all students, identify students in need of additional support, provide evidence-based interventions, monitor student progress, and adjust the intensity of interventions based on the student's level of responsiveness. School

psychologists integrate this tiered approach to address students' wholistic needs in the following ways:

Tier I: Universal, Whole-school Approaches Focused on Promotion/Prevention

At the universal Tier 1 of MTSS, school psychologists should:

- Conduct classroom ecological observations to inform the focus of SEL skills instruction and classroom management consultation with teachers and administrators for individual classroom and school-wide application
- Consult with teachers on using teaching practices to create classroom environments that foster increased student engagement
- Conduct training for school-staff on MTSS, inclusive of PBIS and SEL integration
- Collaborate with members of the MTSS teams to facilitate implementation of schoolwide-PBIS structures
- Work with MTSS, SEL, and School Culture/Climate teams as well as administrators to coordinate existing school-wide programs currently being implemented (e.g., antibullying committee, social skills group)
- Collaborate with members of MTSS and SEL teams to collect treatment integrity data for programs that do not include that component, to monitor the fidelity of program implementation
- Provide parent/family SEL introduction presentations for facilitation at the school level
- Make recommendations to school administrators regarding SEL implementation needs, including scheduling, personnel, and assessment tools
- Assist with identifying students who are struggling with age or grade level academic expectations
- Assist or facilitate student focused data-based discussions
- Consult with teachers and parents regarding early intervention strategies in the classroom and at home
- Consult with district personnel to identify appropriate evidence-based intervention strategies
- Determine useful and appropriate procedures for concerns and needs of students

Tier II: Targeted Strategies and Interventions Focused on Students at Risk

At the targeted or Tier 2 level of MTSS, school psychologists should:

- Utilize interviews, student background information and screening tools to identify student resilience and risk factors (e.g., related to social skills, trauma, chronic stress, ADHD characteristics) associated with observed levels of SEL skills
- Integrate content of SEL program being implemented with selected evidence-based Tier
 II intervention strategies/programs to be utilized with students who are socially and emotionally at risk

- Provide small group SEL skills training structured around specific risk factors relative to levels of SEL competencies demonstrated by students identified for Tier II support.
- Provide student-specific teacher consultation relative to SEL skills instruction and classroom management strategies
- Observe students in the instructional environment to help identify appropriate learning interventions or barriers to interventions
- Demonstrate, model, and train intervention strategies
- Develop, model, and train staff on data collection strategies to monitor fidelity of interventions
- Attend and/or facilitate MTSS team meetings and contribute to the decision-making process
- Participate in the MTSS intervention plan development
- Serve as liaison to parents by helping them understand the intervention plan
- Engage in ongoing consultation regarding implementation
- Review data and documentation to assess intervention fidelity, integrity, and intensity
- Conduct social skills groups

Tier III: Intensive Interventions and Support

At the intensive, Tier 3 level of MTSS, school psychologists should:

- Integrate content of SEL program being implemented with other evidencebased/manualized programs to address individualized intervention for students at the Tier III level of risk in MTSS
- Provide individual SEL skills training structured around specific risk factors relative to levels of SEL competencies demonstrated by students identified for Tier III support
- Coordinate intervention services between school and community agencies to address SEL support for students at the Tier III level of risk
- Incorporate a focus on SEL competencies in psychological report recommendations
- Progress Monitor the data submitted by members of the team regarding the student's response to the academic interventions implemented
- Review data and Tier 2 interventions to determine if student progress has been actualized or if interventions need to be more intensive

Considerations for All Data (Normative Group Comparisons: National and District/School Peers)

When analyzing universal screening, progress monitoring, and curricular assessment data, student performance should be compared to both national norms and to local peer performance (in district/school). In some cases, there may not be much difference in local, district, or national norms. However, if a school's grade-level data indicates that their local norms for a measure or assessment may be different from national norm data, it is important to carefully consider the question of whether the student has received adequate instruction. For example, if a student's score is at the 8th percentile when compared to national norms but at the 41st percentile when compared to other students in his or her grade/class, that student

should be compared against other students who have received similar instruction to determine whether there is inadequate achievement.

Rate of Improvement

The school data team must document the student's rate of improvement throughout the implementation of increasingly intensive interventions. The team must:

- identify the specific area(s) of concern—oral expression; listening comprehension; written expression; basic reading skill; reading fluency; reading comprehension; mathematical calculation; and/or mathematical reasoning;
- identify the rate of growth necessary and set intervention goals/aim to meet grade-level expectations (norms or benchmarks based on age- or grade-level state standards; i.e., close the gap with typical peers), with such analysis being based on research based norms or criterion- referenced benchmarks (see note below); and
- compare the student's actual growth against rate of growth expected or required.

Determining the rate of progress in relation to Tier 3/intensive intervention is a responsibility of the data team. The weekly progress monitoring data is used for the analysis of insufficient progress and should include a minimum of 8-10 data points for a reliable trend line (rate at which the student is improving). Insufficient progress criteria is based on the student's rate of improvement (ROI) in comparison to the ROI goals with emphasis on closing the achievement gap. ROI can be determined using rate of improvement normative data on charts by hand or is provided by commercial data systems such as DIBELS Next or aimsweb. The criteria for goal determination must be stated when reporting data for insufficient progress. ROI goals must be selected using evidence-based strategies using ambitious but achievable goals. Considerations for Student Data Analysis Teams must give consideration to the multiple variables relevant to each individual student when analyzing adequate progress. This requires problem solving and careful consideration of all the data gathered. The primary question to answer is: "Is the student making adequate progress to close the gap?" A psychometrically valid and reliable methodology is used to analyze the progress-monitoring data. This means progress-monitoring scores need to accurately represent the student's growth.

School teams should be particularly cautious about making interpretations when there is a significant amount of scatter among the individual data points. If there is reason to believe the trend line does not accurately represent the student's growth, they need to consider the factors contributing to possible inaccuracies, such as:

- Whether the measures are being administered with fidelity
- Whether a sufficient number of measures have been administered to achieve technical adequacy of the slope
- Whether factors such as distractibility, time of day, or motivation are interfering with obtaining valid scores
- Whether the weekly measures represent such significant scatter that the trend line is too imprecise to accurately represent the growth Consideration for Progress-Monitoring Score Variability

When a student demonstrates considerable variability in his or her scores, the data team may need to investigate further to determine the cause of the variability. For instance, does a pattern exist related to the time of day or week during which the measure was administered? Might this be correlated with the variability of any of the scores? Or was it necessary to extend the intervention period because of a high number of student absences? In such cases, the team must proceed cautiously because a greater variability in scores increases the possibility of inaccurate interpretation. The use of a trend line when graphing student data may be necessary to analyze the student's rate of improvement. If the team finds the data to be unreliable, it may consider whether it has sufficient data to make an eligibility determination. Analysis of Student's Response to Intervention Once the data is assured to be accurate, the team considers the student's response to intervention. The response may be identified as positive, questionable, and poor.

Positive response to intervention is evidenced when the rate of student learning is such that the gap between expected student performance and current student performance is closing and the point at which the student's performance will "come in range" of the target can be extrapolated.

Questionable response is indicated when the student's rate of progress has plateaued compared to intervention-group peers and eventual closure of the gap to expected student performance is not predicted. Poor response to intervention occurs when there is little to no change in rate of student growth after implementation of intervention, compared to intervention-group peers, and after assuring fidelity of implementation and increasing intensity of the intervention. When there is a questionable or poor response, the team determines what adjustments could be made to maximize progress. This is a reiterative process in tweaking intervention and determining progress. When there is evidence of multiple attempts to intensify intervention without sufficient progress then the MTSS team may recommend that the student be considered for specialized instruction.

B. Vision/Hearing Screening

All of the medical information in the student's file should be reviewed prior to an assessment being ordered. Vision and hearing screenings are completed by the school nurse or the child's doctor. The student should have a vision and hearing screening completed within one year of the start of a comprehensive psychologist's assessment. If either screening is failed, appropriate measures must be taken (parent notified, audiological assessment obtained, glasses prescribed, acclimation time, etc.) in an attempt to address the problem before the team refers for the evaluation. If it is ascertained that a vision or hearing impairment cannot be corrected or has been corrected to the extent that it can be, this information should be recorded within the Analyzing Existing Data section of SEDS during the evaluation process. If the team decides to move forward without the appropriate screenings in place, then they should be informed that the lack of this critical data may impact the eligibility determination.

C. Behavior Screening Process

Universal screening for behavioral concerns will begin with a general classroom ecological observation (EO), completed by the school psychologist. The ecological observations are a part of the Tier 1 process. They are not an evaluative tool for instruction. Instead, they are to be used as a form of collaboration to assist with identifying classroom climate and correlating interventions to support students overall performance and assist in identifying evidenced based interventions. Collaboration with the administration regarding the observations should begin at the beginning of the school year. Principals and/or APs may have some criteria in place to identify the classes in which a classroom observation is most useful. It is recommended that classes with a high number of MTSS referrals receive EO.

If a student receives an initial flag, a follow up questionnaire should be given to the teachers and Social Emotional Learning lead. Students who are identified as at risk should be given the identified behavioral screener and if necessary advanced to Tier II.

D. Evaluation to Determine Eligibility

The MTSS team may determine to refer a student who has not responded favorably to evidenced based interventions at Tiers I -III for evaluation to determine if the student meets the eligibility criteria for a disability. The referral form should be submitted with data collected on the student's response to intervention, which is completed by the school-level MTSS members, inclusive of information collected from a variety of sources. It is extremely important that the referral form is completed correctly. When bilingual students are referred for evaluation, for example, the Request for Bilingual Assessment Form must be completed before the psychologist signs the referral form. It is important to note that exact dates (month, date, and year) must be included for each conference, observation, and intervention listed on the referral.

E. Eligibility Process: Overview

Referral

When a parent requests a referral, the LEA should complete the "STANDARD INITIAL REFERRAL FORM".

This form is to be completed only by a **DCPS LEA/Case Manager**, who collects information from the person making the referral.

Information entered on the form should reflect data gathered from the stakeholder making the referral. The completed form should be faxed into SEDS under Miscellaneous Cover Sheet. The referral date on the form should be entered as the referral date in SEDS. *This date starts the eligibility timeline.*



Once a referral for evaluation is made, the LEA now has 30 days to hold the AED meeting and obtain consent (please contact your PM is you were not invited to the AED or consent meeting). Specific evaluation information should be captured in a prior written notice and sent to parents after the meeting. The LEA has 60 days from consent to complete an Eligibility Determination. Once consent is obtained, the provider is given 45 days to complete the evaluation. Assessments should be sent to the parents 10 days prior to the IEP meeting.

Exception to Timeline

The 90-day timeframe does not apply to an LEA if:

- The parent of a child repeatedly fails or refuses to produce the child for the evaluation;
- The parent fails or refuses to respond to a request for consent for the evaluation or
- A child enrolls in a school of another LEA after the 90-day timeline has begun, and prior
 to the determination by the child's previous LEA as to whether the child is a child with a
 disability under this policy. This only applies if the subsequent LEA is making sufficient
 progress to ensure prompt completion of the evaluation, and the parent and subsequent
 LEA agree to a specific time when the evaluation will be completed.

The Case Manager, LEARD and/or RSP must document in SEDS all reasonable efforts made to contact the parent regarding evaluation appointments, requests for consent, or progress on completing the evaluation as outlined above. Reasonable efforts are defined as a minimum of three attempts in at least 2 different modalities as outlined in the OSSE reevaluation policy (e.g., phone and mailed correspondence) by the LEA.

Analyzing Existing Data

The analyzing existing data step of the evaluation process should be completed to determine whether or not there is sufficient information to make an eligibility determination or if formal assessments are needed to make a determination. This review must be conducted by a group of individuals that includes required members of an IEP Team and:

- Review existing evaluation data
 - Information provided by parent
 - Classroom-based observations
 - Response to Intervention in the General Education setting
 - o Information provided by the teachers
 - Formal and informal assessments
- The IEP team should begin their review of the referral by analyzing as many of the following types of existing data as are available:
 - Attendance
 - o Behavior or Incident Reports
 - o Classroom observations
 - Class work samples
 - Current grades
 - Discipline Reports
 - o Documentation of academic and behavior interventions
 - Evaluations and information provided by parents
 - o Health Records and Medical Reports
 - Report cards
 - Standardized Test Scores
- Identify the data that is needed to determine:
 - Category of disability
 - o Present levels of performance
 - Special education & related services
 - Modifications to allow child to meet IEP goals & participate in general education
 - The student's progress
- Documentation of this review must include:
 - The team conclusions/decisions
 - The date the conclusions/decisions are finalized
 - The names of individuals participating in the review
 - Conclusion if additional assessments are needed

Parental Consent for Evaluation

If the team determines that there is enough information to determine eligibility and no additional assessments are required, the team should obtain consent to evaluate and proceed to an eligibility determination meeting.

If the team determines that assessments are warranted, then the team should obtain consent to evaluate. Parents must be informed via written notice, in the parent's native language,

detailing the specifics of which assessments will be administered and what areas of concerns are being assessed.

DCPS must obtain informed parental consent prior to evaluating or providing special education services to a student and must make reasonable attempts to get consent of parents of children who are wards of the state. The expert in the area of assessment should always be present at the time informed consent is obtained.

Informed consent stems from the legal and ethical right the parent has to decide what is done to his or her child, and from the provider's ethical duty to ensure that the parent is involved in decisions. The process of ensuring informed consent includes information exchange between the school and parent and is a part of parent education. In words the parent can understand, the provider must convey the details of the procedure, the purpose of the procedure, and any associated assessments. The parent should be presented with information on the most likely outcomes of treatment.

LEAs must order all assessments in SEDS within three days of procuring the parental consent.

The LEA is not required to obtain parental consent for the initial evaluation when the child is a ward of the State and is not residing with the child's parent and the conditions under 34 C.F.R. 300.300(a)(2) are met.

For more information, consult the DSI reference guide at https://sites.google.com/a/dc.gov/office-of-special-education-reference-guide/part-ii---special-education-procedures-and-guidelines/iep-procedures-the-seven-steps/step-2---engagement-of-services-1/parental-consent-to-evaluate

Ordering Assessments

Based on the analysis of existing data, the team determines if additional formal assessments are required to make an eligibility determination. If they are required, case managers are required to order those assessments within *3 business days of obtaining consent*.

- If a parent refuses consent:
 - o For evaluation: the agency may use due process to obtain authority for evaluation.
 - For services: the agency may NOT use due process in seeking to provide services; there is no fault to the public agency, and no IEP meeting is required.

No single procedure may be the sole criterion and a legally constituted team per IDEA must make the decision.

To address the specific areas of concern, a variety of assessment tools & strategies must be used to collect functional and developmental information that may assist in determining:

- Whether the child has a disability
- The content of the IEP

To accomplish this, assessment materials must be:

- Nondiscriminatory
- Given in the child's native language or mode of communication
- Administered by trained personnel in conformity with instructions
- Conducted to reflect the student's aptitude or achievement
- Used to assess all areas related to the suspected disability and areas of concern
- Technically and culturally sound instruments to assess
 - Cognitive & behavioral factors
 - Physical & developmental factors

Please note that only one assessment should be ordered if assigned to the psychologist (i.e., psychological, educational, adaptive, etc.). A psychological assessment should be ordered for initial and triennial reevaluations.

Non-Discriminatory Assessments

Assessment is defined in DCMR as a data collection procedure to examine a particular area of need in accordance with the rules in IDEA and DCMR. This procedure must be used by a group of qualified professionals to determine a child's educational needs and eligibility for special education and related services.

- Tests selected & administered must not be racially or culturally discriminatory.
- Ensure that the test used is valid with your population by reading the data provided in the manual.

Interpreting Evaluation Data

- Draw on information from a variety of sources
- Decisions must be documented and carefully considered
- Decisions must be made by the MDT/IEP team
- Placement decisions must be in accordance with LRE requirements

Assessment Request

Prior to any student being identified and receiving services, the school shall conduct a full and individual evaluation (IDEA, 20 U.S.C. 1414(a)(1)). The assessment tools should assist the team in determining both eligibility and specific educational programming.

Six Principles of IDEA

Principle of IDEA	Requirement
Zero Reject	Locate, identify, & provide services to all eligible students with disabilities
Protection in Evaluation	Conduct an assessment to determine if a student has an IDEA related disability and if he/she needs special education services
Free Appropriate Public Education	Develop and deliver an individualized education program of special education services that confers meaningful educational benefit.
Least Restrictive Environment	Educate students with disabilities with nondisabled students to the maximum extent appropriate.
Procedural Safeguards	Comply with the procedural requirements of the IDEA.
Parental Participation	Collaborate with parents in the development and delivery of their child's special education program.

Requesting an Evaluation

- Any interested person (a parent, the SEA, another state agency, or school district personnel) may initiate a request for an initial evaluation (IDEA, 1414 (a)(1)(B).
- The IEP and qualified professionals analyze existing data, determine if additional tests are required, interpret all evaluation data and determine eligibility based on the data.
- The updated Special Education Referral form must be completed for all students referred for special education.

Procedures for Initial Evaluation

There is a 45-day timeframe from receipt of parental consent for initial assessment until the initial evaluation is conducted unless the state establishes its own timeframe within which an evaluation must be conducted.

- The timeframe does not apply if:
 - The child attends a new school district after consent is given but before the evaluation is conducted; or
 - o The parent fails to, or repeatedly refuses to, produce the child for evaluation.

Ensure that all assessments are completed *within 45 days of securing parental consent* (though the maximum time allotted is 45 days the providers are strongly encouraged to complete the assessment reports as soon as possible):

- The assessment report must be faxed into SEDS using a Psychological Assessment Report SEDS cover sheet (**not** a miscellaneous cover sheet).
- All Providers will receive an automatic email notification including a report with the following information:
 - o A list of all psychological assessments ordered at their respective schools
 - o Student information
 - o Parent Consent Date, Assessment Order Date, Assessment Due Date
 - Details indicating which assessments are
 - OVERDUE
 - Coming Due in 10 Days
 - Open

If you were not present at the meeting in which consent was obtained, please contact your Program Manager, via email, for next steps.

If parent or teacher is unavailable then the provider should move forward with completing the report, identifying the attempts to contact individuals in the appropriate section. If data from the individual is required, then the report should indicate that there is insufficient data to make eligibility and that an addendum will be done when information is provided. Lack of response should not delay the report being upload on time.

If the student is not available for the assessment, then the Due diligence guidance (and accompanying report) should be followed.

If you are experiencing issues with uploading your document, please contact the SEDS office and send a copy of the report to your PM via email prior to it becoming overdue.

Special Case Assessment Request (i.e., Neuropsychological/Psychiatric)

Neuropsychological and Psychiatric evaluations are generally considered for medical purposes and are usually not required for the consideration of eligibility for Special Education services. If a request is made for either assessment, the team should inquire what the intent and purpose of the assessment is. Generally, the areas of concern can be addressed by a comprehensive psychological. If there is not a current evaluation on file a new one should be recommended and completed by DCPS. Medical evaluations not required for eligibility consideration and academic planning are not completed by DCPS, however we will review all information submitted by the parent.

Eligibility Determination Policy

An eligibility "determination is premised upon whether the child has one of the designated disabilities under the IDEA and the DCMR and, as a result of that disability, requires special education and related services. To make this determination, a team consisting of a group of qualified professionals and the parent must consider all reports of assessments procedures, including a review of informal and formal assessments, parent information, health records, and other independent evaluations."

The team must consider two questions to make an eligibility determination:

- 1) Does the team have enough data to make an eligibility determination?
- 2) Does the student qualify for special education and related services under IDEA?

Special Rules for Determining Eligibility in IDEA 2004

A child will *not* be determined to be a child with a disability if the basis of the child's concern is lack of **scientifically based instruction** in reading, lack of appropriate teaching in math, or LEP (limited English proficiency).

Multidisciplinary Team

The MDT consists of the following:

- Parents
- Special education teacher
- Local Education Agency (LEA) representative
- Student (of appropriate age);
- Evaluator (school psychologist, speech pathologist, occupational therapist, physical therapist, adaptive physical education teacher, etc.)
- General education teacher
- Related service provider(s) (where appropriate)
- Others involved with providing services to the student (where appropriate) in or outside of the school setting (e.g., community mental health service provider, court-appointed social services worker, etc.).

Triennial Reevaluation Policy Procedures

A reevaluation is understood to be a comprehensive evaluation analogous to an initial evaluation under 34 CFR 300.301, conducted for students who have already undergone evaluations and been found eligible for services.

A three-year re-evaluation should answer the questions:

- Is the student still eligible for services under IDEA?
- What is the student's present level of academic achievement and functional needs?
- What additions or modifications to the special education services are needed?
- Is there a change to the student's eligibility classification?

When a student's academic and functional needs warrant it, a reevaluation should be performed more frequently than three years.

**A new Cognitive Assessment is rarely necessary at a re-evaluation, as standardized assessments utilized in Psychological Assessments are typically more appropriate in determining initial eligibility and classification. Psychological Triennial Evaluations are typically generated for Triennials.

Circumstances in which a Comprehensive Psychological Reevaluation should be conducted, include:

- Existing data does not provide the key information needed to determine eligibility or disability classification (e.g., the team believes the student was inappropriately classified as ED and requires adaptive testing to determine if ID is more appropriate).
- The provider determines that the previous assessment(s) is (are) inaccurate.
- HOD requires it.

The AED meeting should be held **60 days** prior to the Triennial Due Date (or expiration date). At this time the team should review the data and the Psychological Evaluation should be ordered. The Comprehensive Psychological Reevaluation and Triennial Psychological Evaluation should be ordered in SEDS under the category of Psychological Evaluation, and the school psychologist will determine which report type is most appropriate.

Independent Education Evaluations (IEE)

There are times when an outside assessment is submitted to the public schools for consideration of a suspected disability and eligibility for special education. An IEE can also be requested by a parent if the parent disagrees with a DCPS evaluation. Other sources for IEEs include the following:

- Ordered by Hearing Officer Decision (HOD)
- Agreed to in a Settlement Agreement (SA)
- Ordered by a judge in a Child and Family Service Agency (CFSA) or juvenile proceeding

A multidisciplinary (MDT) assessment team is required to review all relevant documentation and decide if data is sufficient and/or additional information is needed.

Once it is determined that eligibility is being considered, the LEA id expected to upload the IEE and email the relevant provider to notify them that the report is uploaded for their review.

Once the RSP receives notification of the IEE, they must first complete the DCPS Review of Independent Assessment checklist form and indicate if the assessment meets the requirements to determine eligibility or if additional assessments are required. If the evaluation is missing essential components and was completed due to an HOD or SA, then the parent, attorneys and assessor should be contacted and notified of the missing data. Once the checklist is completed then a written review of the IEE should be completed and uploaded. Please refer to the templates for the required components.

IEE Timeline

When completing the DCPS Review of Independent Assessment Checklist form, the provider must indicate if the IEE will be accepted and meets the requirements for a DCPS

Comprehensive Assessment. The IEE Checklist must be uploaded into SEDS within 5 days of receiving the IEE from the Case Manager/LEA RD.

When an IEE is submitted to the school for eligibility consideration, the provider has **5** days to complete the IEE checklist (from the initial upload date). If no additional assessment is required, the school provider has **20** days (from the date of receipt) to upload a written review of the report.

If additional assessments are required, consent should be obtained, and the appropriate evaluation should be ordered in SEDS by the LEA within 2 days of receiving the checklist. The provider has the allotted **45** from the date of the checklist (45 days from upload date) to upload written report.

Please review further guidelines at:

https://sites.google.com/a/dc.gov/office-of-special-education-reference-guide/part-ii---special-education-procedures-and-guidelines/iep-procedures-the-seven-steps/step-3---student-evaluations/independent-educational-evaluations

Early Childhood Referrals (students aged 3 to 5)

The Child Find Assessment Team (E-CAT), is a team that has been recruited to work "citywide" to implement procedures to identify, locate and evaluate all children with disabilities residing in the District who are in need of special education and related services, regardless of the nature or severity of their disability." The team will consist of five Psychologists, one Social Worker, seven Speech Pathologists, four Occupational Therapists and 1 Physical Therapist. This team will ensure that comprehensive strategies are utilized to ensure that the identification of Pre-K (ages 2 years, 8 months to 5 years, 10 months) children, attending DCPS schools with delays are connected to services as soon as possible.

The team's approach will consist of working in collaboration with school-based providers, administrators, and classroom teachers to obtain information regarding the student's needs as they relate to their ability to access their educational program. The ECAT will use evidence-based practices, knowledge of developmental milestones/normative data, and Early Childhood Standards to determine the effects of the student's impairment on his/her ability to access the general education curriculum.

(Please refer to ECAT guidebook for specific guidance.)

Evaluations for students aged 2 years, 8 months to 5 years, 10 months located outside of the local school will continue to be completed at Early Stages. Initial evaluations for students 5 years, 10 months, 1 day old and Reevaluations for all students are to be completed by the RSP at the student's local school. If a student is currently enrolled in a DCPS local school, classroom observation data should be collected by the local school psychologist dependent upon his or

her capacity to submit them in a timely fashion. Observation data should be forwarded to the Early Stages school psychologist for inclusion in the evaluation process.

Additionally, MTSS data collected for any student in the local school should be forward to the Early Stages evaluation center upon referral. For behavior only referrals, which are considering disability classifications of Other Health Impairment (OHI) or Emotional Disturbance (ED), please complete the Early Stages behavioral referral questionnaire form (APPENDIX O). Submission of this form, as well as other relevant behavioral data (e.g., discipline referrals, anecdotal notes, progress monitoring data, functional behavioral assessment, behavior intervention plan, etc.), will initiate the referral process. In the case where no Tier II interventions have been implemented, targeted strategies should be put into place immediately by the DCPS local school team while evaluation data are compiled.

Speech and Language Impairment and Speech Only Referrals

The process for determining the appropriateness of psychological assessments for initial and reevaluations for students considered or already classified for eligibility under the Speech and Language Impairment (SLI) and Speech Only IEP is outlined below:

Initial Evaluations:

A psychological evaluation should be considered for students who have been referred for areas of concern that align with a disability category that the school psychologist assesses. If the area of concern is (e.g., articulation, stuttering, voice, apraxia, and dysarthria), then no psychological evaluation is warranted.

If the suspected disability is a SLI (e.g., expressive disorder, receptive disorder, etc.) and the team suspects global cognitive deficits, then an abbreviated cognitive evaluation can be completed, and the results provided to the SLP prior to the assessment. If the results suggest that there are cognitive deficits, then a Comprehensive Psychological should also be ordered.

Speech and Language Impairment Reevaluations:

If a student currently has a classification of SLI (or any other disability classification) and the team suspects a new area of concern, then the student should be referred through the MTSS process. Determination of needed assessments should be made after interventions for the new area of concern have been implemented with fidelity. Please note that students under the classification of SLI should already be receiving academic goals. These goals can be modified as warranted.

Speech Only Reevaluations:

- 1. SLPs will compile all necessary data to complete Analyzing Existing Data review.
- If the IEP team believes the disability classification may be inappropriate and that cognitive testing is needed to make a determination, a referral to the MTSS team should be made.

A psychological assessment will only be completed under conditions outlined in #2; it will not be completed for the sole rationale that a cognitive assessment was not completed during the initial evaluation. Additionally, deficits that are associated with a speech and/or language impairment are usually most appropriately captured under the SLI classification. In these instances, the team should discuss how the deficit may impact the student academically and if goal modification may be required. In most instances they should not be referred for SLD without going through the MTSS process.

Please note that if a speech issue is attributed to a traumatic life event, the student should be referred to the MTSS team.

Section 504 Referrals

The Section 504 regulations require a school district to provide a "free appropriate public education" (FAPE) to each qualified student with a disability who is in the school district's jurisdiction, regardless of the nature or severity of the disability. Under Section 504, FAPE consists of the provision of regular or special education and related aids and services designed to meet the student's individual educational needs as adequately as the needs of nondisabled students are met.

If a student has a disability that impacts their ability to access their education, the 504 process can begin without referring to Special Education. However, sometimes a student will be evaluated for Special Education and found to have a disability but not require Special Education. A student may need accommodations to access his or her education. In this case, the student will be referred to the Section 504 process. In either case, the school psychologist may be called upon to complete the necessary evaluations, which may be used to determine if there is a disability that impedes the student's ability to access their education.

For additional information please refer to the to the information on the educator portalhttps://www.educatorportalplus.com/web/edportal/login

End of Year Close-out for Open Assessments

All assessments where consent is obtained on or before an *identified date* are to be completed before the end of the school year by the SEDS assigned provider. Evaluations that are referred after the *identified date* require the AED to be completed and the meeting to be scheduled for the beginning the next school year.

For the rare cases in which assessments are consented to after the *identified date*, the following items should be completed and submitted to your Program Manager before the close of school:

- Reason for the assessment
- Multiple student observations
- Teacher interview(s)
- Records review
- Work samples
- Anecdotal notes, etc.

This information should be compiled and submitted in the form of the *written report* (see Appendix I). Please notify your Program Manager of any assessments assigned to you after the *identified date*. If you do not notify your Program Manager of the open assessments, it will be your responsibility to complete the assessment in a timely manner. Failure to comply with the identified guidelines will result in an IMPACT penalty.

Case Managers should closely monitor cases assigned to your caseload in accordance with these timelines. LEA representatives are to ensure timely escalation to the assigned School Support Liaison (SSL) for open eligibility and assessments assigned to providers after *identified date*.

Crisis Protocol

The focus of crisis response is to address distress in students and in the school community. The three categories of crisis are:

Safety- The student has been victimized by abuse or neglect (self-report, injury, abandonment at school) or a student absconds from school. CFSA (202-671-723) must be contacted. All school personnel are mandated reporters.

Behavioral Health- The student exhibits symptoms of emotional disturbance relative to his/her mental health status (suicidal ideation, homicidal ideation, psychosis), a current or former student or staff member dies, or there is a critical threat or event.

School based mental health providers assess, de-escalate and develop a crisis plan. For school-wide crises, the Principal should consult with the School Crisis Team in addition to the Central Crisis Team Coordinator and the Central Office Security Coordinator. If the initial interventions are insufficient due to the severity of the symptoms a call should be placed to: ChAMPS (202-481-1450) for students ages 5 to 18 or the DBH Access Helpline (1-888-793-4397) for students ages 19 and older.

Criminal- The student exhibits behavior that is not mental health related such as assault, theft or willful destruction of property.

When schools determine that actions meet criteria for criminal behavior, the school administration contacts the Office of School Security and MPD.

Each provider will be assigned dates that they will be expected to report if a crisis occurs. The assigned provider will be notified via email in the event of the crisis and expected to report to the school in crisis at the beginning of their tour of duty. Please check email prior to reporting to school on the assigned dates. Crisis response is mandatory. All crisis response protocols are under the direction of the School Principal. Please refer to the Emergency Response Plan and Management Guide located in each school's administrative office for comprehensive instruction. Contact the Central Crisis Team at school.mentalhealth@k12.dc.gov with additional questions or concerns.

F. Manifestation Determination Review

IDEA defines manifestation determination as: Within ten (10) school days of any decision to change the placement of a child with a disability because of a violation of a code of student conduct, the local educational agency, the parent, and relevant members of the IEP Team (as determined by the parent and the local educational agency) shall review all relevant information in the student's file, including the child's IEP, any teacher observations, and any relevant information provided by the parents to determine:

- (I) If the conduct in question was caused by, or had a direct and substantial relationship to, the child's disability; or
- (II) If the conduct in question was the direct result of the local educational agency's failure to implement the IEP.

If the local educational agency, the parent, and relevant members of the IEP Team determine that either sub-clause (I) or (II) is applicable for the child, the conduct shall be determined to be a manifestation of the child's disability.

A MDR is an evaluation of the student's disability and the act of misconduct when a district proposes to remove the student or enact specified disciplinary actions. The district, the parent and relevant members of the IEP conduct the MDR. If a school psychologist was a member of the student's IEP team, it is strongly recommended that they participate in the MDR. Teams are required to meet after the 10th consecutive day and every suspension or removal thereafter. Disciplinary actions can be made only if the district concludes after the evaluation that there was no relationship between the student's disability and the actions of misconduct.

G. Closing Out an Assessment in SEDS/Assessment Timeliness

Uploading Reports into SEDS

Upon completing an assessment report, the report must be **uploaded** (not faxed) and closed out in SEDS https://osse.pcgeducation.com/dcdcps/. It is expected that all providers input their reports into the system via the UPLOAD link. When uploading your document, be sure to insert your signature and save your document as a PDF. Completed reports should be uploaded into SEDS within 45 days from the date of consent. Note that copying and pasting into the summary section is not an acceptable format for submission. Timeliness will be determined from the initial upload date, which should correspond with the date entered as the Date of Completion. Also, please note that Date Assessment Completed is equivalent to the date the report is completed, and this should correspond with the date the report is uploaded into SEDS. All reports that are late or are incomplete will be considered untimely. Please be sure to verify that the complete report was uploaded. Contact your Program Manager if there are any barriers to completing assessments in a timely fashion. Instances in which reports are identified as completed and not uploaded according to protocol will affect various areas of IMPACT evaluations as well as progressive discipline.

IEEs ordered through Settlement Agreements and HODs should be ordered by the LEA in SEDS upon receipt of the report. Once the IEE report has been ordered/uploaded under the HOD/SA/IEE Documentation Cover Sheet, the LEA should order the review of the IEE. Once the review of IEE report is completed, the psychologist should upload it in under *Psychological Assessment*.

IEEs submitted by the parent (but not ordered by the LEA) should be given to the provider immediately and the review of the IEE should be completed within 14-30 days.

For parent submitted IEE reports, the completed IEE review should be faxed in under the cover sheet for "Information reviewed Cover Page" in the *Analyze Existing Data* section under *Areas* to Consider. This same process should be used for faxing in a Triennial Psychological Evaluation.

Please refer to your SEDS manual for additional information located at the following website:

https://sites.google.com/a/dc.gov/seds-help-resources/help/SEDS-manual


Changing the Labels of Documents Uploaded into EasyIEP

Providers are required to upload into EasyIEP/SEDS the (1) Comprehensive Psychological Evaluation, (2) Triennial Psychological Evaluation, and (3) Independent Assessment Review/IEE checklist/and IEE report. Providers must also rename documents once they are uploaded into the system. To do so:


- Select Student in EasyIEP/SEDS
- Go to Documents
- Select Miscellaneous Cover Sheet
- Click on Create Final Document (will be saved)
- Fax document to 1.866.610.8030, and wait for approximately 10 minutes for the document to show as received
- Scroll down to click on *Change Fax Labels*
- Rename the document as indicated below
- Click on Update the Database

Inserting Signature and Saving as PDF

- Write your signature on a piece of paper.
- Scan the page and save it on your computer in a common file format: .bmp, .gif, .jpg, or .png. You can also take a picture of the signature on your phone and then email the picture to yourself.
- Open the image file.
- To crop the image, click it to open the Picture Tools **Format** tab, click **Crop**, and then crop the image.



- Right-click the image, and then click **Save as Picture** to save the picture as a separate file. Save as DCPS signature
- To add the signature to a document, click **Insert** > **Pictures**.



• Once your signature is inserted save the document. Then "Save as" a pdf document. This is what you will upload into SEDS.

H. Providing Documents to Guardians Before/After Eligibility/IEP Meetings

Changes to DCMR Special Education Legislation

D.C. Acts 20-486, 20-487, and 20-488) were signed into law as of March 10, 2015, amending certain parts of the DC Municipal Regulations (DCMR) and introducing new pieces of legislation that have direct implications on how we provide special education in the District.

Process for Providing Documents before Meetings:

- 1. At least **ten (10) business days** before a scheduled meeting, **all documents** that will be discussed during that meeting **must be sent home to parents**.
- 2. The Pre-Meeting Packet letter that explains the information should be sent with the packet.
- 3. After all documents have been provided to parents, the Pre-Meeting Checklist must be completed and faxed into SEDS by the LEA
- 4. A **communications log entry** must be completed after providing parents with documents.

Documents to Provide Before an Eligibility Meeting:

Before Eligibility meetings, the following materials must be provided to parents by the LEA:

- Analyzing Existing Data Report
- Copies/results of any formal or informal assessments and/or evaluations (e.g., educational, FBA, speech, psychological, etc.)
- Any other additional relevant documents that will be discussed at the meeting.
- If any of the IDEA required IEP team members will be unable to attend or participate by phone, a Mandatory IEP Meeting Excusal Form is also required

I. Bilingual Referrals

If it has been determined by the Multidisciplinary Team (MDT) that a student requires additional assessments and it has been concluded based on the results of the WIDA ACCESS or other English proficiency test that the student will be assessed in a language other than English, the Local Education Agency (LEA) Representative will forward the referral to the Bilingual Coordinator.

All of the pre-referral steps, including interventions, must be completed prior to the referral package being forwarded to the Bilingual Coordinator. Additionally, **WIDA ACCESS** scores must be obtained prior to referring to the Bilingual Coordinator. If the WIDA scores are not secured prior to signing consent, the assessment will be the responsibility of the local school psychologist and an interpreter will assist with completing the assessment.

The current DCPS bilingual providers consist of Spanish speaking social workers, school psychologists, and speech pathologists. IDEA 2004 requires that assessments and other evaluation materials be administered in the "language and form most likely to yield accurate information on what the child knows and can do academically, developmentally, and functionally, unless it is not feasible to so provide or administer."

If the school, parent, or any significant stakeholder suspects that a student is having difficulty working to their potential (ruling out external factors) and there is documented impact on the student's educational performance, the MTSS team can refer the student for further investigation.

The DCPS local schools conduct Multidisciplinary Team (MDT) meetings to analyze existing data which assists in determining if additional evaluations are needed and whether the student will require a bilingual assessment.

The determination will include but is not limited to the results on the WIDA ACCESS or other English proficiency test, which are used to determine if the student is an English Language Learner (ELL) and in need of a bilingual assessment. English Language Learner students are given the WIDA ACCESS test every spring to determine their current English proficiency levels.

If the WIDA ACCESS or other English proficiency tests results are not available, the student can be referred to the Language Acquisition Division (LAD), which is currently housed at Emery Elementary School—1720 1st Street, NE, (202) 576-6034—to have the assessments completed. The English proficiency scores, along with the various other data points indicated below, will assist in determining the student's dominant language to be spoken during the evaluation.

When determining if a student is to be assessed in English or another language, consider the number of years of academic instruction in English and the native language of the student. Students who have lived in the United States for 7 years or fewer, receive ESL services, and are non-native speakers of English should be considered for a bilingual assessment.

Related Service Providers or specialists working with the student may recommend a bilingual assessment based on quantitative and qualitative data. Parents or parent advocates may also request a bilingual assessment with supporting documentation.

Once it is determined that the referred student requires a bilingual assessment, the local school is to complete a Request for Bilingual Assessment Packet, with attachments, and fax it to the bilingual coordinator. Referral Packets will be reviewed to establish the completion of all stipulated documentation.

Once the bilingual referral is received and determined appropriate, it will be assigned within 72 hours to the designated provider(s) by the bilingual coordinator. The local school representatives are responsible for scheduling all required meetings with the parents.

Note: If the school does not have bilingual support available to set up any required meetings, they can use the Language Line available through the District of Columbia Office of Human Rights to help coordinate meeting times.

Call the Language Line at 1-800-367-9559

- Agency Client ID <u>511049</u>
- Access Code **701001**

REQUESTING AN INTERPRETER FOR ASSESSMENTS

In-Person Interpreter Request Process for RSP Assessments

The Interpreter Request process allows Related Services providers (RSPs) to formally request interpreter services. Interpreter services may be requested to support RSPs while conducting student evaluations when the student's primary language is not covered by the DCPS Bilingual Team, or the bilingual team does not have capacity. All requests for interpreter/translation services require the RSP to submit the request by completing a google form.

The google form link is:

https://docs.google.com/a/dc.gov/forms/d/e/1FAIpQLSfrK4PWymBSKfq_IjrthJKroe4LVbou44Oj RcVqB8PBPTSQ3g/viewform

- All requests should be submitted within a minimum of seven (7) business days, prior to the date services are needed. Any incomplete request forms will not be processed.
- The following languages are currently under contract. Note: Requests for other languages will take longer.
 - o Spanish
 - Vietnamese
 - o Chinese
 - o Amharic
 - o French

- A vendor will be assigned to complete the interpreter services and provide a confirmation email of interpreter/translation services at least two days prior to the date of services to the school based RSP.
- The interpreter will provide an evaluation form to be given to the related service provider at the time of service.
- Upon completion of interpreter services, the provider sends a follow-up email to Robert Richardson (robert.richardson5@dc.gov) confirming the services requested were rendered with the evaluation form attached. All information should be submitted within 2 days of completed interpreter services.
- If there are any inquiries or questions regarding the Interpreter Request process, please contact the Division of Specialized Instruction (DSI) POC, Robert Richardson (robert.richardson5@dc.gov).

For more information regarding the bilingual assessment referral guidelines, please access the Bilingual Assessment Referral Guidelines.

J. Setting Up a School Mental Health Team

A comprehensive school mental health program involves a multitude of professionals working in collaboration for the betterment of students and each school community. In order to fully implement a multi-tiered system of support, each school must have a functioning School Mental Health Team that meets regularly (weekly or biweekly).

School Mental Health Team Guidance

At minimum, the School Mental Health Team should be comprised of the school social worker, school psychologist, school counselor, clinicians associated with our community partners and school nurse. In addition, utilize your School Health and Wellness Team Directory to ensure that the appropriate staff members are aware of meeting days and times, and invited when necessary.

- The team should discuss upcoming MTSS and IEP meetings to ensure that (1) the
 appropriate team members who should attend those meetings are aware and available,
 (2) all mental health-related data have been collected and are ready to review, and (3)
 all necessary assessments have been completed timely and are ready to review. Any
 outstanding needs should be discussed and assigned to a team member.
- The team should review all open school social work and school psychology assessments to ensure that (1) a provider has been formally assigned the assessment in SEDS, (2) the consent date is current, and (3) collaboration occurs, and information is shared as necessary. For example, the team may discuss a Behavior Intervention Plan (BIP) that is being developed for a student with complex challenges.

- The team should discuss students who experienced an individual student crisis in the
 previous week and determine if an Individual Student Crisis Plan is necessary. The team
 can also use this time to collaborate on the development of those plans and plans to
 disseminate to all necessary staff members. The team should also review completed
 plans to see if updates are warranted.
- The school nurse should share information with the team and elicit feedback about student-specific concerns and/or larger initiatives.
- The Community-Based Partner(s) should give updates on students they are working with and update the team on caseload (i.e., if they are at capacity or if they have capacity to support additional students).
- The team should share updates on (1) families who may have expressed a need and (2) resources available.
- The team should review new referrals and determine which team member has the capacity and is most appropriate to provide support.

Section IV

TRAINING AND SUPPORT

To increase competency in the field and improve best practice in School Psychology in DCPS, the Psychology Department offers several opportunities to obtain professional development and training opportunities.

The Psychology Program implements trainings that promote high-standards and best practices that support continuous quality improvement efforts and ensure compliance with court mandates, federal and local regulations, and discipline-specific national organizations. The training programs are evidence-based, empirically driven, and results-focused. These initiatives are implemented through strategic planning aimed at identifying effective strategies for improving the performance of the related service provider in ways that enhance the quality-of-service delivery, mastery of students' goals for exiting services, quality assessments, appropriate educational planning, academic achievement, secondary transition outcomes, as well as functional skills that improve educational outcomes of students with disabilities. All trainings are geared towards increasing providers' capacity to promote and support student-centered academic and mental health programs within a Multi-Tiered System of Support (MTSS).

A. Professional Development

The Psychology Program is committed to providing exemplary professional development to continually strengthen the knowledge, technical skills, and quality of services and supports delivered to all service providers; to ensure that all professional development opportunities are culturally and linguistically responsive, performance-based, scientifically researched and presented in a data-driven learning environment; and to identify effective strategies for improving the performance of Related Service Providers in ways that are linked to student outcomes. PDs will:

- Support the advancement of school psychologists' professional practice in partnership with schools citywide, to assist in implementing the key components within the Response to Intervention (MTSS/) framework.
- Assist psychologists in supporting classroom teachers to improve student achievement
 using research-based interventions matched to student instructional needs and level and to
 collaborate on appropriate instruction that targets the specific learning needs of the
 student.
- Adhere to assessment format, incorporate all data elements (qualitative/quantitative), and utilize procedural reference guides, ethical standards, and the District Regulations when developing psychological assessments to support the educational planning for students.

B. Case Conference

Case Conference provides an opportunity for psychologists to interact with fellow colleagues to review and discuss cases and special related topics on a monthly basis. The premise of this approach is to improve professional practices and providers' knowledge base within the school setting. To further enhance the support of providers, Case Conference groups are separated into Learning Communities, where providers are clustered into groups that service similar populations.

School psychologists select cases that present interesting profiles, issues, challenges, or other concerns that would benefit from input and discussion from their colleagues. It is expected that colleagues share constructive input to assist others in improving their professional practices. Colleagues will not provide formal supervision or formal evaluation of work products.

Appropriate cases to present are those that have been reviewed and/or assessed by the DCPS psychologist, as well as those written up in a psychological evaluation report. This report is to be disseminated via email to team members a minimum of 72 hours prior to your case conference meeting.

Teams also review strategies and interventions that providers find effectively enhance academic success and provide instructional support. This assists the providers at large in supporting their schools in various stages of intervention. Additionally, teams will present on and discuss relevant professional topics of interest. This allows for additional training opportunities for the school psychologists to broaden their scope of knowledge.

Team members should come to case conference prepared by having read through their colleague's report, as well as with comments and questions to offer to an enlightening discussion. All psychologists will participate in their assigned case conference throughout the school year. Psychology program managers will facilitate case conferences and psychologists' participation will be included in annual performance reviews.

C. Internship/Externship

DCPS Internship (practicum/externship) Program was created to centralize the internship process for students interested in completing their field experience within a DC public school. We believe in facilitating a hands-on learning environment conducive to educating future school psychologists for DCPS and the society at-large.

DCPS currently offers unremunerated school psychology internships/externships to school psychology students completing a master's, specialists', or doctoral degree in School Psychology or a doctoral degree in Clinical Psychology at an accredited college or university. Prospective interns and externs are offered an opportunity for an excellent learning experience facilitated by certified, highly skilled, on-site school psychologists.

School Assignments

Local colleges and universities with School Psychology programs are invited to inform school psychology students interested in interning with DCPS to submit an online DCPS Graduate Internship Application via the following link: https://octo.quickbase.com/db/bf2ix82ez.

Upon acceptance, internship/externship applicants will be invited to submit for an interview to be conducted by a prospective field supervisor. Final acceptance and placement decisions will be made by the Psychology Program Manager/Internship-Externship Coordinator based on applicants' qualifications, as well as availability and suitability of prospective school sites.

All prospective interns and practicum students must formally apply to the program. All field supervisors are appointed by the Psychology PM and each internship/practicum site must have the School Principal's approval. DCPS does not authorize the placement of interns and practicum students in DCPS schools without the approval of the Internship Program Manager and based on terms outlined in the MOA between DCPS and the fielding university.

Prior to beginning their internship/externship, accepted interns/externs will be required to be fingerprinted and to submit a negative TB test to DCPS Human Resources.

School Psychology intern/externs will be placed at school sites that will provide opportunities for exposure to a variety of school psychological services. Interns/externs will be involved in collaborating with teachers to identify appropriate instructional strategies and interventions and in implementing behavioral intervention programs and strategies in schools. They will conduct assessments and provide preventative services to students referred for Special Education. In addition, interns/externs will be encouraged to participate in all areas of practice that are engaged in by their on-site supervisor(s). These activities include, but are not limited to, school meetings, regular professional development opportunities, and case conferences. Intern/extern will have the opportunity to work with children across a wide range of grade levels and ages, as well as specialized educational programs. They will provide assessments and preventative services to students referred for Special Education. Each intern/extern will receive a minimum of two hours per week of individual, face-to-face supervision with the field supervisor.

Field Supervisor Qualification

To meet general Internship/Externship standards, on-site supervision will be provided by a Office of the State Superintendent (OSSE) certified school psychologist who has completed at least three years of effective service in a DCPS school. School psychologists who hold a Ph.D., Ed.D. or Psy.D. degree in Psychology will supervise doctoral level school psychology interns.

Interns

All DCPS interns are expected to carry a caseload and assist with MTSS/, assessment completion, and individual/group support as well as co-facilitate staff advisory presentations and complete other assignments as appropriate.

Internship Duration and Hours

School Psychology interns begin the DCPS Program in the Fall. An internship experience will consist of a minimum of 20 hours per week in the field. The beginning and end of the internship day will depend on the assigned school and the field instructor's availability. The duration of the internship period as well as the length of the internship day will be agreed upon before a placement is made and will be specified in the internship offer letter.

Practicum Students

DCPS accepts practicum students from partner universities based on the terms outlined in the Memorandum of Agreement (MOA) between the two entities. Practicum students are placed at schools with qualified school psychologists who serve as field supervisors for the duration of the practicum experience. The practicum student's primary task is observation and documentation. However, practicum training can also involve assisting with various aspects of the school psychologist's duties including supporting the MTSS process and completing components of psychological assessments under the supervision of the field supervisor. The practicum experience typically does not exceed 20 hours per week. The duration of the practicum assignment is determined by the requirements of the university program.

Prospective practicum students are required to submit their application via the School Psychology Internship Application Portal.

Roles and Responsibilities

Field instructors

School psychologists will be responsible for the direct service of field instruction required by their assigned intern. He or she will assist the intern with creating a schedule to meet the requirements for the intern's field hours, review and provide feedback for process recordings, provide guidance for the interns learning agreement, and facilitate an appropriate learning environment.

School Psychology Program Manager

Students will be assigned to a Psychology Program Manager supporting the internship program. The PM will oversee the field experience, sign off on learning agreements, and collaborate with Field Instructors to complete midterm and final evaluations.

Memorandum of Agreement (MOA)

All universities and colleges fielding interns/externs in DCPS schools will be required to sign an MOA with DCPS. Concurrent with the Internship/Externship application process, a MOA template will be forwarded to the fielding university/college for review and completion. The MOA must be finalized and signed by DCPS Chancellor and the designated official of the fielding institution prior to the prospective intern/extern's placement in a DCPS school.

Section V

SPECIAL EDUCATION DISABILITY CATEGORIES

Special Education Disability Categories Under Idea

Special Education: instruction that is specially designed in content, methodology or delivery of instruction to assist students in accessing the general education curriculum.

Autism: a developmental disability significantly affecting verbal and nonverbal communication and social interaction. It is generally evident before age three and adversely affects a child's educational performance. Other characteristics often associated with autism are engaging in repetitive activities and stereotyped movements, resistance to environmental change or change in daily routines, and unusual responses to sensory experiences. The term autism does not apply if the child's educational performance is adversely affected primarily because the child has an emotional disturbance, as defined below. A child who shows the characteristics of autism after age 3 could be diagnosed as having autism if the criteria above are satisfied.

Deaf-Blindness: concomitant [simultaneous] hearing and visual impairments, the combination of which causes such severe communication and other developmental and educational needs that children cannot be accommodated in special education programs solely for children with deafness or children with blindness.

Deafness: a hearing impairment so severe that a child is unable to process linguistic information through hearing, with or without amplification, to such an extent that it adversely affects a child's educational performance.

Developmental Delay: for children from birth to age three (under IDEA Part C) and children from ages three through eight, the term developmental delay means a delay in one or more of the following areas: physical development, cognitive development, communication, social or emotional development, or adaptive [behavioral] development.

Emotional Disturbance: a condition exhibiting one or more of the following characteristics over a long period of time and to a marked degree that adversely affects a child's educational performance:

- (a) An inability to learn that cannot be explained by intellectual, sensory, or health factors.
- (b) An inability to build or maintain satisfactory interpersonal relationships with peers and teachers.
- (c) Inappropriate types of behavior or feelings under normal circumstances.
- (d) A general pervasive mood of unhappiness or depression.
- (e) A tendency to develop physical symptoms or fears associated with personal or school problems.

The term includes schizophrenia. The term does not apply to children who are socially maladjusted, unless it is determined that they have an emotional disturbance.

Hearing Impairment: an impairment in hearing, whether permanent or fluctuating, that adversely affects a child's educational performance but is not included under the definition of "deafness."

Intellectual Disability: significantly sub-average general intellectual functioning, existing concurrently [at the same time] with deficits in adaptive behavior and manifested during the developmental period, that adversely affects a child's educational performance.

(Editor's Note, February 2011: "Intellectual Disability" is a new term in IDEA. Until October 2010, the law used the term "mental retardation." In October 2010, Rosa's Law was signed into law by President Obama. Rosa's Law changed the term to be used in the future to "intellectual disability." The definition of the term itself did not change and is what has just been shown above.)

Multiple Disabilities: concomitant [simultaneous] impairments (such as mental retardation-blindness, mental retardation-orthopedic impairment, etc.), the combination of which causes such severe educational needs that they **cannot** be accommodated in a special education program solely for one of the impairments. The term does not include deaf blindness.

Orthopedic Impairment: a severe orthopedic impairment that adversely affects a child's educational performance. The term includes impairments caused by a congenital anomaly, impairments caused by disease (e.g., poliomyelitis, bone tuberculosis), and impairments from other causes (e.g., cerebral palsy, amputations, and fractures or burns that cause contractures).

Other Health Impairment: having limited strength, vitality, or alertness, including a heightened alertness to environmental stimuli, that results in limited alertness with respect to the educational environment, that—

- (a) is due to chronic or acute health problems such as asthma, attention deficit disorder or attention deficit hyperactivity disorder, diabetes, epilepsy, a heart condition, hemophilia, lead poisoning, leukemia, nephritis, rheumatic fever, sickle cell anemia, and Tourette's syndrome; and
- (b) adversely affects a child's educational performance.

Specific Learning Disability: a disorder in one or more of the basic psychological processes involved in understanding or in using language, spoken, or written, that may manifest itself in the imperfect ability to listen, think, speak, read, write, spell, or to do mathematical calculations. The term includes such conditions as perceptual disabilities, brain injury, minimal brain dysfunction, dyslexia, and developmental aphasia. The term does not include learning problems that are primarily the result of visual, hearing, or motor disabilities; mental retardation; emotional disturbance; or environmental, cultural, or economic disadvantage.

Speech or Language Impairment: a communication disorder such as stuttering, impaired articulation, a language impairment, or a voice impairment that adversely affects a child's educational performance.

Traumatic Brain Injury: an acquired injury to the brain caused by an external physical force, resulting in total or partial functional disability or psychosocial impairment, or both, that adversely affects a child's educational performance. The term applies to open or closed head injuries resulting in impairments in one or more areas, such as cognition; language; memory; attention; reasoning; abstract thinking; judgment; problem-solving; sensory, perceptual, and motor abilities; psychosocial behavior; physical functions; information processing; and speech. The term does not apply to brain injuries that are congenital or degenerative, or to brain injuries induced by birth trauma.

Visual Impairment Including Blindness: an impairment in vision that, even with correction, adversely affects a child's educational performance. The term includes both partial sight and blindness.

Section VI

ELIGIBILITY AND DISMISSAL

GUIDELINES FOR IDENTIFYING SPECIFIC LEARNING DISABILITY (SLD)

Definition: Specific Learning Disability (SLD) is a disorder in one or more of the basic psychological processes involved in understanding or in using language, spoken or written, that may manifest itself in an imperfect ability to listen, think, speak, read, write, spell, or do mathematical calculations. SLD may not include learning problems that are primarily the result of: visual, hearing or motor disabilities; intellectual disability (known as mental retardation); emotional disturbance; cultural factors; environmental or economic disadvantage; emotional disturbance; cultural factors; environmental or economic disadvantage; or limited English proficiency.

Eligibility Criteria: In order for a student to be identified as having a learning disability and deemed eligible for special education under IDEA, the following criteria must be met:

Eligibility Using Scientific Research-Based Interventions

To determine eligibility using Scientific Research-Based Intervention Model (SRBI), observation in the child's learning environment (including regular classroom setting) and both criteria 1 and 2 must be met. A child's need for academic support alone is never sufficient for an SLD eligibility determination.

- 1. The child's response to scientific, research-based interventions must indicate the child is not achieving adequately for the child's age or meet state-approved grade-level standards in one or more of the following areas when provided with learning experiences and instruction appropriate for the child's age or state-approved grade-level standards:
 - Oral expressions;
 - Listening comprehension;
 - Written expression;
 - Basic reading skill;
 - Reading fluency skills;
 - Reading comprehension;
 - Mathematical calculation;
 - Mathematics problem solving; AND

The child exhibits a pattern of strengths and weaknesses in performance, achievement or both, relative to age, state-approved grade-level standards, or intellectual development that is determined by the Multidisciplinary Team (MDT) to be relevant to the identification of a specific learning disability (as defined above) when using appropriate assessments, **OR**

The child does not make sufficient progress to meet age or state-approved grade-level standards in one or more of the areas identified above when using a process based on the child's response to scientific, research-based interventions.

(If sufficient MTSS has not been implemented with fidelity, and inadequate data has been collected, then the MTSS model of determination should not be used. Extensive Progress Monitoring should be collected and reviewed in report. Student progress should be determined by student's initial functioning/base lines and the student's expected growth. Alternative interventions should be given across tiers.)

- 2. The MDT determines that its findings noted above are not primarily the result of any of the following:
 - Lack of appropriate instruction in reading, including the essential components of reading instruction- (phonemic awareness; phonics; reading fluency; vocabulary development; and reading comprehension strategies)
 - Lack of appropriate instruction in math
 - Lack of appropriate instruction in writing
 - Limited English proficiency
 - A visual, hearing or motor disability
 - An intellectual disability
 - Emotional disturbance
 - Cultural factors, or
 - Environmental or economic disadvantage

GUIDELINES FOR IDENTIFYING EMOTIONAL DISTURBANCE (ED)

Definition: Emotional Disturbance (ED) is a condition exhibiting one or more of the characteristics described in the eligibility criteria below that exits over an extended period of time **and** to a marked degree that adversely affects a child's educational performance. Emotional Disturbance includes schizophrenia but may not apply to children who are socially maladjusted unless it is determined that they meet the criteria for the ED disability classification according to the criteria in the OSSE Initial/Reevaluation Policy and as outlined in this DCPS guidance.

Identification of an Emotional Disturbance and Determining Eligibility for Special Education: In order for a student to be identified as having an emotional disturbance and be eligible for special education under IDEA, the following criteria must be met:

Eligibility Criteria: To determine a child to be eligible, a group of qualified professionals must review and/or conduct **two** scientific- research-based interventions that are based on a problem-solving model that addresses behavioral/emotional skill deficiency **and** documentation of the results of the Intervention, including progress monitoring documentation. One of the following criteria must be exhibited and the child **must** display the criterion over a long period of time **and** with a degree of severity.

The child must exhibit **one** of the following criteria over a long period of time **and** with a degree of severity:

- 1. An inability to make educational progress that cannot be explained by intellectual, sensory, or health factors;
- 2. An inability to build or maintain satisfactory interpersonal relationships with peers and teachers;
- 3. Inappropriate types of behavior or feelings under normal circumstances;
- 4. A general pervasive mood or unhappiness or depression; or
- 5. A tendency to develop physical symptoms or fears associated with personal or school problems.

EARLY INTERVENTION

*Additional criteria for Early Stages when considering eligibility for **Emotional Disturbance (ED)**

- o All of the criteria outlined by OSSE must first be established.
- o A classroom, when applicable, or learning environment observation **must** be completed.
- o At minimum, feedback on ED measures, **must be** consistent of two respondents.
- When eligibility is established, prior to holding the meeting, Ms. Tamara Dukes
 (tamara.dukes@dc.gov) should be contacted to request that a Social Worker prepare a
 Functional Behavior Assessment (FBA); No Exceptions.
- The FBA must be completed prior to the eligibility meeting and the assigned social worker needs to be in attendance.
- The social worker will assist with the construction of the social emotional goals which need to be tailored in scope and aligned with practical expectations of the receiving school.

When a classroom teacher notices that a student is not keeping up academically, behaviorally, and/or socially, despite trying the typical age and grade-appropriate interventions, he/she should consult with staff (e.g., special educator, counselor, social worker, ESOL staff, psychologist, and others, as appropriate) to gain further instructional suggestions. This consultation should occur formally, through the Response to Intervention Process. Once a child is referred, the MTSS committee should begin to complete the student intake form to determine if other issues may be contributing to the child's lack of learning. This form may be completed through multiple MTSS meetings on an ongoing basis. The MTSS committee should develop an appropriate intervention plan that addresses the child's academic, behavioral, and/or social deficits.

As the intake is completed, other issues may arise that necessitate further action or decisions. The MTSS committee should reconvene to address these factors, modify intervention plans, and implement additional strategies where appropriate. Teams should routinely investigate

the possibility of instructional disparity when students are referred. If the concern raised is behavioral in nature, the team, in consultation with staff members who have expertise in behavior management (e.g., a special education teacher, guidance counselor, school social worker or psychologist) should conduct a Functional Behavioral Assessment (FBA) and develop a written behavioral intervention plan for the student based on the FBA. If the concern is academic in nature, the school, in consultation with staff members who have expertise in instructional strategies (e.g., reading specialists or special educators) should conduct informal assessments to determine the child's specific skill deficits and develop a written intervention plan for the student based on the assessments.

All intervention plans should be implemented for a **minimum of six consecutive weeks**. The school team should reconvene to consider the effectiveness of the plan, make adjustments to the intervention(s) as needed, and implement the updated plan for a reasonable period of time. The prescribed interventions should be consistently employed and documented. Documentation should include graphs and/or charts so that any changes are clearly noted. Anecdotal records including the antecedent, behavior, and consequence (what happened before the behavior, the behavior and what happened after the intervention), should be collected over a period of time and reflected including baselines and changes in numerical form.

Consideration of these factors should be central to educational planning for students. If pertinent or critical data is missing or unavailable, then the MTSS committee must obtain that information, or document why that data is unattainable. If the documentation does not reflect progress, i.e., non-responsiveness, in the problem area and all other factors have been considered, the student may be referred to the IEP Team for consideration of eligibility for special education. If the student displays behavior that is endangering his/her life or the safety of others or is believed to have a disability that the interventions will not address, then the student may be referred for a special education evaluation. In these cases, the MTSS committee must document why it is believed that interventions outside of special education would not be successful.

Additional criteria for **EARLY STAGES** when considering eligibility for **Emotional Disturbance (ED)**

- o All of the criteria outlined by OSSE must first be established.
- A classroom, when applicable, or learning environment observation must be completed.
- o At minimum, feedback on ED measures, **must be** consistent of two respondents.
- When eligibility is established, prior to holding the meeting, Ms. Tamara Dukes (<u>tamara.dukes@dc.gov</u>) must be contacted in order to request that a Social Worker prepare a Functional Behavior Assessment (FBA).
- The FBA must be completed prior to the eligibility meeting and the assigned social worker needs to be in attendance.
- The social worker will assist with the construction of the social emotional goals which need to be tailored in scope and aligned with practical expectations of the receiving school.

** PLEASE NOTE

If the team is developing goals that require a social worker (outlined in the paragraph) to provide direct services, then the goals should be written in the social /emotional areas of the IEP. HOWEVER, if the goals are more adaptive in nature and/or are to be implemented within the classroom thy need to be written in the adaptive area. Please clearly delineate who is expected to enforce the goal.

Schizophrenia

Schizophrenia is included in IDEA within the ED category. Characteristics include the following: delusions, hallucinations, disorganized speech, grossly disorganized behavior, social/occupational dysfunction, at least a six-month duration. If schizophrenia is suspected, consult with the student's pediatrician or psychiatrist.

Trauma

Students who have been exposed to trauma may present characteristics aligned to ED. It has been found that almost half of children in the US have been exposed to at least one Adverse Childhood Experience (ACE) and over 1 and 5 children have more than 2 ACEs <u>cahmi.org</u>.

Child traumatic stress occur when children or adolescents are exposed to traumatic events or traumatic situations. This exposure overwhelms their ability to cope with what they have experienced. During traumatic experiences, the "stress response" (brain chemicals that set off the fight, free, or flight reaction) helps to keep the child safe. When these responses are overwhelmed, it can cause a child to have these reactions in a context that is irrational for the situation. Complex responses to stress from trauma often led to externalized behaviors. Children who have experienced trauma may view the world as a dangerous place that causes them constant stress and generates fear. This might cause them to act out verbally or physically aggressive towards others or act out defiantly in an attempt to control the situation. It is important that the school psychologist and school team screen for trauma and implement appropriate interventions, with fidelity.

Keep in mind when dealing the students that are referred for emotional disturbances that experiencing trauma can often lead to a diminished sense of self-worth, self-blame, feeling of hopelessness, lack of control resulting in lack of motivation, timeliness, and attentiveness. Mild stressful interactions may serve as reminders of the trauma and trigger intense emotional responses including anxiety and depressive mood. It can also lead to damage to language and communication skills. It can also lead to issues with social and emotional communication due to the need to build walls and use language to keep others at a distance. We must also keep in mind that the inhibited ability to organize material sequentially which can impact on processing of academic content. When a student is initially referred to assess for an Emotional Disturbance classification, the team should determine if the student has previously experienced trauma and whether appropriate and extensive interventions have been put into place to address.

Social Maladjustment

Social maladjustment alone is not evidence of an Emotional Disturbance. A student who is considered to be socially maladjusted (but not to have an Emotional Disturbance) is one who has learned and adapted the behaviors and emotional responses considered acceptable among a subgroup of the population, but which may be inappropriate or maladaptive in the context of the larger population. These behaviors may be typical of and compatible with the standards and expectations of the subgroup with whom the student identifies. The inappropriate behaviors (as considered by the "standard population") or emotional responses exhibited by students with social maladjustment do not arise from disturbed emotions or thinking, but instead serve a pragmatic or adaptive purpose. While the student demonstrates learned behaviors considered to be inappropriate or maladaptive in the larger social context, the student demonstrates appropriate attachment, social responsiveness, and ability to adapt to the environment in which the student lives or socializes.

In the case of a student entering school for the first time – never having been exposed to school values or group learning experiences – the IEP Team shall cautiously review the student's display of challenging behaviors. Such a student may never have learned such school-appropriate behaviors as sharing, learning in groups, or the inhibition of aggressive behavior or language. Lack of exposure to the requisite learning experiences is not enough grounds for identifying a student as having an Emotional Disturbance.

School Psychologists must exercise great care to avoid labeling socially maladjusted (SM) students as having an Emotional Disturbance, as they may, in fact, be quite well adjusted within their particular socio-cultural context. These students have simply not been exposed to the social learning experiences necessary for the development of school requisite social behaviors. Given appropriate instruction, such students should be capable of adapting to the standards and expectations of school and acquiring and maintaining acceptable situation-specific patterns of behavior in school – without abandoning their socio-cultural background. Students from such backgrounds should only be *considered* as having an Emotional Disturbance if they are incapable of such adaptation, after appropriate interventions have been attempted, and if intellectual, sensory, or other health factors have been ruled out.

It is possible for a student to be both socially maladjusted and to meet the Emotional Disturbance criteria. For example, it is possible for a student who is socially maladjusted to also experience Depression. Such a student may exhibit characteristics and meet the defining criteria for Emotional Disturbance.

GUIDELINES FOR IDENTIFYING OTHER HEALTH IMPAIRED (OHI)

Definition: Other Health Impairment means having limited strength, vitality, or alertness, including a heightened alertness to environmental stimuli that result in limited alertness with

SCHOOL PSYCHOLOGY ASSESSMENT AND ELIGIBILITY GUIDEOOK- Version 11

respect to the educational environment that adversely affects a child's educational performance, due to chronic or acute health problems.

Eligibility Criteria: To be eligible a child must meet both criterion 1 and 2 and the disability must have an adverse effect on educational performance.

Criterion #1 - Is due to chronic or acute health problems such as asthma, attention deficit hyperactivity disorder, diabetes, epilepsy, a heart condition, hemophilia, lead poisoning, leukemia, nephritis, rheumatic fever, and sickle cell anemia.

Criterion #2 - The impairment adversely affects a child's educational performance.

*Additional criteria for Early Stages when considering eligibility for **Other Health Impairment** (OHI)

When developing goals in the area of Other Health Impairment (OHI) and the expectation is for a social worker to implements goals, **Early Stages** is responsible for reaching out to the receiving school and inviting the schoolwork school base provider to be a part of the eligibly meeting and programming.

GUIDELINES FOR IDENTIFYING INTELLECTUAL DISABILITY (ID)

Definition: Intellectual Disability means significantly sub-average general intellectual functioning, existing concurrently with deficits in adaptive behavior and manifested during the developmental period, which adversely affects a child's educational performance.

Eligibility Criteria: In order for a student to be identified as having an intellectual disability and be eligible for special education under IDEA, the following criteria must be met:

- The age of onset comes before age 18.
- The student demonstrates significantly sub-average general intellectual functioning demonstrated by comprehensive measures of verbal and nonverbal reasoning competencies at or below IQ/standard scores of 70 and below, or two or more standard deviations below the mean based on individual test manual requirements in multiple measures of verbal and nonverbal reasoning.
- Adaptive behavior is at or below two standard deviations below the mean in one or more domain; or one and one-half standard deviations below the mean in two or more domains in the following areas: communication, health and safety, self-care, functional academics, home living, leisure, social skills, work, and community use.

- The above-described deficits adversely affect the child's educational performance.
- Impact on developmental or academic functioning is not primarily the result of behavior.

Subcategories of Intellectual Disability include:

- Mild Intellectual Disability (IQ/standard score range is between 55 and 70; measured intelligence and adaptive behavior falls between 2 and 3 standard deviations below the mean)
- 2. **Moderate** Intellectual Disability (IQ/standard scores range is between 40 and 55; measured intelligence and adaptive behavior falls between 3 and 4 standard deviations below the mean)
- 3. **Severe** Intellectual Disability (IQ/standard score range is below 40; measured intelligence and adaptive behavior is at least 4 standard deviations below the mean)
- 4. **Profound** Intellectual Disability (IQ/standard score range is below 20; measured intelligence is at least 5 standard deviations below the mean)

GUIDELINES FOR ASSESSING AUTISM SPECTRUM DISORDERS (ASD)

Definition: Is defined as a developmental disability significantly affecting verbal and nonverbal communication and social interaction, generally evident before age three; that adversely affects a child's educational performance. Other characteristics often associated with Autism Spectrum Disorders are engagement in repetitive activities and stereotyped movements, resistance to environmental change or change in daily routines, and unusual responses to sensory experiences. A child who manifests the characteristics of ASD after age three could be identified as having ASD if the other criteria are satisfied. Autism does **not** apply if a child's educational performance is adversely affected primarily because the child has an emotional disturbance

Eligibility Criteria: To be eligible, a child must demonstrate Criterion 1 AND 2; and one or more criteria under Criterion 3 through 6.

- 1. The child displays difficulties or differences or both in interacting with people and events. The child may be unable to establish and maintain reciprocal relationships with people. The child may seek consistency in environmental events to the point of exhibiting rigidity in routines.
- 2. The child displays problems, which extend beyond speech and language to other aspects of social communication, both receptively and expressively. The child's verbal language may be absent or, if present, lacks the usual communicative form that may involve deviance or delay or

SCHOOL PSYCHOLOGY ASSESSMENT AND ELIGIBILITY GUIDEOOK- Version 11

both. The child may have a speech or language disorder or both in addition to communication difficulties associated with autism spectrum disorder.

- 3. The child exhibits delays, arrests, or regressions in motor, sensory, social, or learning skills. The child may exhibit precocious or advanced skill development, while other skills may develop at normal or extremely depressed rates. The child may not follow normal developmental patterns in the acquisition of skills.
- 4. The child exhibits abnormalities in the thinking process and in generalizing. The child exhibits strengths in concrete thinking while difficulties are demonstrated in abstract thinking, awareness, and judgment. Perseverant thinking and impaired ability to process symbolic information may be present.
- 5. The child exhibits unusual, inconsistent, repetitive, or unconventional responses to sounds, sights, smells, tastes, touch or movement. The child may have a visual or hearing impairment or both in addition to sensory processing difficulties associated with autism spectrum disorder.
- 6. The child displays marked distress over changes, insistence on following routines, and a persistent preoccupation with or attachment to objects. The child's capacity to use objects in an age—appropriate or functional manner may be absent, arrested or delayed. The child may have difficulty displaying a range of interests or imaginative activities or both. The child may exhibit stereotyped body movements.

A medical diagnosis of Autism Spectrum Disorder is made based on the DSM-5 (or previous diagnoses of Autism, Asperger's Disorder or PDD is made based on DSM-IV-TR diagnostic criteria). However, the Special Education classification of Autism based on the educational definition and eligibility criteria listed above.

Psychological assessments of students who may have Autism Spectrum Disorder should focus primarily on the following areas:

- Social competence
- Communication
- Atypical behaviors
- Cognitive functioning

Due to impairments in socialization and communication as well as some of the behavioral characteristics often associated with ASD, it is essential to collect assessment data from a number of sources and in a variety of ways.

It is both helpful and advisable to actively include parents throughout the evaluation. Also, results of the psychological assessments should be considered together with the social developmental history, speech/language evaluation, and medical findings. Occupational Therapy (OT) and Physical Therapy (PT) evaluation results also may be available. Although an adaptive behavior assessment (Vineland/ABAS-3) is not required for eligibility for the Autistic program, the

information generated from this instrument is often extremely helpful, especially given the limited information that intellectual assessment yields for some students.

GUIDELINES FOR ASSESSING TRAUMATIC BRAIN INJURY

Definition: Traumatic Brain Injury (TBI) means an *acquired* injury to the brain caused by a sudden, external physical force, resulting in total or partial functional disability or psychosocial impairment, or both, that adversely affects a child's educational performance.

The term applies to open or closed head injuries resulting in impairments in one or more areas, such as cognition; language; memory; attention; reasoning; abstract thinking; behavior; physical functions; information processing; and speech.

The term does not apply to brain injuries that are congenital or degenerative, or to brain injuries induced by prenatal or birth trauma. The term also does not apply to brain injuries that result from internal occurrences such as strokes, tumors, infections, illness, anoxia, or from exposure to toxic substances such as lead, poisons, or drugs. While these causes may have significant educational implications, such children should not be considered as having a traumatic brain injury. Eligibility in other categories could be considered by the MDT team depending on the presenting problems, severity, and educational impacts.

Eligibility Criteria: A student may be determined to exhibit Traumatic Brain Injury when each of the four conditions below is evident:

- 1. There is documentation by a physician of a medically verified traumatic brain injury. The MDT must determine that there is sufficient medical documentation to substantiate that an 'external physical force' has injured the student's brain.
- 2. As a result of the injury, the child exhibits a partial or total disability or functional impairment in one or more of the following areas:
 - A. Physical
 - Speech, vision, hearing, and other sensory impairments
 - Fatigue
 - Lack of coordination
 - Spasticity of muscles
 - Paralysis of one or both sides
 - Seizure disorder
 - B. Cognitive
 - Attention or concentration
 - Ability to initiate, organize, or complete tasks

- Ability to sequence, generalize, or plan
- Flexibility in thinking, reasoning or problem solving
- Abstract thinking
- Judgment or perception
- Long-term or short-term memory including confabulation
- Ability to acquire or retain new information
- Ability to process information/processing speed

C. Psychosocial

- Impaired ability to perceive, evaluate, or use social cues or context appropriately that affect peer or adult relationships
- Impaired ability to cope with over-stimulating environments and low frustration tolerance
- Mood swings
- Lack of awareness of deficits affecting performance
- Difficulty in relating to others
- Impaired ability to demonstrate age-appropriate behavior
- Impaired physical and emotional control
- Inappropriate sexual behavior or disinhibition
- Restlessness, limited motivation and initiation
- 3. As a result of the injury, the child exhibits a functional impairment that adversely affects the student's educational performance. This evidence is determined through the evaluation process and involves but is not limited to consideration of one or more of the following:
 - Standardized test scores
 - Report card grades
 - Daily work samples
 - Curriculum based assessments
 - Participation in new learning activities
 - Participation or functioning in other social and school-related activities
- 4. The functional impairment is not primarily due to previously existing conditions

GUIDELINES FOR ASSESSING DEVELOPMENTAL DELAY (DD)

Definition: Developmental Delay (DD) is defined by the Office of the State Superintendent of Education (OSSE) as a child aged three through seven with a disability who is experiencing developmental delays as measured by appropriate assessment instruments and procedures in one or more of the following areas: Physical development, cognitive development, communication development, social or emotional development, or adaptive development; and who, by reason thereof, needs special education and related services. [34 CFR §300.8(b)]

SCHOOL PSYCHOLOGY ASSESSMENT AND ELIGIBILITY GUIDEOOK- Version 11

Eligibility Criteria: In order for a student to be identified as having a Developmental Delay and deemed eligible to receive special education services under IDEA, the following criteria must be met.

- 1. The child is three (3.0) through 7.11 years of age.
- 2. The child must experience severe developmental delays of at least two years below his/her chronological age **and/or** at least two standard deviations below the mean, as measured by appropriate standardized diagnostic instruments and procedures.
- 3. DD does not apply to children with the following disabilities:
 - Autism
 - Traumatic Brain Injury
 - Mental Retardation
 - Emotional Disturbance
 - Other Health Impairment
 - Orthopedic Impairment
 - Visual Impairment, including blindness
 - Hearing Impairment, including deafness; or
 - Speech/Language Impairment
- 4. No child may be classified as having DD based solely on deficits in the area of social and/or emotional development.
- 5. The evaluation must be comprised of data from various sources/stakeholders, even if the delay is only in one area. Not all areas must be assessed, and a cognitive assessment is not required for all children. The verification of delay is obtained through an evaluation process which includes at least three of the following: informed clinical opinion to include observational assessment, standardized development test(s), developmental inventory, behavioral checklist, adaptive behavior measure, and parent interview.
- 6. The Multidisciplinary Team (MDT) has made a determination concerning the effects of the environment, cultural differences or economic disadvantages.
- 7. A professional clinical opinion, along with the Multidiscipline Team (MDT) makes a recommendation based on qualitative and quantitative data that developmental delay exists and special education and/or related services are needed.

Reevaluation/Dismissal guidelines for Developmental Delay (DD)

The evaluation must be comprised of data from various sources/stakeholders, even if the delay is only in one area. Not all areas must be assessed, and a cognitive assessment is not required for all children. The verification of delay is obtained through an evaluation process which includes at least three of the following: informed clinical opinion to include observational assessment, standardized development test(s) and/or early childhood curriculum-based assessments, developmental inventory, behavioral checklist, adaptive behavior measure, and parent interview.

- A DD child must be reevaluated prior to age eight (8). At this time, he or she must be
 eligible for another special education classification program in order to continue eligibility
 for special education and/or related services. Comprehensive assessments are only
 required if a new disability is suspected.
- A DD child may be dismissed earlier than age 7.11 providing that data is available which demonstrates adequate functioning levels in the five developmental areas: (a) adaptive or self-help development; (b) cognitive development; (c) communication development; (d) social or emotional development; or (e) physical development, including fine, gross, or perceptual motor. Classroom teachers, psychologists, related service providers, and/or other professionals must provide data. Students' development should be considered individually when determining what assessments may or may not be necessary in order to meet dismissal criteria. Please see sections for other educational disabilities for guidance on decision making for changing disability type if the student remains eligible at the reevaluation.

Determining educational impact and curriculum-based assessments for Early Childhood students:

Early childhood classrooms in DCPS utilize a curriculum and assessment tool called the GOLD. The GOLD links key developmental milestones with instruction in order to track student progress. Individual objectives correspond to the main developmental areas outlined above: (a) adaptive or self-help development; (b) cognitive development; (c) communication development; (d) social or emotional development; or (e) physical development, including fine, gross, or perceptual motor. Key objectives include:

- Traveling skills
- Balancing skills
- Gross motor manipulative skills
- Fine motor strength and coordination
- Phonological awareness
- Knowledge of the alphabet
- Demonstrates knowledge of print and its uses
- Comprehends and responds to books and other texts
- Demonstrates emergent writing skills
- Uses number concepts and operations

SCHOOL PSYCHOLOGY ASSESSMENT AND ELIGIBILITY GUIDEOOK- Version 11

- Explores and describes spatial relationships and shapes
- Demonstrates progress in listening to and understanding English
- Demonstrates progress in speaking English

Providers should utilize this data in conjunction with teacher input to determine if students are making academic progress based on their age and level of school exposure to specific skill to identify is an educational impact to warrant eligibility for special education services under the disability Developmental Delay.

GOLD OBJECTIVES

Social Emotional

Objective 1

Regulates own emotions and behaviors

Manage feelings

- Unable to resolve conflict with peers
- Unable to communicate feelings in a socially accepted manner
- Unable to regulate emotions and becomes physically aggressive when frustrated (excessive hitting, kicking biting, or spitting, etc.)
- Unable to persevere through frustration
- Unable to calm self when experiencing strong emotions (anger, embarrassment, etc.)
- Unable to be calmed when upset (Ex: tantrums that last longer than 10 minutes)

Follow limits and expectations

- Unable to adhere to limits and expectations via classroom rules and schedule
- No tolerance for delayed gratification (Ex: cannot share, waiting, take turns, etc.)
- Unable to make transitions in the school setting

Takes care of own needs appropriately

- Unable to ask for help when required verbally or nonverbally
- Unable to exhibit age-appropriate self-care skills (Ex: restroom routine, eating, etc.)

Objective 2

Establishes and sustains positive relationships

Forms relationships with adults

- Unable to separate from caregiver when entering the school setting (Rapport must be present with teacher)
- Unable to build a positive rapport with teacher or other school personnel

Responds to Emotional Cues

- Unable to exhibit appropriate safety precautions
- Unable to exhibit understanding of social cues

Interacts with peers

- Unable to engage or show interest in age-appropriate play with peers
- Unable to parallel, onlooker or cooperative play with peers
- Unable to initiate play with peers

Make friends

- Unable to show interest in peers
- Unable to work cooperatively in a group.
- Unable to identify a specific peer group, best friend

Objective 3

Participates cooperatively and constructively in a group situation

Balances needs and rights of self and others

- Unable to work cooperatively to complete a task with a peer
- Unable to take turns with a peer

Solves social problems

• Unable to solve conflict amongst peer with assistance and modeling (Ex: can resolve a problem with a peer by giving a peer another toy, changing activities, leaving the area)

Cognitive Development

Objective 1

Demonstrates positive approaches to learning

Attends and engages (Attention and Focus)

- Unresponsive to stimulus cues in the environment
- Unable initiate interaction with parents, teachers and peers
- Unable to cooperatively participate in classroom activities including circle time, centers, outside games, specials, etc.)
- Unable to engage in cooperative play with peers in small or large groups (Ex: difficulty with sharing, turn taking, transitioning through classroom activities)
- Unable to ask for assistance when assistance in needed
- Unable focuses his/her attention on one task while being aware of, but not distracted by, another activity
- Unable to attend to a learning task

Persists

- Unable to exhibit mastery of a familiar task cannot build on trial-and-error experiences, or frequent experiences
- Unable to follow classroom rules and instructions after ample exposure and modeling
- Unable to follow age-appropriate single and /or multiple step directions independently
- Unable to persist through a task with encouragement and scaffolding

Solve problems

- Lacks emotional response to or recognition of barriers
- Unable to learn via modeling or instruction to implement strategies when faced with barriers
- Unable to initiate, plan and organize self to complete a task (may have difficulty with puzzles, toys that require assembly (ex: Mr. Potato Head, building blocks, etc.), finding games, obstacle courses, etc.

Shows curiosity and Motivation

- Does not explore classroom environment in a constructive manner
- Does not show interest in age-appropriate activities with ample exposure

Shows flexibility and inventiveness in thinking

- Unresponsive to modeling use of typical classroom objects, materials
- Unable to find alternate ways to solve and problem
- Unable to adjust and persevere after a setback
- Unable to shift focus from one topic or activity to another
- Unable to make logical predictions
- Unable to engage in imaginary play (Animating objects, ex: using a block as a phone or car, actively engaging in dramatic play)

Objective 2

Recognizes and recalls

- Object permanence
- Unable to recall information reviewed routinely in the classroom (Ex: alphabet, numbers, shapes, colors, etc.)
- Unable to recall facts from a story and complete familiar activities
- Unable to draw connection between information presented and real-life experience

Makes connections

- Unable to recognize similarities and differences
- Unable to identify the relationship between items when named with modeling and ample exposure
- Unable to complete tasks across environments

Objective 3

Uses classification skills

- Unable to match by one common characteristic, e.g., shape, color, size
- Unable to exclude an item from a group (Ex: asking "Which one does not belong?")

Objective 4

Thinks Symbolically

- Unable to follow age-appropriate single and /or multiple step directions independently
- Unable to persist through a task with encouragement and scaffolding
- Generalize familiar concepts to new environments
- Unable to engage in age-appropriate spontaneous conversation with peers and/or adults

Engages in socio-dramatic play

• Unable to engage in symbolic play – replicate real life or make-believe situations in play

Note: Students should have difficulty performing these activities at least 50%. Please refer to the Early Stages website for additional information- http://earlystagesdc.org

*Additional criteria for Early Stages when considering eligibility for **Developmental Delayed** (DD)

When developing goals in the areas of Developmental Delay (DD) and the expectation is for a social worker to implement goals, **Early Stages is responsible** for reaching out to the receiving school and inviting the school work school base provider to be a part of the eligibly meeting and programming.

GUIDELINES FOR MULTIPLE DISABILITIES (MD)

Definition:

Two or more impairments (such as intellectual disability-blindness or intellectual disability-orthopedic impairment) occurring together, the combination of which causes such severe educational needs that the child cannot be accommodated in special education programs solely for one of the impairments. When the group of qualified professionals is discussing eligibility under MD, the team should consider whether the child's impairment is so severe that identification of solely one primary disability is not possible. Multiple disabilities shall not include deaf blindness.

Eligibility Criteria:

To be eligible, a child must have concomitant impairments, the combination of which causes such severe educational needs that they cannot be accommodated in special education programs solely for one of the impairments.

1. The child should meet **all** of the criteria associated with the disability from **at least two** groups (e.g. Intellectual disability-blindness).

Group A: Cognitive Disability (may select no more than 1)

- Autism
- Emotional Disturbance
- Intellectual Disability (previously MR)
- Specific Learning Disability
- Speech-Language Impairment
- Traumatic Brain Injury

Group B: Sensory Disability (may select no more than 1)

- Deaf-Blindness
- Deafness
- Hearing Impairment
- Visual Impairment including Blindness

Group C: Other Disability (may select no more than 1)

- Orthopedic Impairment
- Other Health Impairment
- 2. The combination of coexisting impairments is so severe, complex and interwoven that identification in a single category of disability cannot be determined.
- The impairment results in multisensory or motor deficiencies and developmental lags in the cognitive, affective, or psychomotor areas designed solely to address single impairments.

School Psychologists should be able to present sufficient data that shows how each disability has a significant impact on academic attainment. The data should clearly delineate that one disability is not a manifestation of the other (e.g., ADHD manifestation of Depression).

UNTIMELY ASSESSMENTS SCENARIOS AND DUE DILIGENCE PROCEDURES

The purpose of these Guidelines is to provide guidance when assessments are not conducted in a timely manner due to the student's absence, truancy, or refusal to participate or attend, lack of or withdrawal of parental consent for evaluation/reevaluation, or incomplete assessment.

A. Student Unavailable

1. Parent/Guardian Consent is Granted but the Student is Frequently Absent, Truant, and/or Refuses to Participate or Attend

When 2-3 attempts to assess are unsuccessful because the student is absent, truant and/or refuses to participate or attend:

- a) The Related Service Provider (RSP) assigned to complete the assessment must:
 - Contact the teacher, attendance coordinator, and parent/guardian to determine the reason for the student's absence;
 - Document the reason for the student's absence for each time a scheduled assessment is missed;
 - Reschedule the assessment with the parent/guardian and document the agreed upon session in the SEDS communication log; and
 - Document contacts, attempted contacts, and outcomes in the SEDS communication log;
 - Inform the Special Education Coordinator (LEA) via email that the student was absent or refused to participate and that the information has been documented.
 - Collect as much data as possible and completed and uploaded the **Due Diligence** Report.
- b) The LEA must:
 - Contact the parent/guardian at least three times using multiple modalities (e.g., written communication via letter, phone call, and email message when available). One contact must be written correspondence sent by certified mail with a return receipt;
 - Notify the related service provider via email when the attempts to contact the parent are made; and
 - Document contacts with parent/guardian, attempted contacts, and outcomes in the SEDS communication log.
- c) The IEP Team must convene within 15 school days of the second failed attempt to assess. The Team will:
 - Review the student's attendance history since consent was obtained;
 - Consider the reason(s) for the student's absence, truancy, and/or refusal to participate or attend; and
 - Determine if an alternate assessment or schedule for the assessment may be warranted. Refer to discipline program guidebooks for the required elements of the alternative assessment report.

The parent/guardian and DCPS can agree in writing that the attendance of certain IEP Team members is not necessary for this meeting depending on the member's area of curriculum or related services; allowing a partial team to meet to address this particular situation. **However, the related service provider assigned to that assessment MUST be in attendance.** If the parent/guardian cannot physically attend the IEP meeting, an alternative means of participation may be used such as teleconference or virtual communication tools such as Skype.

SCHOOL PSYCHOLOGY ASSESSMENT AND ELIGIBILITY GUIDEOOK- Version 11

The LEA will send a letter by certified mail with a return receipt to the parent/guardian within five business days of the IEP meeting if the parent/guardian does not want to attend the IEP meeting or fails to respond to the IEP Meeting Invitation/Notice.

Parent/Guardian and/or Student Unavailable for Assessment

When attempting to reach the parent for data collection (interview, rating scale, etc.), the provider should attempt to contact the parent a minimum of three times. Attempts should be made over an extended period and should be documented in the Communication Log in SEDS. If the school records have incorrect information, efforts to reach the parent through the teacher or student should be attempted and documented. Attempts to reach the parent should be summarized in the appropriate sections of the Psychological Evaluation report.

The provider should attempt to assess, observe and/or interview the student a minimum of three times. Unsuccessful attempts should be reported to the parent/guardian and that communication should be documented in the Communication Log in SEDS. If the student is unavailable for assessment after three attempts, then the Due Diligence Evaluation should be completed and uploaded into SEDS by the assessment due date.

2. No Parent/Guardian Consent for Initial Evaluation

If the parent/guardian fails to respond to the *Parent/Guardian Consent to Initial Evaluation/Reevaluation* within 15 school days, the LEA must:

- a) Contact the parent/guardian at least three times using multiple modalities (e.g., letter, phone, email when information is available). Importantly, RSP shall not if contact information is wrong or unavailable in the communication log after each attempt to access parent/guardian contact information. One contact must be written correspondence sent by certified mail with a return receipt;
- b) Document contacts, attempted contacts, and outcomes in the SEDS communication log;
- c) Send a Prior Written Notice (PWN) by certified mail with a return receipt to the parent/guardian indicating that the special education process has stopped. At this point, DCPS is no longer obligated to pursue consent or conduct assessments; and
- d) Contact the cluster supervisor via email if he/she feels it is necessary to pursue the consent to evaluate. DCPS may elect to proceed to mediation and/or a due process hearing in order to override the lack of consent for assessment.

Exit Criteria Guidelines for Specific Learning Disability

Student Name:	Student ID:
Date of Birth:	Date of MDT:
Attending School:	Neighborhood School:

Specific Learning Disability (SLD) Dismissal Criteria Checklist (All must be checked in either section to determine dismissal)
The student was given at least one Comprehensive individual test of intellectual functioning and significant deficits were not identified.
An academic measure was administered, and commensurate standard scores were achieved, or appropriate age level scores were achieved, at a minimum.
Documentation supports that there is no educational impact that adversely affects the student academically.
The student has successfully completed the goals and objectives on the IEP.
The conditions that qualified the student for initial eligibility have improved to the extent that he or she can function adequately in a general education program with or without accommodations or modifications.
-AND-
The IEP team has determined through documentation that the student is not benefitting from special education services, after a continuum of appropriate alternatives have been implemented.
-OR-
Parent/legal guardian requests dismissal.

Exit Criteria for Intellectual Disability

Student Name:	Student ID:
Date of Birth:	Date of MDT:
Attending School:	Neighborhood School:

Intellectual Disability (ID) Dismissal Criteria Checklist (All must be checked in either section to determine dismissal) The student was given at least one Comprehensive individual test of intellectual functioning and significant deficits were not identified. If the psychologist suspects that the intelligence test results are questionable, or an under-representation of the student's potential in relation to achievement test scores, a second intelligence test must be administered. The student **no longer** demonstrates significantly sub-average general intelligence functioning demonstrated by verbal and nonverbal reasoning competencies at or below IQ/standard scores of 70 and below, or two or more standard deviations below the mean based on the individual test manual requirements in multiple measures of verbal and nonverbal reasoning. An adaptive assessment was administered to a minimum of two informants (e.g., parent, teacher) to gain behavior in at least two settings. The student no longer exhibits concurrent deficits in adaptive behavior that falls below age and culture expectations on measures of motor development, self-help skills, language development, and social/affective and vocational skills. An educational assessment was administered in the areas of reading, math, writing and comprehension. -AND-Documentation supports that there is **no educational impact** that adversely affects the student academically.

Exit Criteria for Developmental Delay

Student Name:	Student ID:
Date of Birth:	Date of MDT:
Attending School:	Neighborhood School:

<u>Developmental Delay (DD) Dismissal Criteria Checklist</u>

(All must be checked in either section to determine dismissal)

	An adaptive measure was administered to a minimum of two informants (e.g., parent, teacher) to gain behavior in at least two settings.	
	☐ The student no longer demonstrates developmental delays measured in one or more of the following areas: physical development, cognitive development, communication, development, social or emotional development.	
	-OR-	
	The student has been diagnosed with: autism, traumatic brain- injury, intellectual disability (mental retardation), emotional disturbance, other health impaired, orthopedic impairment, visual impairment including blindness, hearing impairment including deafness, speech/language impairment.	
	-OR-	
	The student is no longer between the ages of 3.0 through 7.11.	
	-AND-	
	An educational assessment was administered in the areas of reading, math, and writing.	
	-AND-	
Tho	forementioned deficits must not adversely affect the student's educational attainment	

The aforementioned deficits **must not** adversely affect the student's educational attainment.

Adverse educational impact can be evidenced in the following areas:

- Standardized test scores
- Classroom participation
- Serious incident reports
- Availability for instruction

Exit Criteria for Emotional Disturbance

Student Name:	Student ID:
Date of Birth:	Date of MDT:
Attending School:	Neighborhood School:

<u>Emotional Disturbance (ED) Dismissal Criteria Checklist</u> (All must be checked in each section to determine dismissal)

At least two behavioral assessments were administered, and severe behavioral/emotional skill deficiencies <i>were not</i> evident in at least two settings (i.e., school, home) and over a long period of time.
Documentation from teachers or school personnel indicates that the student has made measurable behavioral progress.
\square The student has successfully completed the social emotional goals and objectives on the IEP.
An educational assessment was administered in the areas of reading, math, writing and comprehension.
-AND-
The student no longer demonstrates the inability to build or maintain satisfactory interpersonal relationships with peers and teachers.
The student no longer demonstrates inappropriate types of behavior or feelings during normal circumstances.
☐ The student no longer demonstrates a general pervasive mood of unhappiness or depression
The student no longer demonstrates a tendency to develop physical symptoms or fears associated with personal or school problems.
-AND/OR-
There is no adverse impact or student no longer demonstrates an inability to make educational progress based on behavioral or emotional reasons.

Adverse educational impact can be evidenced in the following areas:

- Standardized test scores
- Classroom participation
- Serious incident reports
- Availability for instruction

SECTION VIII

GLOSSARY and RESOURCES

GLOSSARY OF TERMS

APE Adapted Physical Education

AT Assistive Technology

AUD Audiologists

BIP Behavioral Intervention Plan

CES Communication & Education Support Program

DCMR District of Columbia Municipal Regulations

DCPS District of Columbia Public Schools

DCPCS District of Columbia Public Charter Schools

DHS Department of Human Services

ESL English as a Second Language

ELS Early Learning Supports (program)

ESY Extended School Year

FAPE Free Appropriate Public Education

FBA Functional Behavioral Assessment

HI Hearing Impairment

HIP Home and Hospice Instruction (program)

HOD Hearing Office Determination

ID Intellectually Disabled

ILS Independence & Learning Support (program)

IDEA Individuals with Disabilities Education Act

IEE Independent Educational Evaluation

SCHOOL PSYCHOLOGY ASSESSMENT AND ELIGIBILITY GUIDEOOK- Version 11

IEP Individualized Education Program

IFSP Individualized Family Service Plan

ISP Individualized Service Plan

LEA Local Education Agency (Representative)

LEP Limited English Proficiency

LRE Least Restrictive Environment

MD Multiple Disabilities

MDR Manifestation Determination Review

MDT Multidisciplinary Team

MES Medical & Educational Support (program)

MSST Multi-Tiered System of Support

NPI National Provider Identifier

OGC Office of General Counsel

OHI Other Health Impairment

OTL Office of Teaching and Learning

OSSE Office of the State Superintendent of Education

OT Occupational Therapy

PLAAFP Present Levels of Academic Achievement and Functional Performance

PLOP Present Level(s) of Performance

PRO Private and Religious

PT Physical Therapy

PTR Psychological Triennial Re-Evaluation

SCHOOL PSYCHOLOGY ASSESSMENT AND ELIGIBILITY GUIDEOOK- Version 11

RMTS Random Moment Time Study

RSP Related Service Providers

MTSS/ Response to Intervention

SA Settlement Agreement

SEA State Education Agency

LEA Special Education Coordinator

SLI Speech Language Impairment

SLP Speech Language Pathologist

SLS Specific Learning Support (program)

SRBI Scientific Research Based Intervention

SSI Supplemental Security Income

SSL Student Support Liaison

SST Student Support Team (Now referred to as MTSS/ Team)

SW Social Worker

TBI Traumatic Brain Injury

VI Visual Impairment

VIS Visiting Instruction Services

RESOURCES

POCs and Helpful Links

School Psychologist Role in

School Psychologist Position Description

IEP Meeting dates SY21-22

Assistive Technology Policy

Family Programs and Resources Guide

Manifestation Determination Guide

APPENDICES



APPENDIX A

Psychology Program Material Guidelines

Overview:

A standard battery of materials is provided to all psychology service providers for use for the tenure of their employment with DCPS. OTL will also provide protocols for the standard assessments utilized. Outside of this standard battery and protocols, additional material requests should be made to the principal at the hired school.

There are limited materials that may be checked out on a case-by-case basis. When additional materials are needed please submit, via email, your request to your Program Manager a week in advance. As all psychology materials (manuals, kits) are assigned a DCPS bar code, upon checkout of materials, bar codes will be documented for inventory control and accountability for the checked-out items.

Each provider will be assigned an individual form documenting all materials known to be in the provider's possession. This form will need to be signed, as this will designate responsibility of materials to the user/provider over the determined checkout period.

Overall Guidelines

- 1. Primarily, the testing materials that are used by psychology service providers to perform core student service delivery needs for DCPS students are available to the provider for their full duration and tenure with DCPS. These standard testing materials (i.e. cognitive assessment materials, achievement assessment materials, adaptive behavior assessment materials, behavior rating scales, executive functioning, ADHD, autism, etc. will only need to be checked out once, and need to be returned at the conclusion of one's service to DCPS. However, the list of materials in one's possession needs to be verified at the conclusion of each school year. This verification process is to take place during the final week of case conferences. Providers will receive an updated, signed copy of the list of materials in their possession which must be included in one's portfolio for the final IMPACT review, or otherwise be brought to the final IMPACT conference.
- 2. Other assessment materials are available to be checked out on a case-by-case basis. (See the list of DCPS Approved Tests in the appendix.) Psychology service providers who are in possession of these additional testing materials must adhere to a 30-day lending policy. If a longer loan period is needed, the designated Program Manager will determine if the item(s) may be renewed at the time of the scheduled return. Failure to abide by the designated loan period or process will result in a suspension of one's library privileges for a 3-month period of time. Three infractions within a school year will result in a suspension of one's loan privileges for the remainder of that school year. The Program Manager will contact providers via email

to offer reminders and relevant information regarding the return of borrowed materials.

3. Periodically, an occasion may arise wherein providers will choose to borrow a test or assessment item from his or her colleague. In order to track and maintain appropriate accountability for testing materials, it is recommended that the provider-to-provider transfer of all assessment materials be documented via an email to which the Program Manager and the borrower (or loaner, depending on who is generating the communication) are to be addressed. The email should clearly delineate the lender, the borrower, and the materials to be loaned. Furthermore, confirmation of receipt of the email, as well as the veracity of its content, should be made. The return of the item(s) should be similarly documented, as well. At the end of the school year, the item(s) in question will be ascribed to the list of materials in the appropriate provider's possession, based on the email documentation. As such, it is strongly recommended that the above process be followed in order to maintain proper accountability.

It is fully expected that all psychology providers have a completed and signed inventory form on file in the Psychology Program Manager's office. Providers who have no such form on file will be prohibited from receiving additional materials until a completed and signed inventory form is received. Additionally, the PM may need to contact providers on an as-needed basis regarding issues pertinent to the ongoing use and accessibility of loaned materials.

Return of Assessment Materials:

Materials are expected to be promptly returned at the end of the designated loan period (for specific borrowed items, only), or at the end of one's tenure with DCPS via retirement, resignation, or separation. At the time of return, the condition of materials will be reviewed and documented, as it is expected that providers handle materials with care and return them in the same condition under which they were given. Additionally, the items returned will be checked against the provider's inventory form for accuracy and completeness. The only items that needn't be returned are those that are outdated.

Failure to return all valid assessment materials loaned will result in a financial penalty. The only exemption from penalty is when items have been stolen and a police report has been submitted.



APPENDIX B

Symptoms Checklist of Traumatic Brain Injury

A combination of the following symptoms is typical following a traumatic brain injury. Most individuals will experience several of the symptoms in each of the categories. It is the combination of three to six manifestations in each of the three categories which assists in identifying problems related to concussive injuries. Positive identification of these symptoms should indicate that there is a change from pre-injury function.

Physical	Cognitive	Affective
Somatic _ Nausea _ Vomiting _ Headache _ Sleep disturbances	Problems with: _ Sustained, alternating, and/or divided attention _ Memory for prospective events and new learning	Behavioral Agitation Irritability Impatience Egocentricity Social withdrawal
_ Fatigue _ Lethargy Sensory _ Dizziness _ Uncoordinated _ Balance difficulties	_ Speed of information processing _ Capacity for information processing _ Word finding _ Organization of thoughts _ Organization of expression _ Mental flexibility	Apathetic Mood swings Disinhibit ion Defensiveness Confrontational attitude
_ Changes in smell _ Taste alterations _ Blurred vision _ Double vision _ Tinnitus	_ Mental control _ Initiation _ Integrative thinking _ Problem solving/judgment	Emotional Anger Depression Frustration Anxiety
_ Hypersensitivity to light/noise "environmental intolerance" _ Hearing problems	Cognitive changes reflected by reports of: _ Longer time for task completion _ Slower to respond to questions _ Decreased ability to concentrate _ Feeling overly distracted	Irrational fears Insecurity Guilt Feeling helpless
	 Unable to pay attention in noisy environments Forgetting what one was about to say or do Becoming tired more easily Feeling that hard tasks require extra effort Unable to do several tasks at once 	
	_ Forgetting where items were placed or the location of familiar places _ Forgetting the faces and names of new acquaintances _ Unable to organize oneself as reflected by order of work and personal appearance	

APPENDIX C

STAFF DEVELOPMENT TRAINING SIGN-IN FORM

PRESENTER:		-
DATE:		
TOPIC:		
ATTENDEES:		
Print Name	Signature	Discipline / Subject
PRINCIPAL'S SIGNATUR	 !E	DATE

APPENDIX D

STAFF DEVELOPMENT EVALUATION QUESTIONNAIRE

PRESENTER:									
DATE:									
SCHOOL:									
TOPIC:									
Please take a few minutes	to com	plete th	is form	and su	bmit at 1	the end	of the s	ession.	
Please rate the following b (5 excellent; 4 very	•	_	•	_	number l	below.			
Presentation Delivery	5	4	3	2	1				
Content	5	4	3	2	1				
Presenter's Knowledge	5	4	3	2	1				
Quality of Handouts	5	4	3	2	1				
Comments:									
Please rate the following be somewhat helpful; 1-not he How helpful will this inform Comments:	elpful)		-				helpful;	; 3-helpfo	ــــا; 2-
What topics or speakers d	o you sı	uggest f	or futui	re progr	ams?				

APPENDIX E

Student/Classroom Observation Checklist

udent	Start/End Time:	Student/Teacher Ratio
acher	Date	Prd/Class
	ENVIRONMENT	
Layout	Visual	Student work displayed
flexible, moveable	graphic organizers	current
· attractive, inviting, clean	flowcharts	varied
safe and orderly	samples of proficient work	respects confidentiality
• other	essential questions posted other	• other
other	other	
other Print rich environment	other Variety of instructional m	aterials Classroom management
other Print rich environment variety of books	Variety of instructional m manipulatives	aterials Classroom management rules/procedures posted
other Print rich environment variety of books content specific print	Variety of instructional m manipulatives models	classroom management rules/procedures posted evidence of daily procedures
other Print rich environment variety of books content specific print other print media	Variety of instructional m manipulatives models audio, video tapes	classroom management

discussions · students on task · minimum of teacher lecture student movement manipulatives · directed by teacher interest/excitement other Student talk student initiated balance of teacher/student talk student/student talk Positive reinforcement genuine praise · respect for student high expectations other Student grouping · whole class · groups of 4 or more duo/trio individual other **Group activity** discussion problem-solving peer editing study groups writing/sharing other

Comments:		

т	eacher activity
	lecture
	discussion leader
	modeling
_	monitoring/adjusting
-	formal assessment
	informal assessment
	other
Δ	uthentic problems & questions
-	problem solving activities
_	reflect core content/curriculum guide
-	real life connections
-	student self-assessment
-	experimental/hand-on learning
-	other
_	struction/Orientation direct instruction
,	independent work
	cooperative learning
-	other
=	hoice teacher-initiated
	student-initiated
•	other
L	earning Strategies
•	addressing MI (verbal, logical-mathematical spatial, kinesthetic, musical, interpersonal, intrapersonal)
•	use of Marzano Strategies (Identifying Similarities & Differences; Summarizing & Note Taking;
	Reinforcing Effort & Providing Recognition; Homework & Practice; Nonlinguistic Representations;
	Cooperative Learning; Setting Goals & Providing
	Feedback; Generating & Testing Hypothesis; Activating Prior Knowledge; and Teaching Specific Types of Knowledge)
	project-based learning
	higher level questioning strategies
	teacher acting as coach/facilitator
	independent inquiry/research
٠	sustained writing/reading
	other

APPENDIX F

National Provider Identification Number Memorandums

Welcome to the District of Columbia Public Schools' (DCPS). Your commitment and dedication to helping our students reach their maximum potential is much appreciated.

The purpose of this memo is to inform you of an important step in ensuring your good standing as a Related Services Provider (RSP). A mandated service provider regulation passed on April 12, 2012, through the Affordable Care Act (rule 42 CFR Parts 424 and 431), requires all providers of medical services to obtain a National Provider Identifier (NPI) within one week of their employment start date. The NPI acts as a unique provider identifier for Medicaid claims submitted to the Medicaid Agency and is necessary to the operations of both Medicaid and Related Services.

In order to properly conduct Medicaid claiming and to remain a provider employed in any capacity, all providers rendering services on behalf of DCPS must obtain their NPI number. Providers may verify their existing NPI number or obtain an NPI number online at https://nppes.cms.hhs.gov/NPPES. After securing an NPI within seven days of employment, please provide the number to your assigned Program Manager or Clinical Specialist.

Please review the attached National Provider Identifier FAQs and directions. For any other questions concerning your NPI number or any difficulties experienced while attempting to obtain your NPI number, please notify your assigned Program Manager or Clinical Specialist and contact the NPI Enumerator.

Directions to Apply for a National Provider Identifier

All providers rendering services on behalf of DCPS must obtain a National Provider Identifier (NPI). Individuals are eligible to receive one NPI regardless of the number of specialties practiced. Please follow the steps below if you never received an Entity Type 1 NPI.

Contact the NPI Enumerator (helpdesk) at 1-800-465-3203 or <u>customerservice@npienumerator.com</u> for questions about the application.

Open the hyperlink https://nppes.cms.hhs.gov/NPPES
Section 1:

- Select Entity Type 1: "An individual who renders health care"
- Is the individual a sole proprietor? Select No

Section 2:

Complete 1-19.

Section 3:

3-A and B: Input DCPS address for Business Address and Business Practice Location.

1200 First St NE, 9th Floor Washington, DC 20002

3-C. Fill out if applicable

3-D. Provider Taxonomy Code

- 1. Click Add Taxonomy
- 2. Select Provider Type Code, click Next
- 3. Select Taxonomy Code Area, Highlight the appropriate code
- 4. Click Save and then click Next

The table below provides some Taxonomy Codes. For a complete list, please visit http://www.wpc-edi.com/reference/ and click on *Health Care Provider Taxonomy Code*.

Classification	Provider Type Code	Taxonomy Code
School Psychologist	10	103TS0200X

Section 4: Certification Statement.

Section 5: Provide your contact information.

**NPI information can be updated online. ** National Provider Identifier Requirement for Providers Employed or Contracted with DCPS

As a result of the Affordable Care Act, the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid (CMS) issued a final rule (42 CFR Parts 424 and 431) on April 12, 2012 requiring all providers of medical services to obtain a National Provider Identifier (NPI). The NPI acts as a unique provider identifier for Medicaid claims submitted to the Medicaid Agency. In order to properly conduct Medicaid claiming, all providers rendering services on behalf of the District of Columbia Public Schools must obtain their NPI number.

Providers may verify their existing NPI or obtain an NPI for the first time online at https://nppes.cms.hhs.gov/NPPES

Frequently Asked Questions (FAQ's):

1. What is an NPI?

An NPI is a 10-digit number used by Medicaid to uniquely identify providers.

2. Why is the NPI required?

In order to properly submit Medicaid claims for special education services rendered, individual provider NPI's must be included in claims.

3. Why is this required now and not in the past?

The Affordable Care Act (ACA) reinforced the 1996 HIPAA requirement that certain providers obtain an NPI, making the NPI requirement universal. The Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services issued a final rule (42 CFR Parts 424 and 431) requiring all providers of medical services to obtain an NPI. As a result, states must provide the individual NPI when claiming.

4. If I'm providing services as part of my private practice, will this affect my ability to collect Medicaid?

As long as a provider is not submitting claims for services rendered on behalf of DCPS, then there should be no effect on a provider's ability to claim outside of these services.

5. Who is liable if DCPS is the Medicaid claimant?

Liability will be shifted away from providers, because DCPS conducts Medicaid claiming on behalf of providers and providers have no part in claiming themselves.

6. Will this be an annual requirement or just one time?

Obtaining an NPI is a one-time requirement.

7. When do I need to obtain an NPI/enroll with DC Medicaid?

DCPS requires providers to obtain an NPI within 7 days of employment. Please provide your NPI number to your discipline Program Manager.

8. What's the process to obtain an NPI?

Providers must access the National Plan and Provider Enumeration System (NPPES) at https://nppes.cms.hhs.gov/NPPES

Time to complete is an estimated 20 minutes. Required credentialing and identifying information is listed on the website.

9. Does it cost anything?

There is no cost to obtaining an NPI.

Any questions or concerns?

Contact:

Medicaid Analyst, OTL Eligibility and Enrollment Specialist, OTL

Desk: (202) 442-4487 Desk: (202) 7276196

APPENDIX G

Responding to Crisis in Schools

Quick Reference – Guidelines for School Crisis

The focus of crisis response is to address distress in students and in the school community. The three categories of crisis are:

SAFETY	 The student has been victimized by abuse or neglect (self-report, injury, abandonment at school) A student absconds from the school
BEHAVIORAL HEALTH	 The student exhibits symptoms of emotional disturbance relative to his/her mental health status (suicidal Ideation, homicidal ideation, psychosis) Death of a current or former student or staff member Critical threat or event
CRIMINAL ACTS	 The student exhibits behavior that is not mental health related such as assault, theft or willful destruction of property.

CRISIS PROTOCOLS					
All crisis response protocols are under the direction of the School Principal					
SAFETY	SAFETY BEHAVIORAL HEALTH				
CFSA (202-671-7233) must be contacted. All school personnel are mandated reporters. Abscondence requires that the school contact the parent(s), Office of School Security and MPD.	School based mental health providers assess, de-escalate, and develop a crisis plan. For school-wide crises, the Principal should consult with the School Crisis Team in addition to the Central Crisis Team Coordinator and the Central Office Security	When schools determine that actions meet criteria for criminal behavior, the school administration contacts the Office of School Security and MPD.			
	Coordinator. If the initial interventions are insufficient due to the severity of the symptoms a call is placed to: • ChAMPS ((202) 481-1440) for students ages 3 to 18 • DBH Access Helpline (1-888-793-4397) for students ages 19 and older.				

APPENDIX H

MTSS Data System

The MTSS Data System (Panorama Student Success Dashboard) is a dynamic platform that gives educators immediate access to the data that is most important for them to support students. The MTSS Data System syncs with Aspen and other data systems to send nightly updates of grades, attendance, assessments, SEL, and behavior data. With this data, the platform calculates "On Track" and "At Risk" indicators for each student automatically so that you can support students with relevant and timely information. Schools can view dashboards to see school-wide trends across different subject areas or demographic groups to make sure that students are having an equitable experience at school.

The MTSS Data System:

- Gives a complete picture of each student's academics, attendance, behavior, and socialemotional learning (SEL) progress in school every day.
- Allows educators to create, update, and progress monitor interventions to ensure students are thriving.
- Serves as an Early Warning System and MTSS and Intervention tracker for districts.
- Helps measure Social Emotional Learning through research-backed surveys and displays that data alongside other indicators to give a full picture of the whole child.

Overview of the MTSS Data System Components

Overview of the MTSS Data System Components

Attendance •Data Source: Aspen	Assessments •TRC	Interventions •"How does this work?"
Academics •Data Source: Aspen	•HMH Reading Inventory •NWEA MAP •DIBELS •i-Ready •ANet Reading •ANet Math •Coming Up	 More specific champion list for interventions Additional SEL & Behavior interventions available Coming Up: School-scoped interventions Additional Academic & Attendance Interventions
Behavior •Data Source: Student Behavior Tracker	RCTs (currently being discussed)	Alerts •Email alerts for users when interventions are assigned to them, or they are added to a team
SEL •Data Source: Fall 2020 Survey (live now)		Student Profile •Upload documents





MTSS Data System Early Warning Indicators

Early Warning Indicators (EWIs) are tools that help educators identify at-risk students and provide necessary supports. Early warning systems were originally developed using the "ABCs" of student data: attendance, behavior, and coursework. Research shows that these data points can accurately predict which students are at-risk of dropping out and can help reduce chronic absenteeism and course failures.

MTSS Data System Early Warning Indicators

	The Academics indicator	will be powered by Grades				
	Academics: Elementary	Academics: Secondary	Attendance	Behavior	SEL	
On Track	Students with all 4's in courses	Students with A's or B's in all of their coursework	Students who have attended more than 95% of school days	Students with no behavior incidents	Reported strengths in all 5 LCPI topics	
Progressing	Students with a 3 in courses	Students with a C in their coursework	Students who have attended between 91-95% of school days	Students who have behavior incidents on 1- 2% of school days	Reported strengths in 3 or 4 LCPI topics	
Approaching on-Track	Students with a 2 in course	Students with a D in their coursework	Students who have attended between 81-90% of school days	Students who have behavior incidents on 3- 5% of school days	Reported strengths in 1 or 2 LCPI topics	
Critical	Students with a 1 in course	Students with an F in their coursework	Student who have attended 80% or fewer of school days	Students who have behavior incidents on more than 5% of school days	Reports strengths in no LCPI topics	





High-quality data that provides information about the context and progress of the whole child is critical to a successful MTSS System. An overview of the most commonly used data sources is below. Your school may have additional information as well.

		Data	Frequency	Locatio
			Formal – 2x per year Informal – Daily, Weekly, Monthly	IMPACT Dashboard
Contex	tual	Panorama Family Survey	2x per school year	Panorama – Surveys
		Panorama Staff Survey	2x per school year	Panorama – Surveys
		CLASS (PK only)	Annually	CLASS Reports
		Insight Staff Survey	2x per school year	Insight Reports Dashboard

Social Emotional	Panorama Student Survey (Student Satisfaction, SEL Competencies, LCP Index)	2x per school year	Panorama – Surveys
	Ages and Stages Questionnaire (ASQ; PK only)	Within 45 days of the start of the school year	Quickbase
	Strengths & Difficulties Questionnaire (SDQ)	3x per year (BOY/MOY/EOY)	Frontline
	Suspensions	Daily	SBT DDAC – CIF Dashboard
Behavior	Referrals/Incidents	Daily	Panorama – Student Success SBT
Attendance	ISA, chronic absenteeism	Daily	Aspen Panorama – Student Success (ISA)
	Anecdotal notes, exit tickets	Daily	Teacher records
	Required Curricular Tasks (RCT)	Varies by course	Mastery Connect
Academic	Diagnostic Assessments e.g., DIBELS, RI, i-Ready Diagnostic, MAP Science, STAMP/ALIRA	3x per year (BOY/MOY/EOY)	Panorama – Student Success DDAC – Assessments & Academics Dashboard Assessment platforms
	Curriculum Based Assessments e.g., ANet ELA, ANet Math, ECE GOLD	3x per year (ES, MS) 2x per year (HS)	Panorama – Student Success DDAC – Assessments & Academics Dashboard Assessment platforms
	Summative e.g., PARCC, MSAA, DLM, DC Science, ACCESS	Annually	

SCHOOL PSYCHOLOGY ASSESSMENT AND ELIGIBILITY GUIDEOOK- Version 11

	Course grades & GPA	Term grades – 4x per	Panorama – Student
		year Progress report	Success DDAC –
		grades (HS) – 4x per year	Secondary Academics
		Running Grade Avg – Daily	Dashboard
			ASPEN

Screening Process

- Schools will utilize the MTSS process to identify students in need of screening based on Early Warning Indicators.
- School Psychologists will conduct screening using the Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire (SDQ).
- If trauma is expected or reported, then the Trauma History Questionnaire and the Child PTSD Symptom Scale V (CPSS V) **MUST be administered.** Social Workers will administer these assessments more often than not.
- MTS Teams will make recommendations and develop intervention plans and referrals (as needed) based on screening results and all other relevant data.

APPENDIX J

Psychology Report Template Overview

DEMOGRAPHIC INFORMATION

All reports should include the student demographic information taken from the cumulative file

REASON FOR REFERRAL

The "Reason for Referral" section should identify the areas of concern per the teachers, parents and stakeholders. Based on the area of concern the specific disability investigated should be determined. If the student has a current IEP, the student's current disability and number of service hours on the IEP that the student is currently receiving should be reported

PROCEDURES AND TESTS ADMINISTERED

A list of instruments and procedures used to obtain data should be included in this section.

BACKGROUND INFORMATION

A review of what is already known about the student relative to the purpose of the evaluation is required. Specific information related to the student's strengths, familial history, developmental milestones, medical and school history should be included. Information should be presented in chronological order.

REVIEW OF PAST EVALUATIONS

Identify if there have been any previous related assessments for the student; if there have been, use this section to summarize the findings, including the conclusions derived from the data regarding eligibility. Index Scores for each area measured should be included.

PROGRESS MONITORING DATA

This section should **discuss** the student's response to interventions in depth the measurable interventions that have been used in the general education setting

For students that are being evaluated for ED, a review of at least two interventions (including data from the SDQ, FBA and BIP) should be reviewed.

For students who already receive special education services, this section should identify what accommodations, modifications and interventions have been implemented based on the student's areas of concern and education plan

ASSESSMENT RESULTS AND INTERPRETATION

Interviews (Teacher, Parent and Student)

The student, parent and teacher interview should be included in this section. If a guardian is not available, information should be captured in the Communications log and summarized in the interview section of the report. Due diligence policy should be followed.

BEHAVIORAL OBSERVATIONS

Classroom Observation

Observation of the student during instruction periods in an area (s) of concern should be reported. If there are social-emotional concerns, an additional observation in a less structured setting may also be required.

Testing Observation

An observation of the student's behavior during the testing session should be detailed.

TEST RESULTS

This section should include a description of what the assessment measures, the norms, standardizations and validity of the assessments used. Each assessment used should be introduced and the reason that it is required for the students identified area(s) of concern (test relevance). A statement noting validity and/or any limitations of the assessment (such as cautions due to breach of standardized procedures, cultural variables, etc.) should be included.

Information pertaining to the student's Present Level of Academic Achievement and Functional Performance (PLAAFP) should also be reviewed in this section. Data to be included are the student's current grades (report card, work samples, etc.), curriculum-based assessments (Partnership for the Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC), etc.), informal teacher assessments, etc. If this is a social/emotional referral any behavioral infractions or related data should be included.

EDUCATIONAL IMPLICATIONS

This section discusses how the area(s) of concern (as related to the referral questions) impact the student's ability to access grade-level material. Criteria for the suspected disability should be identified by IDEA and OSSE and outlined in the DCPS School Psychology Guidebook. Adverse Effect should be discussed at length for each disability category that was investigated (please refer to your guidebook for criteria and descriptions). A rationale for conclusions supporting or not supporting identification of the suspected disability – Learning Disability, Intellectual Disability, Developmental Delay, Autism Spectrum Disorder (PDD) or Emotional Disturbance – should also be provided in this section.

SUMMARY

This section provides a brief summary of all the major findings in your report. It should also be indicated that the MDT Team makes the final determination for a student's eligibility for special education and related services based on the "data and evidence presented". An overview of the Index scores should be included.

RECOMMENDATIONS

In this section, recommendations should offer the teacher(s) working with the student, classroom support in the identified areas of weakness based on the data. Additionally, specific recommendations to assist the parent/guardian in working with the student's identified weaknesses at home should be included in this section, as well.

Please include a signature prior to uploading your PDF into the system. For additional details regarding the specifics included in various reports refer to the documents and resource page on the communication board.

SIGNATURE

OSSE Licensed DCPS School Psychologist School